arXiv:1606.00012v2 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 27 Aug 2016

Response Theory of the Electron-Phonon Coupling

R. Starke[a], G.A.H. Schober[b,*]

[a] *Institute for Theoretical Physics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Straße 23, 09596 Freiberg, Germany*
[b] *Institute for Theoretical Physics, Heidelberg University, Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

Abstract

This article presents a systematic theoretical enquiry concerning the conceptual foundations and the nature of phonon-mediated electron-electron interactions. Starting from the fundamental many-body Hamiltonian, we propose a simple scheme to decouple the electrons and nuclei of a crystalline solid via effective interactions. These effective interactions, which we express in terms of linear response functions, are completely symmetric between electrons and nuclei. Correspondingly, we derive concrete formulae for both the effective electron interaction mediated by phonons and the effective nuclear interaction mediated by electrons. In particular, we rederive from our fundamental ansatz the well-known general expressions of the effective electron-electron interaction in terms of the elastic Green function and the phonon dispersion relation. We further show that the effective nuclear interaction coincides in the instantaneous limit with the dynamical matrix as calculated in electronic structure theory. If combined with the Kubo formalism, our general formulae lend themselves to the calculation of effective interactions from first principles. By showing the compatibility of our approach with the functional integral formalism, this work also paves the way for the derivation of *ab initio* initial interactions for functional renormalization group applications.

Keywords: electron-phonon coupling, effective interaction, many-body theory, ab initio electronic structure, functional renormalization group

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: Ronald.Starke@physik.tu-freiberg.de (R. Starke), G.Schober@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de (G.A.H. Schober)

Contents

1 Introduction **4**

2 Electrons, nuclei and phonons **8**
2.1 Many-body problem for electrons and nuclei 8
2.1.1 Fundamental Hamiltonian in first quantization 8
2.1.2 Second-quantized electrons and first-quantized nuclei . 10
2.2 Static decoupling in electronic structure theory 13
2.2.1 Electronic sector 13
2.2.2 Nuclear sector 15
2.3 Dynamical displacement field and phonons 16
2.3.1 Classical displacement field 17
2.3.2 Quantized displacement field 24
2.3.3 Dynamical matrix in electronic structure theory 27

3 Response Theory of effective interactions **28**
3.1 Dynamical decoupling of electrons and nuclei 28
3.2 Total interaction kernels and screening 32
3.3 Total interaction operators and Hartree potentials 34
3.4 Instantaneous limit and interactions stricto sensu 35

4 Effective electron interaction mediated by phonons **37**
4.1 Rederivation of standard expressions 38
4.1.1 Expression in terms of elastic Green function 38
4.1.2 Expression in terms of phonon dispersion relation . . . 42
4.1.3 Expression in terms of phonon Green function 45
4.1.4 Connection to second-order perturbation theory 48
4.1.5 Connection to Fröhlich Hamiltonian 50
4.2 Justification from functional integral approach 56
4.2.1 Displacement field in the continuum limit 57
4.2.2 Classical Lagrangean and functional integral 61

5 Effective nuclear interaction mediated by electrons **68**
5.1 Second-order Hellmann–Feynman theorem 68
5.2 Nuclear equilibrium positions 69
5.3 Expansion of the nuclear Hamiltonian 72
5.4 Connection to the dynamical matrix 73

6 Conclusion **77**

A Notations and Conventions **78**
A.1 Lattice Fourier transformation 79
A.2 Thermodynamic limit 82
A.3 Continuum limit 86
A.4 Combined limites and synopsis 89
A.5 Born–von-Karman boundary conditions 94

B Quantized Schrödinger field **96**
B.1 Fock space formalism 96
B.2 Second quantization 106

C Aspects of linear response theory **115**
C.1 Response function and Kubo formalism 116
C.2 Displacement field and electromagnetic response 121
C.3 Kubo formula for nuclear electromagnetic response 126

D Connection to elasticity theory **128**
D.1 Dynamical matrix in the isotropic limit 129
D.2 Elasticity tensor and macroscopic limit 132
D.3 Macroscopic and isotropic limit 134
D.4 Electromagnetic response in terms of elastic constants 136

E Analogy to photon-mediated interactions **138**
E.1 Short review of classical electrodynamics 139
E.2 Effective interaction in the functional integral formalism . . . 141
E.3 Non-local Lagrangeans and initial value problems 146
E.4 Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics 147
E.5 Darwin Lagrangean 150

1. Introduction

Introduced by I. E. Tamm in 1929 [1] and renamed "phonons" almost en passant by J. Frenkel in 1932 [2, p. 477], the "quanta of sound" are not as long known as their electromagnetic counterparts, the "light quanta" viz. "photons". Nevertheless, the *electron-phonon coupling* soon became a classic topic in condensed matter physics, and yet it is one of current interest (see e.g. [3] for a classic textbook, and [4] for the most recent review). By contrast, traditional electronic structure theory [5–7] had for the longest time been concerned with the quantum dynamics of the electron liquid [8] interacting through the Coulomb potential in the *external* potential of an ionic lattice. Although the ensuing *purely electronic* ab initio theory has delivered quantitative predictions for an impressive variety of materials properties such as the electronic band structure, the fundamental band-gap or the heat of formation (see the review articles [9, 10]), it had been clear at least since the development of the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) theory of superconductivity (see [11–16] for original articles, [17–20] for textbooks), that the electron-phonon coupling may dominate the physical properties of a system. A logical step to describe this within a purely electronic framework was the introduction of a *phonon-mediated electron-electron* interaction (see e.g. [21, Chap. 17]). In the early stages, however, such phonon-mediated interactions were often ignored in the ab initio electronic structure community, partly because the usual ansätze for this interaction are not parameter free, partly because superconductivity had been out of the scope of electronic structure theory.

Interest in the first-principles treatment of the electron-phonon coupling was renewed though, as it became increasingly evident that in many situations it even influences typical observables *within* the scope of electronic structure theory, such as the band structure, the band gap or the optical spectrum (see e.g. [22–32]). Furthermore, a density functional theory (DFT) of superconductivity was developed [33–35]. Ultimately, it turned out (see e.g. [36–38]) that phonon-mediated electron interactions quite naturally fit into the Green function approach [39–41] to electronic structure physics [42–44], which for independent reasons had already been established as the most important post-DFT method (see e.g. [45]). Fittingly, it had already been shown by L. Hedin and S. Lundqvist in their seminal work [46] that a phonon-mediated interaction can be included in the standard Hedin–Schwinger–Dyson equations. In particular, they have derived a closed system

of phono-electronic Green function equations [46, Eqs. (15.19)] (cf. in this context the results of R. van Leeuwen [47, Eqs. (86)–(90)]). Presently, the electron-phonon coupling and phonon-mediated electron interactions play a key rôle in electronic structure physics for the quantitative description and state-of-the-art numerical simulation of many-body properties and correlation effects in realistic material models [48–57].

Parallel to these developments, the functional renormalization group (fRG) has been developed into a versatile and unbiased method for investigating correlated electron systems [58–60]. It requires an *initial* electron-electron interaction to be given as an input, and then allows to predict the *effective* low-energy interaction for the electrons near the Fermi surface. The latter determines the leading Fermi liquid instabilities and the low-temperature phases of the model under consideration. Within solid state physics, the fRG has traditionally been applied to the Hubbard model with a repulsive [61–69] or attractive [70] onsite interaction. More recently, its scope has been extended to multiband systems [60, 71, 72]. For a realistic material description, the band structure and the initial interaction are typically obtained from an *ab initio* method such as DFT. This procedure has been successully applied to models relevant for the high-temperature superconducting cuprates [73, 74] and iron pnictides [75–80], as well as single- and multilayer graphene [81–86]. In the context of the fRG, phonon-mediated electron-electron interactions have been employed as initial interactions for studies on superconductivity and its competition with other ordering tendencies [87–94].

Astonishingly enough, the electron-phonon coupling has even attracted interest in speculative high-energy physics. There, it was recognized that a "probe" Dp-brane in a string theory background is "dual to a quark-like flavor degrees of freedom coupled with a bulk gluon sector", and this "may be intuitively thought to be analogous to the electron systems (dual to the probe brane) in a phonon bath (dual to the bulk supergravity)" [95]. Furthermore, following the early prediction by W. G. Unruh of phonon emission from sonic horizons [96], there have been attempts to realize this effect in Bose–Einstein condensates of ultracold atoms [97, 98], and thus to observe the self-amplifying Hawking radiation from a sonic black-hole laser [99]. It remains to see whether this reasoning will stand the test of time.

In this article, we resume the problem of the electron-phonon coupling on the most elementary level. That means, we take the many-body Hamiltonian of electrons *and* nuclei as our starting point, and thoroughly discuss the logic behind the replacement of this coupled Hamiltonian by two decoupled,

"effective" Hamiltonians for electrons and nuclei (or "phonons") respectively. Thereby, it will turn out that the decoupled Hamiltonians are ultimately obtained by eliminating the coupling terms from the fundamental Hamiltonian by means of linear response theory. In particular, we will thus clarify the connection between *effective* and *screened* interactions. Concretely, as a main result of our efforts it will turn out that such effective interactions are in fact screened interactions as a matter of principle, meaning that they can be expressed in terms of linear response functions. Correspondingly, we call the approach expounded in this article the *Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling*. Moreover, it will be clarified that screened interactions have to be introduced if a coupled system (as e.g. electrons and nuclei) is decoupled into formally non-interacting subsystems. The decoupling is then compensated by the introduction of "effective interactions" in the respective decoupled Hamiltonians. The crucial point is now that these "effective interactions", which are not present in the original many-body Hamiltonian, precisely correspond to screened interaction kernels, such that each decoupled subsystem screens the fundamental (i.e. Coulomb) interaction of the respective *other* subsystem.

While the first part of this work investigates this problem from a purely quantum-mechanical point of view, the second part treats it within a functional integral approach. In fact, within this latter formalism the notion of effective interactions is already well established. Correspondingly, we will show that the functional integral formalism, if applied to the system of electrons and phonons, precisely reproduces the effective interactions introduced in the first part of this article. In particular, this also implies that the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling is suitable for the *ab initio* calculation of phonon-mediated initial interactions for the subsequent use in fRG calculations. As our most general expressions include all possible effects of inhomogeneity and anisotropy, they may even be used for layered materials such as the bulk Rashba semiconductor BiTeI (see [100–102], and [103–106] for phonon effects in the bismuth tellurohalides). Combining the Response Theory with the multiband fRG and mean-field approach proposed recently in Ref. [72] would therefore allow for an unbiased, quantitative analysis of phonon-mediated superconductivity in BiTeI and related compounds.

Concretely, this article is organized as follows: The introductory Sec. 2 is dedicated to a pedagogical review of the many-body problem of electrons and nuclei as well as electronic structure theory. This section does not contain new results; instead, it sets the stage, fixes the conventions and

makes this document completely self-contained. The Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling is then introduced in Sec. 3. In the crucial Sec. 3.1, we derive the effective interactions from linear response theory applied to the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei. Next, we show in Sec. 3.2 that the thus obtained total interaction kernels can be interpreted as screened Coulomb interactions. The following Sec. 3.3 discusses the somewhat intricate question of how such effective interactions can be included in a decoupled Hamiltonian. Finally, Sec. 3.4 investigates the problem of frequency-dependent interaction kernels. After these conceptual considerations, the more practically oriented Sec. 4 investigates the concrete form of the effective electron-electron interaction mediated by phonons. The main goal there lies in the confirmation that the simple decoupling procedure proposed in Sec. 3.1 yields sensible results and recovers standard formulae if taken to suitable limiting cases. In particular, we re-express in Sec. 4.1.1 the effective phonon-mediated electron interaction in terms of the elastic Green function, whereby we ultimately recover a result orginally obtained by Hedin and Lundqvist [46, Eq. (16.7)]. Furthermore, we express this effective interaction in terms of the phonon dispersion relation and the phonon Green function, and we clarify its relation to second-order perturbation theory and to the Fröhlich Hamiltonian. Finally, in Sec. 4.2 we prove that the concept of effective phonon-mediated interactions as introduced in this article is in accord with its counterpart derived in the functional integral formalism. The final Sec. 5 of the main text investigates the nuclear analogon of the effective electron interaction mediated by phonons: *the effective nuclear interaction mediated by electrons*. Concretely, after proving a useful generalization of the Hellmann–Feynman theorem in Sec. 5.1 and reconsidering the nuclear equilibrium positions in Sec. 5.2, we will derive the effective second-order nuclear Hamiltonian in Sec. 5.3. Finally, we will prove in Sec. 5.4 a theorem which shows that in the instantaneous limit, this effective Hamiltonian is equivalent to the standard phonon Hamiltonian with the dynamical matrix calculated from ab initio electronic structure physics.

The appendices to this article serve various purposes: First, App. A fixes the conventions associated with the Fourier transformation in several régimes. Next, App. B gives a short introduction to the quantized Schrödinger field, and thus makes this article completely self-contained and readable even for the layman in quantum field theory. The following App. C presents a short summary of linear response theory, then goes on with the derivation of the fundamental electromagnetic response tensor of the nuclei in terms

of the elastic Green function, and closes with a countercheck of the latter result with the corresponding Kubo formula. The penultimate App. D reinvestigates these results in the isotropic limit and, in particular, establishes the connection to the treatment of the nuclear subsystem by classical elasticity theory. Finally, App. E compares the notion of the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction with its photon-mediated counterpart in (quantum) electrodynamics, and it compares the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling to Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics.

2. Electrons, nuclei and phonons

2.1. Many-body problem for electrons and nuclei

2.1.1. Fundamental Hamiltonian in first quantization

The fundamental Hamiltonian for a system of N nuclei and ZN electrons interacting through the Coulomb potential can be decomposed as follows (see e.g. [3, Eq. (4.1)] or [107, Sec. 2.2.3]):

$$\hat{H} = (\hat{T}_{\mathrm{n}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{n-n}}) + (\hat{T}_{\mathrm{e}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}}) + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Concretely, this means

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} = &-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{y}_k} + \frac{Z^2e^2}{2}\sum_{k\neq\ell} v(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_k,\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_\ell)\\ &-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{ZN}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_i} + \frac{e^2}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} v(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_j) - Ze^2\sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{ZN} v(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_k,\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In other words, $\hat{T}$ stands for the (nuclear or electronic) kinetic energy operators, while $\hat{V}$ denotes the various two-particle interaction operators determined by the Coulomb interaction kernel,

$$v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}') \equiv v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}') = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The electronic and nuclear masses are respectively denoted by m and M, while e stands for the elementary charge and Z for the atomic number, such that the electronic and nuclear charges are respectively given by $q_{\mathrm{e}} = -e$ and

$q_\mathrm{n} = Ze$. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ acts on the complex Hilbert space defined by the square-integrable wave functions of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \sigma_1; \ldots; \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN}, \sigma_{ZN}; \boldsymbol{y}_1, \tau_1; \ldots; \boldsymbol{y}_N, \tau_N)\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \sigma_i)$ denote the electronic (orbital and spin) coordinates, while $(\boldsymbol{y}_k, \tau_k)$ denote the corresponding nuclear coordinates. The wave functions (2.4) are antisymmetric with respect to the electronic coordinates, whereas the symmetry with respect to the nuclear coordinates depends on the nuclear spin. In the following, we will suppress the spin indices in the notation, because the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) is diagonal in the spinorial variables, and therefore these can be ignored for many conceptual matters.

In principle, the Hamiltonian (2.1) is completely *symmetric* with respect to electrons and nuclei. The only differences lie in their respective masses, charges, total particle numbers and possibly their spin (although the latter has no bearing on the Hamiltonian (2.1)). Astonishingly though, these quantitative differences have a profound qualitative effect which could hardly be deduced a priori, namely the extremely high degree of nuclear localization as compared to the oftentimes rather delocalized electronic charge density.

In this article, we consider this strong nuclear localization as an empirical fact, which we do not strive to justify from the fundamental Hamiltonian. Instead, we use it as an additional input to the electron-nuclear many-body problem, which justifies a completely *asymmetric* treatment of electrons and nuclei. This asymmetry does, however, not concern the way in which the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) is decoupled, but the general formalism which we apply to the electronic and nuclear subsystems: The electronic subsystem will be treated by quantum field theoretical methods. This means, we interpret the electronic many-body wave function as a state of the *quantized Schrödinger field* $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ (see e.g. [108–110] or App. B), in terms of which we will rewrite all electronic operators. By contrast, we will not introduce a quantized Schrödinger field for the nuclei, although this would be possible in principle. Instead, in the nuclear case we stick to the first-quantized formalism. Nevertheless, quantum field theory will become necessary for the treatment of the nuclei as soon as we perform the transition from the nuclear coordinates to a *quantized displacement field* (see Sec. 2.3).

2.1.2. Second-quantized electrons and first-quantized nuclei

Within the limits of electronic structure theory, the electronic quantum field is given by the quantized Schrödinger field (see App. B),

$$\hat{\psi} \equiv \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{2.5}$$

which is an operator whose action on a (ZN)-particle *electronic* wave-function $\Psi^{ZN}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN})$ yields a wave function of $(ZN-1)$ electrons, which is given explicitly by (cf. Eq. (B.62))

$$\big(\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\Psi^{ZN}\big)(\boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN}) = \sqrt{ZN}\,\Psi^{ZN}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN})\,. \tag{2.6}$$

In terms of this field operator, the electronic *charge density operator* is defined as

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\,\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\,\hat{n}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Here, $\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denotes the hermitean adjoint of the field operator $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})$, whereas the electronic *number density operator* is given by (cf. Eq. (B.100))

$$\hat{n}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The restriction of $\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ to a subspace with fixed electron number (ZN) can be equivalently expressed as (see e.g. [8, Eq. (3.120)])

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\sum_{i=1}^{ZN}\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Using the spectral decomposition,

$$\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\,|\boldsymbol{x}'\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{x}'|\,, \tag{2.10}$$

and the antisymmetry with respect to electronic coordinates, one verifies that the expectation value of the charge-density in a state $|\Psi^{ZN}\rangle$ with electron number ZN,

$$\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \big\langle \Psi^{ZN}\big|\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\big|\Psi^{ZN}\big\rangle = -e\,\big\langle \Psi^{ZN}\big|\,\hat{n}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\big|\Psi^{ZN}\big\rangle\,, \tag{2.11}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\,ZN \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_2 \ldots \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_{ZN} \\ \times\,(\Psi^{ZN})^*(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN})\,\Psi^{ZN}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{ZN})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

In particular, on account of the normalization condition on the many-body wave function, the charge density integrates to the total charge

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \rho_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\, ZN\,. \tag{2.13}$$

This is particularly transparent for the evaluation of the density operator in a *one-particle state* $|\Psi^1\rangle$ giving

$$\rho_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -e\, (\Psi^1)^*(\boldsymbol{x})\, \Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}) = -e n_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.14}$$

In fact, the second-quantized form of any *one-particle operator* (cf. [8, Sec. 1.4]) is formally identical to its expectation value in a one-particle state under the replacements

$$\Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{2.15}$$

$$(\Psi^1)^*(\boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.16}$$

For example, the kinetic energy operator can also be expressed as

$$\hat{T}_\mathrm{e} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\right) \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Similarly, the *two-particle* Coulomb interaction operator can be expressed in second quantization as

$$\hat{V}_\mathrm{e-e} = \frac{e^2}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\; \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}')\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}') \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.18}$$

In particular, this operator yields automatically zero if applied to any one-particle state. For later purposes, we note that the Coulomb interaction operator can also be written as

$$\hat{V}_\mathrm{e-e} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\; \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x})\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,, \tag{2.19}$$

provided that a normal ordering prescription of the field operators ("annihilators to the right") is understood when the density operator (2.7) is plugged into Eq. (2.19).

As explained already in the previous subsection, we will stick to the first-quantized formalism in the nuclear case. Nonetheless, we introduce a nuclear charge operator by the definition

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \delta^3(\boldsymbol{y} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \,, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ labels the nuclear positions, which we will later assume to form a regular lattice. Again, one verifies easily that the expectation value of $\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ in a nuclear N-particle state $|\Phi^N\rangle$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = e\, ZN \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}_2 \ldots \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}_N \\ \times (\Phi^N)^*(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_N)\, (\Phi^N)(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_N) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

With these definitions, we can write the interaction terms involving nuclear coordinates analogously to the electronic case as

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{n-n}} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}'\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y})\, v(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}') \,, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}'\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{y})\, v(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}') \,. \tag{2.23}$$

We note, however, that self-interaction terms in Eq. (2.22) have to be discarded when the first-quantized expression (2.20) is plugged in. The same problem does not arise in the second-quantized expression (2.19) for the electrons, where the self-interaction is removed by the normal ordering prescription. Anyway, expressing the Coulomb interactions in the form of Eqs. (2.19), (2.22) and (2.23) (rather than the notation used in the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1)) will prove crucial for the formulation of effective interactions, because it allows for the decomposition of the densities into reference and fluctuation parts (see Sec. 3.1).

Finally, we remark that also *external potentials* (which are one-particle operators) can be written in their first- or second-quantized form as

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \hat{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{2.24}$$

where the potential energy v_{ext} is generally related to the electrostatic potential φ_{ext} by

$$v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = q\, \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{2.25}$$

and where q denotes the respective charge of the particles on which the external potential acts.

2.2. Static decoupling in electronic structure theory

The Hamiltonian (2.1) proves completely untractable for a true many-body system, and therefore has to be replaced by a simplified Hamiltonian in which electrons and nuclei are decoupled. In this subsection, we briefly review how the standard electronic structure theory achieves this goal.

2.2.1. Electronic sector

At the outset, *electronic* structure theory treats the nuclei as classical point particles located at fixed positions $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$. It is an *empirical* fact that for many systems, these fixed positions roughly form a regular array, the so-called *(direct) Bravais lattice* [111, 112]. This is of the form

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} = n_1 \boldsymbol{a}_1 + n_2 \boldsymbol{a}_2 + n_3 \boldsymbol{a}_3 \,, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2, \boldsymbol{a}_3$ are fixed, linearly independent vectors (the *unit vectors* of the Bravais lattice), and $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is a triple of integers in the range

$$0 \leq n_i < N_i \,, \tag{2.27}$$

where $N_1 N_2 N_3 = N$ is the total number of nuclei. Hence, the *crystal lattice* is given by

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0};\, \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3),\, 0 \leq n_i < N_i \;(i = 1, 2, 3) \right\}. \tag{2.28}$$

As this article is mainly about conceptual questions, we restrict ourselves to the case where the basis of the lattice consists of only one nucleus, and all nuclei are of the same nature and in particular have the same mass M and charge Ze. Electronic structure theory now replaces the electronic part,

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}} = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{e}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} \,, \tag{2.29}$$

of the Hamiltonian (2.1), which still involves nuclear coordinates, by a *purely electronic Hamiltonian* of the form

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{e}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} \,, \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\; \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{y})\, v(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{2.31}$$

and where

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\, \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{y})\, v(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) \tag{2.32}$$

is the *external* Coulomb potential generated by the nuclei through their classical charge density given by

$$\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{y}) = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma} \delta^3(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,. \tag{2.33}$$

Thus, we obtain the explicit expression

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; v(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{2.34}$$

Essentially, this whole approximation simply means that the replacement

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{2.35}$$

is performed in the fundamental interaction term (2.23). In the electronic Hamiltonian (2.30), the crystal lattice is therefore a prescribed input assumed to be known in advance.

It is now tempting to say that this external potential has the periodicity of the lattice, i.e., for any vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ of the form (2.26) we have

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{a}) = \varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{2.36}$$

a relation which is frequently used in solid state physics. However, this is not strictly true, because for any real material sample the nuclei form only a finite lattice. It is plausible though, that deeply within the *bulk* of the solid the lattice periodicity holds, with deviations only occurring in the vicinity of the boundaries. For the description of *bulk properties* (i.e., those properties which do not involve surface or boundary effects and which are hence independent of the probe geometry), it is therefore the right idealization to assume Eq. (2.36) to hold everywhere. Mathematically, however, this would require an infinite lattice and hence an infinite number of nuclei, while in the Schrödinger equation the particle number should remain finite. In order to reconcile these apparently contradicting requirements, one regards the lattice integers n_i as equivalence classes (see e.g. [113]),

$$n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{N_i} \equiv \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}\,, \tag{2.37}$$

which means that one identifies all lattice integers

$$\{\,\ldots,\, n_i - 2N_i,\, n_i - N_i,\, n_i,\, n_i + N_i,\, n_i + 2N_i,\, \ldots\}\,, \tag{2.38}$$

and requires the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions [111, 112] for the electronic wave function,

$$\Psi(\ldots, \boldsymbol{x}, \ldots) = \Psi(\ldots, \boldsymbol{x} + N_i\,\boldsymbol{a}_i, \ldots)\,. \tag{2.39}$$

In the sense of this formalism, the crystal is both finite (particle number) and infinite (no boundaries or surfaces). Strictly speaking, the imposition of Born–von-Karman boundary conditions also modifies the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1), and hence one has to take the thermodynamic limit in the end of all calculations. This is discussed briefly in App. A.5.

2.2.2. Nuclear sector

As the necessity of knowing the correct nuclear equilibrium positions in advance is a rather dissatisfying state of affairs, electronic structure theory has devised an ingenious way to circumvent this shortcoming. Instead of the equilibrium positions, one first interprets the $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma$ as provisional *reference positions*, only to consider small deviations from these written as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\,, \tag{2.40}$$

or equivalently,

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} + \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,. \tag{2.41}$$

One now investigates the total *nuclear potential energy*, which is written in the form

$$V_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = V_{\mathrm{n-n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] + E_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,, \tag{2.42}$$

as a functional of these deviations. Here, the first term is the internuclear Coulomb interaction potential given explicitly by

$$V_{\mathrm{n-n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{1}{2}\,Z^2e^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\neq\boldsymbol{m}} v(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \tag{2.43}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\,Z^2e^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\neq\boldsymbol{m}} v(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}} - \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\,, \tag{2.44}$$

while the second term,

$$E_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \langle \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \,|\, \hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}] \,|\, \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \rangle \,, \tag{2.45}$$

denotes the electronic energy calculated in the external potential of the nuclei with fixed positions as given by Eq. (2.41). This means that the electronic Hamiltonian (2.30) is interpreted as a functional of the deviations,

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{e}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}[\boldsymbol{u}] \,, \tag{2.46}$$

where the operator of the external potential is given by (cf. Eq. (2.34))

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \; v(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] - \boldsymbol{x}) \, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,. \tag{2.47}$$

Furthermore, $|\Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}]\rangle$ is determined for each $\boldsymbol{u}$ as the electronic ground state of $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]$. The *equilibrium positions* of the nuclei are now defined as those reference positions which minimize the total nuclear potential energy (2.42). In Sec. 5.2, we will show that these equilibrium positions are in fact identical to the classical equilibrium positions defined by the vanishing of the electrostatic forces. In practice, they can hence be calculated by starting from a guess for the nuclear equilibrium positions and minimizing the total potential energy with respect to slight variations of these afterwards. Surprising as it may be at first sight, the purely electronic structure theory can therefore predict the lattice structure and in particular the lattice constants of solids (see e.g. [114–117]).

2.3. Dynamical displacement field and phonons

The above considerations show that nuclear quantities are not completely outside the scope of a purely electronic theory. While this is still intuitive for *static* nuclear properties such as the lattice constant, it is by no means obvious that electronic structure theory is also capable of incorporating the *dynamics* of the nuclei. Fortunately though, even this turns out to be feasible. Here, the nuclear localization is again decisive, as it allows for the introduction of a *displacement field,* which we will now review both on the classical and on the quantum level.

2.3.1. Classical displacement field

Precisely as in classical continuum mechanics, the classical nuclear displacement field,

$$\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\,, \tag{2.48}$$

is introduced such that the instantaneous nuclear positions are per definitionem given by [118, Eq. (1.1)]

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(t) = \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} + \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\,. \tag{2.49}$$

In other words, $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)$ is the momentary elongation of the nucleus with equilibrium position $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$ out of that very equilibrium position. For the dynamics of the displacement field, one writes down a classical equation of motion,

$$M\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) + \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t) = 0\,, \tag{2.50}$$

where $\overleftrightarrow{K}$ denotes the *dynamical matrix* (see e.g. [3, Chap. 3, Sec. 1]). Generally, the dynamical matrix is invariant under lattice translations, i.e.

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} + \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0} + \boldsymbol{a}) = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) \tag{2.51}$$

for every lattice vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ (of the form Eq. (2.26)), and can therefore be written as

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})\,. \tag{2.52}$$

This means that the dynamical matrix can be interpreted as a function of one difference lattice vector $\boldsymbol{r}$ only. In addition, the dynamical matrix is assumed to be real valued,

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = K_{ij}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,, \tag{2.53}$$

and subject to the symmetry

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = K_{ji}(-\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,. \tag{2.54}$$

As a consequence, the harmonic equation of motion (2.50) for the displacement field corresponds to a classical second-order Hamiltonian given by (cf.

[119, Eq. (1.4.5)])

$$H_{\text{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}] = \frac{1}{2M}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\,, \tag{2.55}$$

with the conjugate momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) = M\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,. \tag{2.56}$$

Thus, Eq. (2.50) is equivalent to the Hamilton equations of motion

$$\partial_t u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) = \big\{u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t), H_{\text{phon}}\big\} = \frac{\delta H_{\text{phon}}}{\delta \pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)}\,, \tag{2.57}$$

$$\partial_t \pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) = \big\{\pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t), H_{\text{phon}}\big\} = -\frac{\delta H_{\text{phon}}}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)}\,. \tag{2.58}$$

Here, we have introduced the *Poisson brackets,* which are defined for functionals of the displacement field and its conjugate momentum as

$$\{F,G\}(t) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\in\Gamma}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{\delta F[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}]}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)}\frac{\delta G[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}]}{\delta \pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)} - \frac{\delta G[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}]}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)}\frac{\delta F[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\pi}]}{\delta \pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)}\right). \tag{2.59}$$

In particular, the fundamental variables obey the Poisson bracket relations

$$\big\{u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, \pi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big\} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}\delta_{ij}\,, \tag{2.60}$$

$$\big\{u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big\} = 0\,, \tag{2.61}$$

$$\big\{\pi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, \pi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big\} = 0\,. \tag{2.62}$$

In Fourier space, these can be written equivalently as

$$\big\{u_i(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}},t),\, \pi_j(-\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},t)\big\} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}\delta_{ij}\,, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\big\{u_i(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}},t),\, u_j(-\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},t)\big\} = 0\,, \tag{2.64}$$

$$\big\{\pi_i(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}},t),\, \pi_j(-\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},t)\big\} = 0\,, \tag{2.65}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k_n}, \boldsymbol{k_m} \in \Gamma^*$ are dual lattice vectors (see App. A.1 and [120, Sec. 2.1] for our conventions). We note that the reality of $u_j(\boldsymbol{x_{m0}}, t)$ in real space implies in Fourier space that

$$u_j(-\boldsymbol{k_m}, t) = u_j^*(\boldsymbol{k_m}, t)\,, \tag{2.66}$$

and the analogous identity holds for the conjugate momentum. With all these definitions, the Hamiltonian (2.55) is of the usual form,

$$H_{\text{phon}} = T_{\text{phon}} + V_{\text{phon}}\,, \tag{2.67}$$

and hence the dynamical matrix can be identified with the second-derivative tensor of a potential energy $V_{\text{phon}} \equiv V_{\text{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ with respect to the displacement field, i.e.,

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}}, \boldsymbol{x_{m0}}) = \frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}})\partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x_{m0}})}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}}\,. \tag{2.68}$$

We now turn to the solutions of the equation of motion. First, we rewrite Eq. (2.50) in Fourier space as

$$\Big(-M\omega^2 \overleftrightarrow{1} + \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k_m})\Big)\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k_m}, \omega) = 0\,. \tag{2.69}$$

For brevity, we will in the following denote the dual lattice vectors by $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k_m} \in \Gamma^*$, although it should be kept in mind that at this point they are still discrete. They will become elements of the continuous Brillouin zone later on when we take the thermodynamic limit; see App. A.2.

The symmetries (2.53)–(2.54) of the dynamical matrix read in Fourier space

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{ij}^*(-\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{2.70}$$

and respectively,

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{ji}(-\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{2.71}$$

Together, these equations imply the hermiticity of the dynamical matrix,

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{ji}^*(\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv (K^\dagger)_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{2.72}$$

For each wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$, Eq. (2.69) can be interpreted as a hermitean eigenvalue equation. Consequently, for each $\boldsymbol{k}$ there are three linearly indepen-

dent solutions, which we label by the index $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The corresponding eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are determined by the condition (cf. [121, Chap. 4, § 7])

$$\det\left(-M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2 \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1} + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\right) = 0\,, \tag{2.73}$$

and the eigenvectors satisfy

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{2.74}$$

We assume these eigenvectors to be orthonormal,

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^\dagger\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda'} \equiv \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda'}^*\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,, \tag{2.75}$$

and complete,

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^\dagger = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,. \tag{2.76}$$

In particular, assuming that the dynamical matrix $K(\boldsymbol{k})$ is positive definite, there are always *two* eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} > 0$ and $-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} < 0$ for each $\boldsymbol{k}$ and λ (or an even number if the eigenspaces of the dynamical matrix have further degeneracies). These frequencies differ only by a sign but yield the same eigenvalue of Eq. (2.74). Therefore, the most general solution of Eq. (2.69) can be written as a linear superposition,

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \sqrt{2\pi}\,c\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}\left(\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\delta(\omega-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}) + \beta_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\delta(\omega+\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda})\right), \tag{2.77}$$

with complex coefficients $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and $\beta_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$. By an inverse Fourier transformation, this is equivalent to

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda}\left(\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t+\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \beta_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t+\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\right), \tag{2.78}$$

and this is the most general solution of the equation of motion (2.50). The requirement that the displacement field is real valued, however, implies that the coefficients $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and $\beta_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are not independent of each other. To derive the corresponding condition on them, we first note that by taking the complex conjugate of Eq. (2.74) and using Eq. (2.70), we obtain

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(-\boldsymbol{k})\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^* = M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*\,. \tag{2.79}$$

This shows that $\boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ also solves the equation of motion with the same eigenvalue $\omega^2_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ but with the inverse wavevector $(-\boldsymbol{k})$. In particular, we can thus label the solutions with the wavevector $(-\boldsymbol{k})$ by the same index λ as the solutions with the wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$, such that generally,

$$\omega_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,, \tag{2.80}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{2.81}$$

Now, by substituting $\boldsymbol{k} \to -\boldsymbol{k}$ in Eq. (2.78) and using the above conditions, we get the equivalent expression

$$\begin{aligned}&\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} \left(\alpha_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \beta_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.82}$$

Taking the complex conjugate further yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\boldsymbol{u}^*(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} \left(\alpha^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \beta^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.83}$$

Comparing this with Eq. (2.78) shows that the reality condition $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \boldsymbol{u}^*(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)$ is equivalent to the condition on the expansion coefficients,

$$\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = \beta^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,, \tag{2.84}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{k}$ and λ. With this, we can rewrite Eq. (2.78) as follows (after substituting $\boldsymbol{k} \to -\boldsymbol{k}$ in the second term):

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} \left(\alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \alpha^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\right). \tag{2.85}$$

This expression of the displacement field is manifestly real valued. Finally, we introduce the *mode expansion coefficients* by

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} := \sqrt{\frac{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{\hbar}}\, \alpha_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,, \tag{2.86}$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant divided by 2π. Then, the general solution of the classical equation of motion (2.50) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \tag{2.87}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \right) .$$

This is the *mode expansion* of the classical displacement field. One says that each $(\boldsymbol{k}, \lambda)$ labels a "phonon" mode, with λ distinguishing between the three different *polarizations* (directions of elongation) of the mode. Correspondingly, the $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are called the phonon *frequencies*, and the complex-valued mode expansion coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are called the respective phonon *amplitudes*. Similarly, by Eq. (2.56) the conjugate momentum can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \tag{2.88}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \mathrm{i} a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \right) .$$

Classically, the amplitudes $a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are to be fixed by the *initial conditions* at time $t = t_0$ on the displacement field,

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t_0) \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{u}_0(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) , \tag{2.89}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t_0) \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) . \tag{2.90}$$

Concretely, choosing $t_0 = 0$ for the sake of convenience, the Fourier transforms of the initial conditions can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{u}_0(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} + a^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} , \tag{2.91}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} + \mathrm{i} a^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} . \tag{2.92}$$

Conversely, we obtain the following explicit expression for the determination of the mode expansion coefficients,

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \cdot \left(\sqrt{M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}\, \boldsymbol{u}_0(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}}\, \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\boldsymbol{k}) \right) . \tag{2.93}$$

Furthermore, the classical Hamiltonian (2.55) evaluated at $t = 0$ can be expressed in terms of the Fourier-transformed initial conditions as

$$H_{\text{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\pi}] = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_0^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k})\, \boldsymbol{\pi}_0(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{u}_0^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \boldsymbol{u}_0(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{2.94}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{u}_0^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv (\boldsymbol{u}_0^{\mathrm{T}})^*(\boldsymbol{k}) = \boldsymbol{u}_0^{\mathrm{T}}(-\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{2.95}$$

By putting Eqs. (2.91)–(2.92) into Eq. (2.94), we obtain after a short calculation the *classical* Hamiltonian in terms of the phonon amplitudes:

$$H_{\text{phon}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \frac{\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^* a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} + a_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} a_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^* a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{2.96}$$

For this concise expression, the normalization of the mode expansion coefficients via Eq. (2.86) is crucial. The possibility to express the energy in terms of the expansion coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}, a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*$ has in fact a deeper meaning: On a fundamental level, the general solution (2.87) has to be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.97}$$

with the time-dependent coefficients

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) = a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t}\,, \tag{2.98}$$

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*(t) = a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^*\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t}\,. \tag{2.99}$$

The reason for this is that the general form (2.97) holds for *kinetic* reasons, i.e., the displacement field can be written in this way independently of its equation of motion. On the other hand, the time evolution (2.98)–(2.99) of the expansion coefficients is determined by the *dynamics* of the system, i.e. by the equation of motion. In other words, the expansion coefficients constitute *generalized coordinates* and therefore correspond to the *dynamical degrees of freedom*.

It is straightforward to show that the Poisson bracket relations (2.60)–(2.62) of the fundamental degrees of freedom are equivalent to the relations

$$\left\{a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t),\, a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}(t)\right\} = (\mathrm{i}\hbar)^{-1}\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k}'}\,\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,, \tag{2.100}$$

$$\left\{a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t),\, a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}(t)\right\} = 0\,, \tag{2.101}$$

$$\left\{a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t),\, a_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}(t)\right\} = 0\,, \tag{2.102}$$

of these generalized coordinates. We stress again that all the above considerations are completely classical.

2.3.2. Quantized displacement field

In order to retrieve a full-fledged quantum theory of the nuclear system, one has to quantize the displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)$. As always, quantization consists in the replacement of the classical variables corresponding to the dynamical degrees of freedom with operators. This can be done on different levels of sophistication. The most intuitive way is to step back and consider the nuclei as an N-particle system with canonical coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, which is quantized by postulating the well-known *canonical commutator relations*,

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right] = \mathrm{i}\hbar\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,, \tag{2.103}$$

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right] = 0\,, \tag{2.104}$$

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right] = 0\,. \tag{2.105}$$

These replace the classical Poisson bracket relations (2.60)–(2.62). The commutator relations can be realized by the operators

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mapsto \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\,, \tag{2.106}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \mapsto \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}}\,, \tag{2.107}$$

acting on N-particle nuclear wave functions

$$\Phi^N \equiv \Phi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_N})\,, \tag{2.108}$$

which possibly also depend on the electronic coordinates. Of course, all of this is just standard quantum mechanics. It can be re-interpreted as a quantum field theory though, if we write the nuclear positions in terms of

the displacement field as in Eq. (2.41). Now, under quantization the equilibrium positions $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$ remain ordinary numbers, whereas the displacements become operators, i.e.,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,, \tag{2.109}$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ denotes the identity operator. With this, the canonical commutator relations (2.103)–(2.105) translate into

$$\big[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, M\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big] = \mathrm{i}\hbar\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}\overleftrightarrow{1}\,, \tag{2.110}$$

$$\big[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big] = 0\,, \tag{2.111}$$

$$\big[\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t),\, \partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t)\big] = 0\,. \tag{2.112}$$

We conclude that *standard quantum mechanics for the system of N nuclei is equivalent to a quantum field theory for the displacement field on a lattice with N points*. From the quantum mechanical point of view, the quantization implies the transition from *classical trajectories* $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(t)$ to a time-dependent probability amplitude,

$$\Phi_t^N(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_1},\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_N})\,, \tag{2.113}$$

for the particles to be at the positions $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_1},\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}_N}$ at time t. By contrast, the same quantization procedure means from the quantum field theoretical point of view the transition from the *classical displacement field* $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)$ to a time-dependent probability amplitude,

$$\Phi_t^N[\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})]\,, \tag{2.114}$$

for the displacement field to be in the classical field configuration $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$ at the time t. In particular (as is always the case) the term 'quantum field theory' denotes none other than the quantum theory of fields, or put differently, quantum field theory is related to classical field theory in precisely the same way as quantum mechanics is related to classical mechanics.

We now come to the quantized Hamiltonian of the lattice oscillations. The decisive fact here is that the field quantization (2.110)–(2.112) is equivalent to the replacement of the classical mode expansion coefficients $a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and their complex conjugates $a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ with operators $\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and their hermitean adjoints $\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$

fulfilling the commutation relations

$$\left[\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda},\, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}\right] = \delta_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k}'}\,\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,, \tag{2.115}$$

$$\left[\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda},\, \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}\right] = 0\,, \tag{2.116}$$

$$\left[\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda},\, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}'\lambda'}\right] = 0\,, \tag{2.117}$$

which replace the classical Poisson bracket relations (2.100)–(2.102). The displacement field (2.87) now becomes an operator-valued solution,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \tag{2.118}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t + \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t - \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \right)\,,$$

of the equation of motion (2.50). Correspondingly, the classical Hamiltonian (2.96) translates into the Hamiltonian operator

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{phon}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{2.119}$$

This Hamiltonian is a sum of harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians (one for each mode $(\boldsymbol{k}, \lambda)$), the energy eigenstates of which can easily be constructed by acting successively with the creation operators $\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ on the quantum field theoretical "vacuum". In our case, this "vacuum" simply corresponds to the ground state $|\Phi_0\rangle$ of the N-particle nuclear system with the quantized Hamiltonian (2.55). A general energy eigenstate then has the form (cf. Eq. (B.50))

$$|n_1; \ldots; n_M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \ldots n_M!}}\, (\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}_1\lambda_1})^{n_1} \ldots (\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}_M\lambda_M})^{n_M}\, |\Phi_0\rangle\,, \tag{2.120}$$

with the *occupation numbers* $n_i \equiv n_{\boldsymbol{k}_i\lambda_i}$. Hence, it is interpreted as a state with $(n_1 + \ldots + n_M)$ *phonons* and the total energy

$$\langle n_1; \ldots; n_M\, |\, \hat{H}_{\mathrm{phon}}\, |\, n_1; \ldots n_M\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i\, \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}_i\lambda_i}\,. \tag{2.121}$$

We conclude that the term 'phonon' by no means designates some kind of "material entity", let alone a ("quasi") particle. Instead, the phonon concept serves to enumerate the energy eigenstates of the free, quantized displacement

field. In particular, if we encounter a state of $n_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ phonons, then the energy is necessarily "localized" in the mode $(\boldsymbol{k}, \lambda)$, but it is in no way localized at some alleged positions of the phonons. Similarly, it makes no sense to speak of an electron being "surrounded" by a polarization "cloud" consisting of phonons, not to mention even more obscure allegories. In this article, we will strictly abstain from such reifications.

2.3.3. Dynamical matrix in electronic structure theory

Thus far, we have obtained a decoupled theory consisting of a quantum field theory for the electrons (in the form of the quantized Schrödinger field $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$) and a quantum field theory for the nuclei (in the form of the quantized displacement field $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)$). However, in the quantum theory of the displacement field, the dynamical matrix and its ensuing "phonon" frequencies are not specified.

Electronic structure theory now provides for a *quantitative* prediction (see e.g. [122]) of the phonon frequencies and the dynamical matrix by giving an explicit expression for the (yet undetermined) potential energy V_{phon} as a functional of the nuclear positions, i.e. of the displacement field. This expression is given by the sum of the nuclear Coulomb interaction energy $V_{\text{n-n}}$ and the electronic energy $E_{\text{e}0}$ in the ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ (cf. [7, Chap. 19.1]). In other words, in electronic structure theory one identifies

$$V_{\text{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u}] \equiv V_{\text{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,, \tag{2.122}$$

with the nuclear potential energy $V_{\text{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ defined by Eq. (2.42). The dynamical matrix (2.68) is then given explicitly by the second-order derivative

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) = \left.\frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,\partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})}\right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}}. \tag{2.123}$$

We conclude that within the limits of standard electronic structure theory, electrons and nuclei decouple in the following sense: in the calculation of the electronic ground state, the nuclei are assumed to be fixed at $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{u}$, while in the calculation of the nuclear dynamics, one assumes a fixed Hamiltonian given in terms of the dynamical matrix (2.123). Thus, the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) is replaced by the decoupled Hamiltonians

$$\hat{H} \mapsto \hat{H}_{\text{e}0} + \hat{H}_{\text{phon}}\,, \tag{2.124}$$

where the first operator is given by Eq. (2.30), while the second operator results from Eq. (2.55) by replacing the classical displacement field with its quantized analogon. The latter operator is (up to normal ordering ambiguities) equivalent to the Hamiltonian (2.119).

Unfortunately though, this approach is not capable of incorporating the *dynamical* effects of the electron-phonon coupling. The reason for this is that the electronic Hamiltonian (2.30) is always given in terms of a *fixed* nuclear charge density $\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}$. The best approximation with a Hamiltonian of this form is achieved if the input nuclear density corresponds to the exact crystal lattice. By contrast, dynamical effects would arise if the *dynamical* nuclear displacement field could act back on the electrons. We stress that an *exact* description of such a dynamical electron-phonon coupling is clearly *not possible*, simply because it would lead back to the original, fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) of electrons and *nuclei*. On the other hand, the ground state of this fundamental Hamiltonian does not factorize into a product of an electronic and a nuclear wave function, and can therefore not exactly be described by decoupled electronic and nuclear Hamiltonians as in (2.124).

3. Response Theory of effective interactions

The idea of the Response Theory of the electron-nuclear coupling is to go beyond the standard electronic structure Hamiltonian (2.30) by introducing *effective interactions,* i.e., an effective electron interaction mediated by phonons *and* an effective nuclear interaction mediated by electrons. These effective interactions are introduced *in addition* to the ordinary electron-electron or internuclear Coulomb interactions, respectively, and will allow for a *dynamical* decoupling of electrons and nuclei.

3.1. Dynamical decoupling of electrons and nuclei

In order to derive the effective interactions in their most general form, we rewrite the non-local but instantaneous, electron-nuclear interaction Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}}(t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, v(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{3.2}$$

with the time-dependent, but instantaneous Coulomb interaction kernel

$$v(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t) \equiv v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\delta(ct-ct') = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\,\frac{\delta(ct-ct')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Inspired by [46, Eq. (15.2)], we decompose the electronic and nuclear densities into a *reference* part and a *dynamical* (or *fluctuation*) part:

$$\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the electronic ground-state density given by

$$\langle\Psi_0|\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})|\Psi_0\rangle = (-e)\,ZN\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_2\ldots\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_{ZN}\,(\Psi_0^*\Psi_0)(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_{ZN})\,, \tag{3.6}$$

corresponding to the electronic Hamiltonian (2.30), while the nuclear reference density $\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}$ has been defined in Eq. (2.33). Under quantization, the densities then turn into operators:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting these decompositions into the fundamental interaction Hamiltonian (3.1) leads in an abridged notation to

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} = \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\,v\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{3.9}$$

$$= \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\,v\,\rho_{\mathrm{n}0} + \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\,v\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\,v\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} - \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\,v\,\rho_{\mathrm{n}0} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\equiv \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} - V^0_{\mathrm{e-n}}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

The first term,

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\,\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = v\,\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}\,, \tag{3.12}$$

has already been defined in Eq. (2.31) and describes the electron dynamics in the external potential of the fixed nuclear charge density $\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}$. Similarly, the second term,

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}\,\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} = v\,\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\,, \tag{3.13}$$

describes the nuclear dynamics in the external potential of the fixed electronic charge density $\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}$. By contrast, the third term,

$$\delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} = \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

couples the dynamical parts of the densities. Finally, the last term in Eq. (3.11) is constant and has therefore no bearing on the dynamics. Thus, the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) can be re-expressed as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}0} + \delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} - V^0_{\mathrm{e-n}}\,, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}$ was defined in Eq. (2.30), while $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}0}$ is analogously defined as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}0} = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{n}} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{n-n}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

In particular, at this level of decoupling, the theory is still symmetric between electrons and nuclei. For later purposes, we note that the splitting of the interaction Hamiltonian can alternatively be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} &= \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} && (3.17)\\
&= \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \rho_{\mathrm{n}0} + \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\, v\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} + \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}} + \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\, v\, \rho_{\mathrm{n}0} && (3.18)\\
&\equiv \delta\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \delta\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}} + V^0_{\mathrm{e-n}}\,, && (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where this last expression exclusively involves density fluctuation operators.

The purpose of introducing *effective interactions* is now to replace the electron-nuclear coupling $\delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-n}}$ in the Hamiltonian (3.15) with two interaction terms which involve exclusively electronic or nuclear operators, respectively, thus leading to a decoupling of the electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom. To put this idea into practice, the Response Theory of effective interactions writes the classical interaction term as

$$\delta^2 V_{\mathrm{e-n}} = \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}\,, \tag{3.20}$$

and quantizes it, $\delta\rho \mapsto \delta\hat{\rho}$, after eliminating the nuclear density fluctuation from the first term and the electronic density fluctuation from the second term. This can be achieved by *linear response theory*, where the density fluctuations in the presence of external potential variations are given in terms

of the density response functions as (cf. App. C.1)

$$\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext,n}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\chi_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext,e}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{3.22}$$

Here, $\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{e}0}$ refer to nuclear and electronic *reference* density response functions, respectively. To get rid of the external potentials *exerted on* the nuclei and electrons, we interpret them in turn to be *generated by* the electronic or nuclear density fluctuations, respectively. This means, we set

$$\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext,n}} = \delta\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}\,, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext,e}} = \delta\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}\,, \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\delta\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t''\,v(\boldsymbol{x}',t';\boldsymbol{x}'',t'')\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}'',t'')\,, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\delta\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t''\,v(\boldsymbol{x}',t';\boldsymbol{x}'',t'')\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}'',t'')\,. \tag{3.26}$$

In particular, if the nuclear density fluctuation in the last equation is interpreted as being generated by the fluctuations of the nuclei around their equilibrium positions, then $\delta\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ corresponds to a *deformation potential* (see e.g. [123, Chap. 1.3]). With this, putting Eqs. (3.21)–(3.22) into Eq. (3.20), we arrive at the expression

$$\delta^2 V_{\mathrm{e-n}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}\,v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}\,v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{n-n}}\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}\,, \tag{3.27}$$

where the *effective electronic or nuclear interaction kernels* are given respectively by

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = v\,\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}\,v\,, \tag{3.28}$$

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{n-n}} = v\,\chi_{\mathrm{e}0}\,v\,. \tag{3.29}$$

Here, we have used again an abridged notation, where $v\chi_0 v$ stands for

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \tag{3.30}$$
$$\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int c\,\mathrm{d}s \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}s'\,v(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{y},s)\,\chi_0(\boldsymbol{y},s;\boldsymbol{y}',s')\,v(\boldsymbol{y}',s';\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,.$$

The above equations (3.28)–(3.29) constitute a central result of the Response Theory of effective interactions. In their quantized form, these effective interaction kernels give rise to the interaction Hamiltonians

$$\delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int c\,{\rm d}t'\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,v^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm n-n}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int c\,{\rm d}t'\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,v^{\rm eff}_{\rm n-n}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \quad (3.32)$$

These expressions are analogous to the usual Coulomb interaction (3.2), but with the Coulomb interaction kernel (3.3) replaced by the effective interaction kernels (3.28) and (3.29), and the density operators replaced by the density fluctuation operators defined by Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8).

In summary, the Response Theory of effective interactions replaces the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1) by a decoupled Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} \mapsto \hat{H}^{\rm eff} = \hat{H}_{\rm e} + \hat{H}_{\rm n} - V^0_{\rm e-n}\,, \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\rm e} = \hat{H}_{\rm e0} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e} = \hat{T}_{\rm e} + \hat{V}_{\rm e-e} + \hat{V}^{\rm n}_{\rm ext} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}\,, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\rm n} = \hat{H}_{\rm n0} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm n-n} = \hat{T}_{\rm n} + \hat{V}_{\rm n-n} + \hat{V}^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\rm eff}_{\rm n-n}\,. \quad (3.35)$$

Although by the above effective Hamiltonians the electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom *completely* decouple on the formal level, there is a price to pay, namely the enlargement of the input data. While the electronic structure Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\rm e0}$ only assumes the knowledge of a predetermined reference density $\rho_{\rm n0}$, the effective Hamiltonians (3.34) and (3.35) also depend on undetermined *reference response functions* $\chi_{\rm n0}$ and $\chi_{\rm e0}$.

3.2. Total interaction kernels and screening

As opposed to the *effective* interaction kernels, the *total* (or "*total effective*") interaction kernels take into account both the ordinary Coulomb interaction *and* the effective interactions as derived in the previous subsection. Hence, for electrons or nuclei they are respectively defined as

$$v^{\rm tot}_{\rm e-e} = v + v\,\chi_{\rm n0}\,v\,, \quad (3.36)$$

$$v^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n} = v + v\,\chi_{\rm e0}\,v\,. \quad (3.37)$$

Both these total effective interaction kernels have the form of a Hedin-type screened interaction (see e.g. [46, 124]). The latter is given by

$$W = v + v\chi v = v + v\widetilde{\chi}W\,, \tag{3.38}$$

where the *proper* density response function $\widetilde{\chi}$ is related to the direct density response function χ by (see Eq. (C.13))

$$\chi = \widetilde{\chi} + \widetilde{\chi} v \chi\,. \tag{3.39}$$

By further introducing the (longitudinal) electronic and nuclear dielectric functions as in Eqs. (C.14)–(C.15), i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n0}}^{-1} = 1 + v\chi_{\mathrm{n0}}\,, \tag{3.40}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e0}}^{-1} = 1 + v\chi_{\mathrm{e0}}\,, \tag{3.41}$$

the total effective interaction kernels can now be rewritten compactly in the following form:

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n0}}^{-1}\, v\,, \tag{3.42}$$

$$v_{\mathrm{n-n}}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{e0}}^{-1}\, v\,. \tag{3.43}$$

Therefore, we arrive at the following simple *interpretation of the total interaction kernels:* the electrons interact effectively with a Coulomb potential screened *by the nuclei*, whereas the nuclei interact effectively with a Coulomb potential screened *by the electrons*. We remark that the form (3.36) of the total electron-electron interaction can already be found in Ref. [46, Eqs. (15.16)], while the rewritten form (3.42) can be found in Refs. [111, Eq. (26.24)] or [18, Eq. (4.20)]. Furthermore, we remark that these considerations show that the phonon-mediated interaction combines with the usual Coulomb interaction into a total effective interaction which in fact *is* the screened interaction of the electrons. Consequently, there is absolutely no need to further screen the Coulomb kernel v entering the expression (3.42) for the effective electron-electron interaction by an "electronic dielectric constant", as it is usually done in the textbook literature following the ad hoc argument that this "represent[s] the effect of the other electrons in screening the interaction between a given pair" [111, p. 518]. As this would correspond to an *overscreening* of the electron interaction, we abstain from this procedure in this article, and in comparing our results to the textbook literature we will always undo its effect.

3.3. Total interaction operators and Hartree potentials

In this subsection, we consider again the effective interaction Hamiltonians defined by Eqs. (3.31)–(3.32). As mentioned above, they differ from the instantaneous Coulomb interaction in *two* respects: the interaction kernels are different, *and* the density operators are replaced by the density fluctuation operators. The latter is due to the fact that part of the electron-nuclear interaction is already included in the respective external potentials $\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ and $\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ (see Eqs. (3.34)–(3.35)). As a consequence, one cannot directly merge the Coulomb interaction and the effective interaction into a new total interaction Hamiltonian with the interaction kernel v^{tot}. To overcome this difficulty, we use again the decompositions (3.7)–(3.8) to rewrite the Coulomb interaction operator, e.g. in the electronic case, as follows:

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}} \tag{3.44}$$

$$= \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\, v\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}} - \frac{1}{2}\,\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}\, v \rho_{\mathrm{e}0} \tag{3.45}$$

$$= \hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}} + \delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} - V^0_{\mathrm{e-e}}\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Here, the first term,

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{3.47}$$

is a Hartree-type operator given in terms of the reference density by

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')\, \rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{3.48}$$

The second term in Eq. (3.46) involves only density fluctuation operators and can therefore be merged with the effective electron interaction into the *total* interaction operator

$$\delta^2\hat{V}^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}} + \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\, v^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}\, \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}\,. \tag{3.49}$$

The last term in Eq. (3.46) is again constant and has therefore no effect on the dynamics. For later purposes, we note that an alternative splitting of the Coulomb interaction operator is

$$\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \delta\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}} + \delta^2\hat{V}_{\mathrm{e-e}} + V^0_{\mathrm{e-e}}\,, \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$\delta\hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{3.51}$$

and hence this latter decomposition involves only density fluctuation operators. Proceeding analogously in the nuclear case, we can finally rewrite the equations (3.34)–(3.35) as follows:

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}} = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{e}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{H}} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} - V^0_{\mathrm{e-e}}\,, \tag{3.52}$$

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{n}} = \hat{T}_{\mathrm{n}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{H}} + \delta^2\hat{V}^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\mathrm{n-n}} - V^0_{\mathrm{n-n}}\,. \tag{3.53}$$

In conclusion, we stress that a total effective interaction Hamiltonian can only be introduced if a Hartree contribution is split off from the Coulomb interaction. In other words, it is not possible to treat the effective interaction naïvely as a first-quantized interaction kernel.

3.4. Instantaneous limit and interactions stricto sensu

As explained in Sec. 3.1, the effective interaction kernels will in general not have the simple form of an *instantaneous* interaction, i.e.,

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t') \neq v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\,\delta(ct - ct')\,, \tag{3.54}$$

as would be the case for the Coulomb interaction kernel (3.3). By consequence, the effective interaction kernels do not lead to effective Hamiltonians *stricto sensu*. This can be seen as follows: The purely electronic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}$ is given in second quantization as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}} = &\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + v^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})\right)\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ &+ \frac{e^2}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\; \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}')\,v(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

Defining the time dependence of the field operators in the Heisenberg picture,

$$\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}/\hbar}\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}/\hbar}\,, \tag{3.56}$$

and using that

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}/\hbar}\,\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e0}}/\hbar}\,, \tag{3.57}$$

the electronic Hamiltonian can be written equivalently as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + v^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right) \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \tag{3.58}$$
$$+ \frac{e^2}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}',t') v(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}',t') \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,,$$

where v is the instantaneous Coulomb interaction kernel given by Eq. (3.3). Although the time dependence of the field operators $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is determined by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}$ itself, this equation is still an *explicit* equation for that very Hamiltonian. The reason for this is, again, that in Eq. (3.58) all time dependencies from Eq. (3.56) cancel, and thus we simply end up with the original form (3.55) which does not involve any time-dependent operator. This situation changes, however, if the interaction kernel is not instantaneous, as in the case of the effective electronic Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}} = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} + \delta^2 \hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}$. Now, we cannot simply drop all the time dependencies. Instead, the time dependencies of the field operators entering the effective Hamiltonian are themselves to be determined by a suitable Hamiltonian operator. The corresponding formula for the effective interaction would therefore at best yield an *implicit* equation for the determination of that effective Hamiltonian, since $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ would appear in a hidden form also in the time dependencies of the field operators.

In order to get an effective interaction *stricto sensu*, one therefore has to take a suitable *instantaneous limit*, which is most easily done directly in the interaction kernel. To put this into practice, we first observe that the effective interaction can be written in terms of its Fourier transform as

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';t-t') = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi c}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega(t-t')}\, v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega)\,. \tag{3.59}$$

By approximating the frequency-dependent effective interaction in the integrand by its zero-frequency limit,

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega) \mapsto v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,, \tag{3.60}$$

and using the Fourier representation of the Dirac delta distribution,

$$\delta(ct-ct') = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi c}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega(t-t')}\,, \tag{3.61}$$

we obtain the concise formula for the instantaneous limit,

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';t-t') \mapsto v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,\delta(ct-ct')\,. \tag{3.62}$$

This leads to an interaction Hamiltonian of the form

$$\delta^2\hat{V}^{\text{eff}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\delta\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,v^{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,\delta\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,, \tag{3.63}$$

which in fact is instantaneous. In this approximation, the effective Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{e}}$ is a true many-body Hamiltonian and therefore appropriate for phenomenological models, as they are typically used in the theory of superconductivity (see e.g. [17, 19, 107, 125]).

Before we now come to more detailed investigations of the effective electron and nuclear interactions, let us summarize again the fundamental problems of effective interactions in general: (i) they require the previous knowledge of reference response functions, (ii) they act only on the density fluctuations, not on the densities themselves, and (iii) they are in general frequency dependent. In particular, the last two points make it clear that effective interactions must not be employed naïvely, i.e. analogously to the Coulomb interaction in a first-quantized Hamiltonian. In particular, a description in terms of effective interactions is inequivalent to the original coupled problem.

4. Effective electron interaction mediated by phonons

In the last section, we have derived the fundamental equations (3.28)–(3.29) for the effective electron-electron und nucleus-nucleus interactions, respectively. They followed from a heuristic application of linear response theory to the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei. Quite as the high degree of nuclear localization and the ensuing derivation of the purely electronic structure Hamiltonian, this decoupling scheme is far from being exact and, in particular, cannot be justified theoretically from first principles by present means. Instead, it has to be justified by its consequences. Fortunately, the concept of phonon-mediated electron-electron interactions is already well established in condensed matter physics and hence as such does not have to be justified anew. In this section, we will therefore corroborate our basic ansatz, Eqs. (3.28)–(3.29), by a systematic reproduction of the already existing standard expressions for the phonon-mediated interaction. Essentially, there are *five* such standard expressions for phonon-mediated interactions: (i) in terms of the elastic Green function, (ii) in terms of the phonon Green function, (iii) in terms of the longitudinal phonon dispersion relation, (iv) in terms of second-order perturbation theory, and (v) in terms of the Fröhlich Hamiltonian. Below, we will show that all of these can be reproduced straightforwardly from the simple ansatz (3.28).

4.1. Rederivation of standard expressions

4.1.1. Expression in terms of elastic Green function

The fundamental formula (3.28) for the effective electron interaction mediated by the nuclear density has been derived without any assumptions on the material. Hence it is generally valid and in particular takes into account all possible effects of inhomogeneity and anisotropy. Any material-specific property affects only the concrete form of the nuclear reference response function. However, this general formula is not always suitable for *practical* purposes due to the high degree of localization of the nuclei around the crystal lattice points. Taking this fact into account, we will derive in this subsection a simplified expression for the effective electron interaction in terms of the *elastic Green function* (the reason for this name will become clear in App. D). The elastic Green function is defined as a (3×3) matrix-valued distribution (see [46, Eq. (16.8)]),

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\, D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t; \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t') = \langle \Phi_0 | \mathcal{T}\, \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\, \hat{u}_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t') | \Phi_0 \rangle \,, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}} = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2, \hat{u}_3)^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the quantized displacement field as defined in Eq. (2.118). Furthermore, $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is the ground state of the nuclear subsystem with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{phon}}$, which is the quantized analogon of Eq. (2.55). Finally, the action of the time-ordering operator $\mathcal{T}$ on the bosonic field operators $\hat{u}_i$ is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{T}\, \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\, \hat{u}_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t') = \\ &\Theta(t - t')\, \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\, \hat{u}_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t') + \Theta(t' - t)\, \hat{u}_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t')\, \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where Θ denotes the Heaviside step function. The elastic Green function obeys the equation of motion (cf. [46, Eq. (B.23)])

$$\big(\big(M\partial_t^2 + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}\big)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\big)(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t; \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}, t') = \delta(ct - ct')\, \delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1} \,, \tag{4.3}$$

and is therefore indeed a Green function for the classical displacement field. This can be shown by using the well-known relation

$$\partial_t \Theta(t - t') = \delta(t - t') \,, \tag{4.4}$$

the fact that the quantized displacement field $\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)$ fulfills the classical equation of motion (2.50), the equal-time commutation relations (2.110)–(2.112), and the distributional identity (C.84).

We will now show that due to the high degree of nuclear localization, the nuclear density response function can be expressed in terms of the elastic Green function. For this purpose, we start from the classical expression for the density of point particles,

$$\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(t))\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where for simplicity we have assumed again that the basis of the lattice consists of only one nucleus. The first-order deviation from the equilibrium density is then given by

$$\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\right) \tag{4.6}$$

in terms of the displacement field. This function turns into an operator under the replacement $u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) \mapsto \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)$. Furthermore, the (time-ordered) nuclear density response function is given in a quantum field theoretical setting by (see e.g. [21, Eq. (6.42)] or App. C.3)

$$\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\langle\Phi_0|\,\mathcal{T}\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,. \tag{4.7}$$

By inserting Eq. (4.6) into this expression and using Eq. (4.1), the nuclear density response function can be expressed in terms of the elastic Green function as follows (cf. [126, Eq. (11.18)]):

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \\ &-Z^2e^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,\boldsymbol{m},j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\right) D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t;\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Putting this result into the effective phonon-mediated interaction given by Eq. (3.28) leads after partial integration to the representation

$$\begin{aligned}&v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \\ &-Z^2e^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,\boldsymbol{m},j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,v(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\right) D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t;\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t') \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j}\,v(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0} - \boldsymbol{x}')\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We further introduce the *Coulomb force kernel,* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \equiv \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$, which is defined in terms of the Coulomb interaction kernel by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = -\nabla v(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{|\boldsymbol{r}|^3}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

and whose Fourier transform is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{r}\; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{r})\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} = -\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\, v(\boldsymbol{k}) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\frac{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

With this, we can write the result (4.9) more compactly as

$$\begin{aligned} &v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \\ &Z^2e^2 \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\,\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t;\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t')\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

This formula, which relates the effective electron-electron interaction to the elastic Green function, represents the most general expression of a phonon-mediated interaction in the linear régime. It includes all possible effects of inhomogeneity and anisotropy, and it coincides with the expression given by Hedin and Lundqvist in [46, Eq. (16.7)].

For later purposes, let us also rewrite Eq. (4.12) in the Fourier domain. While the Coulomb force kernels transform as in Eq. (4.11), the effective interaction kernel in the Fourier domain is given by

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}';\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\, v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}'}\,. \tag{4.13}$$

From this, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}';\omega) = \\ &-\frac{Z^2e^2}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k}) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0};\omega)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}\right) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k}')\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where we have used that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{*}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(-\boldsymbol{k}) = -\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{4.15}$$

While Eq. (4.14) is valid for arbitrary wavevectors $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}' \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the Fourier transformation of the elastic Green function is only defined for dual lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}' \in \Gamma^*$. In order to simplify the above expression, we therefore have to take the *thermodynamic limit* as explained in App. A.2. In this limit, any wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$ can be decomposed as

$$\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}\,, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ lies in the first Brillouin zone, and $\boldsymbol{G}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector. Per definitionem, $\exp(\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = 1$ holds for any direct lattice vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$, and hence we can write Eq. (4.14) in the thermodynamic limit as

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{k}_0' + \boldsymbol{G}'; \omega) = \\ &-\frac{Z^2 e^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_0\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}; \omega)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_0'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}} \right) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0' + \boldsymbol{G}')\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{k}_0' + \boldsymbol{G}'; \omega) = \\ &-\frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{V} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G})\, \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \boldsymbol{k}_0'; \omega)\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0' + \boldsymbol{G}')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Here, the additional factor $(2\pi)^3 N/V = |\mathcal{B}|$ in the last line, which equals the volume of the Brillouin zone $\mathcal{B}$, stems from the definition of the Fourier transform in the thermodynamic limit (see App. A.2, in particular Eqs. (A.34)–(A.35)). Further using the continuum residue of the invariance of the elastic Green function under lattice translations,

$$\overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \boldsymbol{k}_0'; \omega) = \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \omega)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}_0 - \boldsymbol{k}_0')\,, \tag{4.19}$$

we finally obtain

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{k}_0' + \boldsymbol{G}'; \omega) = (v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \omega)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}_0 - \boldsymbol{k}_0')\,, \tag{4.20}$$

with

$$(v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}})^{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \omega) = -\frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{V} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G})\, \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_0, \omega)\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k}_0 + \boldsymbol{G}')\,. \tag{4.21}$$

In particular, we see that the effective interaction in Fourier space generally depends on one wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}_0$ in the first Brillouin zone and two reciprocal

lattice vectors $\boldsymbol{G}$, $\boldsymbol{G}'$. Usually, however, the effective interaction is assumed to be a function of one Bloch wavevector only (see e.g. [19, Eq. (3.10)] or [127, Eq. (4.7)]). In order to recover the usual expressions, we therefore have to neglect all components except for $\boldsymbol{G} = \boldsymbol{G}' = 0$, which results in the simpler expression (valid for $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_0 \in \mathcal{B}$),

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{V}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{4.22}$$

By the explicit expression (4.11) of the Coulomb force kernel, we finally obtain the effective electron-electron interaction kernel in terms of the elastic Green function as

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{\varepsilon_0^2 V}\, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\, \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, \boldsymbol{k}}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^4}\,. \tag{4.23}$$

In the following, we will derive an even more concrete form of this effective interaction by using an explicit expression of the elastic Green function in terms of the phonon dispersion relation.

4.1.2. Expression in terms of phonon dispersion relation

In the preceding subsection, we have derived a general but rather abstract expression for the phonon-mediated interaction. By contrast, in this subsection we will transform this expression into a form which displays a clearcut analogy to the Coulomb interaction. In other words, we will show how to complement the Coulomb kernel in the Fourier domain, $v(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1/(\varepsilon_0 |\boldsymbol{k}|^2)$, by approximate effective interaction kernels $v^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)$ as they are frequently used in tracts on superconductivity (see e.g. [17, 19, 107, 125]). Starting from the elastic Green function, it will turn out that this can be done by employing the phonon dispersion relation.

Our aim is to derive a concrete expression for the elastic Green function, which in the wavevector-time domain reads

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\, D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}, t-t') = \langle \Phi_0 |\, \mathcal{T}\, \hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{k},t)\, \hat{u}_j(-\boldsymbol{k},t')\, |\, \Phi_0 \rangle\,, \tag{4.24}$$

with $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \Gamma^*$. For this purpose, we first express the spatial Fourier transform of the displacement field (2.87) as follows (cf. [128, Eq. (2.129)]):

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}}\, \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t} + a_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^{*}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} t} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{4.25}$$

By putting the corresponding quantized field,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \,, \tag{4.26}$$

into Eq. (4.24) and using that in the nuclear ground state,

$$\langle \Phi_0 \,|\, \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \,|\, \Phi_0 \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \,, \tag{4.27}$$

we obtain the following expression for the elastic Green function:

$$D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}, t-t') = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{c} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}|t-t'|}}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}} [\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]_i [\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]^*_j \,. \tag{4.28}$$

Here, we have used the symmetries (2.80)–(2.81) of the unit vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and the corresponding eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$. By a Fourier transformation with respect to the time variable (cf. [120, Sec. 2.1]),

$$D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \int c \,\mathrm{d}\tau \; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega\tau} \, D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\tau) \,, \tag{4.29}$$

we further obtain the explicit expression

$$D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega^2_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} + \mathrm{i}\eta} \, [\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]_i \, [\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]^*_j \,, \tag{4.30}$$

where the regularization infinitesimal $\mathrm{i}\eta$ ensures that the Green function is time ordered. In the following, we will drop the regularization indicator $\mathrm{i}\eta$ because we are only interested in the $\boldsymbol{k}$ dependence of the effective interaction. As shown in App. A.2, Eq. (A.40), the above expression remains invariant in the thermodynamic limit where $\boldsymbol{k}$ ranges in the first Brillouin zone. By putting this into our result (4.23), we obtain

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{\varepsilon_0^2 MV} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \frac{|\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}|^2}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^4} \, \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega^2_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}} \,. \tag{4.31}$$

This is the general expression for the phonon-mediated electron interaction in terms of the phonon dispersion relation and the phonon polarization vectors.

We now further assume that the solid is *isotropic,* such that the dynamical matrix is given by (see App. D.1)

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{4.32}$$

with the longitudinal and transverse projection operators P_{L} and P_{T} (see [120, Sec. 2.1]). Hence, in this case the dynamical matrix is already diagonal and we can directly read off the eigenvalues, which we write as

$$K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = M\,\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2\,, \tag{4.33}$$

$$K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = M\,\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}}^2\,. \tag{4.34}$$

Correspondingly, there is one "longitudinal phonon" mode with an eigenvector $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}$ parallel to $\boldsymbol{k}$ and two degenerate "transverse phonon" modes with eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}(1)}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}(2)}$ perpendicular to the wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$. The elastic Green function is therefore given by

$$\overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = D_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + D_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{4.35}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{M}\,\frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2}\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \frac{1}{M}\,\frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}}^2}\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{4.36}$$

From Eq. (4.31), we see directly that only the longitudinal phonon mode contributes to the effective interaction, thus giving

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{\varepsilon_0^2 M V}\,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,\frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2}\,. \tag{4.37}$$

This expression of the effective electron-electron interaction in terms of the longitudinal phonon dispersion relation $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}$ coincides with the interaction derived by G. Blatter in [129, Eq. (11.14)]. It is a special case of our more general result (4.31), being valid in the isotropic limit. Finally, for the *total* effective interaction kernel, we obtain from Eqs. (2.3) and (4.37) the following expression:

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{tot}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = v(\boldsymbol{k}) + v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{4.38}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 |\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\left(1 + \frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{\varepsilon_0 M V}\,\frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2}\right). \tag{4.39}$$

Writing this in the form of Eq. (3.42) shows that the term in brackets,

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n0}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = 1 + \frac{Z^2 e^2 N}{\varepsilon_0 M V} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2}\,, \tag{4.40}$$

represents a reference dielectric function of the undamped Lorentz–Drude type (cf. [130, Chap. 2.2]) with one oscillator for each $\boldsymbol{k}$ mode. This corroborates once more the interpretation that the effective phonon-mediated *electron-electron* interaction is simply the original electronic Coulomb interaction screened by the *nuclei*.

4.1.3. Expression in terms of phonon Green function

In the preceding subsections, we have shown that the Response Theory is consistent with standard expressions of the phonon-mediated electron interaction in terms of the elastic Green function. In many treatises though, the formula (4.23) for the effective interaction is not used. Instead, one uses an apparently different expression in terms of the *phonon Green function* and the *electron-phonon coupling strength* (see e.g. [21, 127]). In this subsection, we will show that this alternative form can as well be reproduced from the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling.

To begin with, we rewrite the mode expansion (4.25) of the displacement field in terms of a new set of time-dependent coefficients defined as

$$b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) = a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t} + a^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t}\,, \tag{4.41}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \mathcal{B}$. By Eq. (2.80), these coefficients have the property

$$b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) = b^*_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\,. \tag{4.42}$$

The (spatial) Fourier transform of the displacement field can then be written concisely as

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \sum_\lambda \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}}\, b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{4.43}$$

Since both $u(\boldsymbol{k},t)$ and $b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)$ have the same scaling behavior in the thermodynamic limit (see App. A.2, Eqs. (A.33) and (A.43)), the above relation is also valid in the thermodynamic limit, where $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{B}$. On the other hand, the Fourier transform of the nuclear density fluctuation (4.6) can be expressed

in this limit as

$$\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \tag{4.44}$$

$$= -\frac{Ze}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \tag{4.45}$$

$$= -Ze\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}\, \mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},t) \tag{4.46}$$

$$= -\mathrm{i}Ze\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}}\, (\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda})\, b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\,. \tag{4.47}$$

The above relation is valid for all $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, provided that the quantities $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$, $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and $b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)$ are continued to periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ (cf. the discussion in the preceding section). Correspondingly, the electron-phonon coupling can be written as

$$\delta^2 V_{\mathrm{e-n}}(t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{k},t)\, v(\boldsymbol{k})\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{k},t) \tag{4.48}$$

$$= \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda} g_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{k})\, b_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{k},t)\,, \tag{4.49}$$

where we have introduced the *electron-phonon coupling strength* as

$$g_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{k}) = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar N}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}V}}\, \frac{Ze}{\varepsilon_0}\, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,. \tag{4.50}$$

We now define the *phonon Green function* in Fourier space as

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\, \mathscr{D}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\boldsymbol{k},t-t') = \langle\Phi_0|\mathcal{T}\, \hat{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda'}(t')\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,, \tag{4.51}$$

where again $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \Gamma^*$. The quantized analogon of Eq. (4.41) is given by

$$\hat{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) = \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}t}\,, \tag{4.52}$$

and has the property that

$$\hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) = \hat{b}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\,. \tag{4.53}$$

The phonon Green function is diagonal per constructionem. Thus, following the calculation in the previous subsection, we find its explicit expression in terms of the phonon dispersion relation,

$$\mathscr{D}_{\lambda\lambda'}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{2}{\hbar}\,\frac{\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{\omega^2-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2+\mathrm{i}\eta}\,\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,. \tag{4.54}$$

In particular, we can express the elastic Green function (4.30) in terms of the phonon Green function as follows:

$$D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{\hbar}{2M}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}\frac{1}{\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}\,\mathscr{D}_{\lambda\lambda}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,[\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]_i\,[\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}]_j^*\,, \tag{4.55}$$

which squares with [46, Eq. (16.9)]. By putting this expression into Eq. (4.23) and further using Eq. (4.50), the phonon-mediated electron interaction can finally be recast into the following form:

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}|g_\lambda(\boldsymbol{k})|^2\,\mathscr{D}_{\lambda\lambda}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,. \tag{4.56}$$

This is the desired expression of the effective electron interaction in terms of the phonon Green function and the electron-phonon coupling strength.

In the isotropic limit, where the longitudinal and transverse phonon modes decouple, we have by Eq. (4.50),

$$g_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar N}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}V}}\,\frac{Ze}{\varepsilon_0|\boldsymbol{k}|}\,, \tag{4.57}$$

while $g_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0$. Consequently, Eq. (4.56) reduces to the standard expression (see e.g. [21, Eq. (17.16)] or [131, Eq. (8.105)])

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -|g_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})|^2\,\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) \equiv g_{\mathrm{L}}^2(\boldsymbol{k})\,\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,. \tag{4.58}$$

We particularly recognize in this context that this connection can already be found in the profound work [46, Eq. (16.11)]. Finally, by putting Eq. (4.57) and the explicit expression for the longitudinal phonon Green function,

$$\mathscr{D}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{2}{\hbar}\,\frac{\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}}{\omega^2-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2}\,, \tag{4.59}$$

into Eq. (4.58), we recover again the result (4.37) from the preceding subsection. In summary, we have re-expressed the effective phonon-mediated interaction in terms of the phonon Green function and the phonon coupling strength, and we have shown that the resulting formula (4.56) reduces in the isotropic limit to the well-known equation (4.58).

4.1.4. Connection to second-order perturbation theory

In this subsection, we rederive yet another well-known expression for the effective interaction, which is derived from second-order perturbation theory (see e.g. [111, Eq. (26.38)]). This expression is, however, only valid in the instantaneous limit. For this purpose, we restrict ourselves to the nuclear subsystem and treat the electronic density $\rho_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x})$ as an external parameter function. Let $E_0 \equiv E_\mathrm{n0}^{(0)}$ be the energy of the ground state of the nuclear subsystem, where we first assume the electron-phonon coupling to be switched off, i.e.,

$$E_\mathrm{n0}^{(0)} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_\mathrm{n0} | \Phi_0 \rangle \,, \tag{4.60}$$

with $\hat{H}_\mathrm{n0}$ given by Eq. (3.16). We now consider the change of this energy in second-order perturbation theory, where the perturbation is given by the electron-phonon coupling,

$$\delta^2 \hat{V}_\mathrm{e-n} = \delta\hat{\rho}_\mathrm{n}\, v\, \delta\rho_\mathrm{e} \,. \tag{4.61}$$

Applying standard perturbation theory (see e.g. [132, Sec. 11.1]), we obtain up to second order in the perturbation,

$$E_\mathrm{n0} = E_\mathrm{n0}^{(0)} + E_\mathrm{n0}^{(1)} + E_\mathrm{n0}^{(2)} + \ldots \tag{4.62}$$

$$\equiv E_0 + \langle \Phi_0 | \delta^2 \hat{V}_\mathrm{e-n} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{s>0} \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \delta^2 \hat{V}_\mathrm{e-n} | \Phi_s \rangle \langle \Phi_s | \delta^2 \hat{V}_\mathrm{e-n} | \Phi_0 \rangle}{E_0 - E_s} \,, \tag{4.63}$$

where we have assumed an orthonormal and complete set of eigenfunctions $|\Phi_s\rangle$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, of $\hat{H}_\mathrm{n0}$ with corresponding eigenenergies E_s. Now, the first-order term in Eq. (4.63) vanishes because the expectation value acts only the nuclear degrees of freedom, and

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \delta\hat{\rho}_\mathrm{n} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{n} | \Phi_0 \rangle - \rho_\mathrm{n0} = 0 \tag{4.64}$$

by the definition of $\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}$. By contrast, the second-order perturbative correction to the nuclear energy reads explicitly

$$E_{\mathrm{n}0}^{(2)} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}' \tag{4.65}$$
$$\times\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) \left(\sum_{s>0} \frac{\langle\Phi_0|\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y})\,|\Phi_s\rangle\langle\Phi_s|\,\delta\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}')\,|\Phi_0\rangle}{E_0 - E_s} \right) v(\boldsymbol{y}',\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,.$$

By the symmetry of the integrand under exchanging variables ($\boldsymbol{x} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{x}'$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{y}'$), only the real part of the term in brackets gives a non-zero contribution. By Eq. (C.39), the latter equals half the (instantaneous) nuclear density response function, and hence we can write the whole contribution as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathrm{n}0}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}' \\ \times\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\, v(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\, \chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{y}')\, v(\boldsymbol{y}',\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

From this, we directly read off the central statement of this subsection: the instantaneous limit of the effective electron-electron interaction (3.28) can be characterized as the functional derivative

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0) = \frac{\delta^2 E_{\mathrm{n}0}^{(2)}}{\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}')}\,. \tag{4.67}$$

Equivalently, we find in Fourier space

$$E_{\mathrm{n}0}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}'\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}^*(\boldsymbol{k})\, v(\boldsymbol{k})\, \chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')\, v(\boldsymbol{k}')\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{k}')\,, \tag{4.68}$$

and hence

$$v_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}';\omega=0) = \frac{\delta^2 E_{\mathrm{n}0}^{(2)}}{\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}^*(\boldsymbol{k})\,\delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{k}')} = v(\boldsymbol{k})\,\chi_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')\,v(\boldsymbol{k}')\,. \tag{4.69}$$

This formula connects the instantaneous phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction to second-order perturbation theory and reproduces the standard result [111, Eq. (26.38)].

4.1.5. Connection to Fröhlich Hamiltonian

Finally, we come to yet another frequently encountered form of the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction, which is derived from the so-called Fröhlich Hamiltonian [12, 133, 134] (cf. also [135–137] for a variant of this approach using continuous flow equations). For the convenience of the reader, we begin by explaining how this Hamiltonian is obtained from the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei. For this purpose, one first *neglects* the Coulomb interaction $V_{\mathrm{e-e}}$ of the electrons completely, but includes the external potential $V_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of the nuclei exerted on the electrons. The resulting electronic part of the Fröhlich Hamiltonian is then given by a one-particle operator reading in second quantization,

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} = \int_V \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + v_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right) \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{4.70}$$

Here, V denotes the volume of the system, for which we assume Born–von-Karman boundary conditions (see App. A.5). We further choose a one-particle basis of normalized *Bloch functions* [111, 112],

$$\psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x})\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{4.71}$$

indexed by the Bloch momentum $\boldsymbol{k}$ and the band index n, where $u_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ has the periodicity of the crystal lattice. The electronic field operator can then be written as

$$\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\Gamma^*} \sum_n \psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\hat{c}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}\,, \tag{4.72}$$

where we denote by $\hat{c}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\hat{c}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger$ the annihilation and creation operators of the Bloch states (see [72, App. A.4], [113, App. B], [138, Chap. 1] and App. B for short introductions). As these Bloch states diagonalize the one-particle Hamiltonian,

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + v_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right) \psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \varepsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}}\,\psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{4.73}$$

the free electronic Hamiltonian (4.70) can be written equivalently as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\Gamma^*} \sum_n \varepsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}}\,\hat{c}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger\,\hat{c}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \tag{4.74}$$

Next, one drops the band index n and considers the Bloch momentum $\boldsymbol{k}$ as a bona fide Fourier wavevector, meaning that $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence, one interprets $\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ and $\hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ as annihilation and creation operators of *plane waves,*

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{4.75}$$

and writes the free electronic Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}}\, \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \tag{4.76}$$

Furthermore, for the nuclei one performs the usual transition to the phononic degrees of freedom and stipulates a harmonic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{phon}}$ of the form (2.119). Finally, for the electron-phonon coupling one invokes again the standard ansatz (4.48)–(4.49) where, however, *the electronic density operator is resubstituted for the density fluctuation operator.* For this electronic density, we find

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = (-e)\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.77}$$

$$= -\frac{e}{V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\, \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \tag{4.78}$$

$$= -\frac{e}{V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{q}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\, \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,, \tag{4.79}$$

and hence, by Fourier transformation (see App. A.5, Eq. (A.91)),

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q}) = -\frac{e}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \tag{4.80}$$

For the electron-phonon coupling, one thus obtains

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{int}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\lambda} g_\lambda(\boldsymbol{q}) \left(\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} + \hat{a}^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{q}\lambda}\right) \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q}) \tag{4.81}$$

$$= -\frac{e}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k},\lambda} g_\lambda(\boldsymbol{q}) \left(\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} + \hat{a}^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{q}\lambda}\right) \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \tag{4.82}$$

We note that the integral in Eq. (4.49), which was derived in the thermodynamic limit, is replaced by a discrete sum in Eq. (4.81), while all prefactors

remain the same. This is in accord with our conventions for the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions as explained in App. A.5. Furthermore, the result (4.82) concides precisely with [21, Eq. (3.41)].

Collecting all terms together, the Fröhlich Hamiltonian now reads as follows (cf. [12, Eq. (2.15)] or [133, Eqs. (5.40)–(5.42)], [134, Eq. (6.1.1)]):

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0} + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{phon}} + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{int}} \tag{4.83}$$

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}}\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\,\lambda} \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda}\, \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} - \frac{e}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\,\boldsymbol{k},\,\lambda} g_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{q}) \left(\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{q}\lambda} \right) \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \,. \tag{4.84}$$

We summarize again the approximations which lead from the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei to the Fröhlich Hamiltonian: (i) The electrons are treated as being free (see [12, Eqs. (2.9)–(2.10)]). (ii) For the nuclei, the usual second-order Hamiltonian in terms of the displacement field is employed where, however, the dynamical matrix remains unspecified. (In the original work [12] the index λ is also dropped, thus leaving only a single branch of the phonon dispersion.) (iii) The wavevector $\boldsymbol{q}$ of the phonons is treated as a bona fide Fourier variable in analogy to the wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$ of the free electrons. In other words, $\boldsymbol{q}$ is not restriced to the first Brillouin zone, and hence the displacement field is treated in the continuum limit (see [12, Eq. (2.2)]). (iv) In the electron-phonon coupling, the displacement field is assumed to couple to the electronic density (as opposed to the density fluctuation). We note that in H. Fröhlich's original publication, the displacement field is even assumed to couple directly to the electronic density, i.e. without mediation of the Coulomb interaction as in Eq. (4.48) (see [12, Eq. (2.11)]).

Already in the original work [12], the Fröhlich Hamiltonian served as the starting point to derive an effective electron interaction mediated by phonons. For this purpose, the "method of canonical transformation" (see [133, p. 229], [134, p. 225]) is commonly employed, according to which the original Fröhlich Hamiltonian is *replaced* by a new Hamiltonian written as

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{F}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{S}}\, \hat{H}'\, \mathrm{e}^{\hat{S}} \,, \tag{4.85}$$

such that "the second-order term in the transformed Hamiltonian is identified as the effective electron-electron interaction" [133, pp. 229f.]. Choosing

the undetermined operator $\hat{S}$ appropriately and neglecting terms which "obviously do not give rise to electron-electron interactions", one arrives at an "effective electron-electron interaction" that "is mediated actually by virtual phonons that do not correspond to real excitations". The overall result is a standard two-particle interaction (see [16, Eq. (2.4)], [133, Eq. (5.49)], [134, Eq. (6.5.4)], cf. also [111, Eq. (26.37)]),

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \frac{e^2}{2V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'} v^{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k})\, \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}'} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,, \tag{4.86}$$

whose interaction kernel is given by

$$v^{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{\lambda} |g_\lambda(\boldsymbol{q})|^2 \frac{2\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda}}{(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})^2 - (\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda})^2}\,. \tag{4.87}$$

These formulae differ from the ones obtained until now as they (i) apparently follow from a completely different philosophy, and (ii) yield a different overall result. In the following, we will explain these differences, and thereafter show that the above effective interaction can nonetheless be reproduced from the Response Theory proposed in this article.

Regarding the first point, the main *conceptual* difference is that the effective interaction kernel derived by H. Fröhlich follows from a "canonical transformation" of the original Hamiltonian. Strictly speaking, this can be justified only if the corresponding transformation,

$$|\Psi\rangle \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{S}} |\Psi\rangle\,, \tag{4.88}$$

is also performed on the physical states (cf. [139, Sec. 2.2]). Consequently, the transformed Hamiltonian H_{F} as such does not even represent an effective interaction between ordinary *electrons*. In fact, this last point has been made clear in the original work [12] by H. Fröhlich, who notes that the "physical meaning of the quantities $\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ [...] is different [from the original electronic annihilation operators; notation adapted]". These operators only satisfy the same anticommutation relations, and hence, "it is not necessary to use a new notation". In particular, according to [12, Eq. (3.3)], the electronic density can no longer be obtained from these operators by the standard expression (4.80), but is instead determined by

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{S}} \left(-\frac{e}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\hat{S}}\,. \tag{4.89}$$

On the other hand, the standard expression (4.80) now gives the density of "particles described by plane waves with amplitudes $\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ [notation adapted] satisfying Fermi statistics. These particles are electrons, carrying with them some lattice deformations" (cf. remarks in Sec. 2.3.2).

Regarding the second point, the main *formal* difference is that the Fröhlich interaction kernel depends non-trivially on *two* wavevectors (instead of one wavevector and one frequency). Strictly speaking, it is therefore in general neither of the repulsive nor of the attractive type, because its inverse Fourier transform is not a function of the distance $|\boldsymbol{r}| = |\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|$ alone. Note, however, that "just such an attractive interaction is present [...] whenever $|\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}| < \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}$" [134, p. 225]. More precisely, "the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction can be attractive for electrons whose energies fall in the shell $|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Fermi}}| < \hbar\omega_{\mathrm{D}}$ about the Fermi surface, where ε is the energy of an electron and ω_{D} the Debye frequency" [133, p. 229].

For a clearer comparison, recall that in Sec. 4.1.3 we have derived an effective frequency-dependent interaction of the standard type with the interaction kernel (see Eqs. (4.54) and (4.56))

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) \equiv v(\boldsymbol{q})\,\chi_{\mathrm{n0}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)\,v(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\lambda} |g_\lambda(\boldsymbol{q})|^2 \frac{2\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda}}{(\hbar\omega)^2 - (\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{q}\lambda})^2}\,. \tag{4.90}$$

Curiously, this result coincides exactly with the one derived from the Fröhlich Hamiltonian, Eq. (4.87), provided that the frequency is identified with the energy differences determined by the free electron dispersion relation. In particular, this means that we can write the Fröhlich interaction as

$$v^{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k}) = v(\boldsymbol{q})\,\chi_{\mathrm{n0}}\big(\boldsymbol{q}, (\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})/\hbar\big)\,v(\boldsymbol{q}) \tag{4.91}$$

$$\equiv v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}\big(\boldsymbol{q}, (\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})/\hbar\big)\,, \tag{4.92}$$

in accord with our fundamental ansatz (3.28). On the other hand, performing in our result (4.90) the instantaneous limit as explained in Sec. 3.4 would yield a standard interaction of the density-density type reading in second quantization,

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \frac{e^2}{2V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'} v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q})\,\hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}\hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{q}}\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}'}\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \tag{4.93}$$

The kernel of this interaction is different from the one employed in the Fröhlich approach, Eq. (4.86), and in particular depends on only one wavevector.

Despite the aforementioned conceptual and formal differences, we will now show that the Fröhlich interaction (4.87) can nonetheless also be reproduced from the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling. In order to do this, we start again from the fundamental expression (3.31) for the effective electron-electron interaction, i.e.,

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_V \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int_V \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}',t-t')\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \quad (4.94)$$

Here, we have assumed a translation-invariant interaction kernel and—in accordance with the approximation used by H. Fröhlich—resubstituted the densities for the density fluctuations. By Fourier transforming the densities and the interaction kernel with respect to the spatial variables, and partially also with respect to the time variables, Eq. (4.94) is equivalent to

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{q}}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{c}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t}\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(-\boldsymbol{q},t)\,v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)\,. \quad (4.95)$$

As explained already in Sec. 3.4, such a formal interaction entails the problem that one must get rid of the time dependencies (or frequency dependencies in Fourier space) in order to get an interaction operator *stricto sensu*. As we will now show, in the case of the Fröhlich approach one has to evaluate the effective interaction $V^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t)$ at time $t = 0$, such that

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t=0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{q}}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{c}\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(-\boldsymbol{q},t=0)\,v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)\,. \quad (4.96)$$

Furthermore, one has to make an ansatz for the frequency dependence of the second density operator, where—again in accordance with H. Fröhlich's approach—the simplest possibility is a free-electron approximation. In this approximation, the time-dependent density reads (see Eq. (4.80))

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q},t) = -\frac{e}{\sqrt{V}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}(t)\,\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(t) \quad (4.97)$$

$$= -\frac{e}{\sqrt{V}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})/\hbar}\,\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,, \quad (4.98)$$

and hence, by Fourier transformation, we find for the frequency-dependent density operator the expression

$$\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) = -e\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{V}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}}c\,\delta\big(\omega-(\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}}-\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})/\hbar\big)\,\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}}\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,. \quad (4.99)$$

By putting this into Eq. (4.96), we obtain

$$\hat{V}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t=0) = \frac{e^2}{2V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}'} v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}\big(\boldsymbol{q}, \omega = (\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}})/\hbar\big)\, \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{q}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}'}\, \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\,, \quad (4.100)$$

where, as always, the operators have been brought into normal order after plugging in the expression for the density. Comparing the above result with the direct instantaneous limit derived in Sec. 3.4, we see precisely the effect of including the frequency dependence in the density operator: instead of neglecting the frequency in the effective interaction kernel, it is now evaluated at the energy difference of the one-electron levels. This corresponds exactly to the difference between Eqs. (4.87) and (4.90), which we wanted to explain within the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling.

In summary, we have shown that the effective electron-electron interaction as originally derived from the Fröhlich Hamiltonian can also be reproduced straightforwardly from the Response Theory proposed in this article.

4.2. Justification from functional integral approach

In the preceding subsections, we have shown that the simple and straightforward ansatz (3.28) reproduces all standard expressions for the effective phonon-mediated interaction. In particular, we have rederived the effective electron-electron interaction in terms of (i) the elastic Green function, (ii) the phonon dispersion relation, (iii) the phonon Green function, (iv) second-order perturbation theory, and (v) the Fröhlich Hamiltonian. Satisfying as these results may be from a heuristic vantage point, they still have the drawback of lacking a more rigorous justification from established physical principles. The goal of this closing subsection therefore precisely lies in the physical underpinning of the ad hoc procedure used in Sec. 3.1. For this purpose, we will take recourse to quantum field theory, where the concept of effective interactions is fortunately well established. In particular, this statement applies to the functional integral approach, where such effective interactions for a given subset of degrees of freedom are derived by *integrating out* the remaining degrees freedom. We will show that this well-established notion of effective interactions, if applied properly to the system of electrons and phonons, exactly reproduces the Response Theory originally obtained by purely heuristic considerations.

4.2.1. Displacement field in the continuum limit

In order to apply the machinery of both classical and quantum field theory to the elastic solid formed by the nuclei, we consider in this subsection the displacement field in the thermodynamic *and* continuum limit (see Apps. A.2–A.4). Thus, we consider

$$\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{4.101}$$

with $\boldsymbol{x}$ ranging in the three-dimensional continuous space $\mathbb{R}^3$. This formulation will simplify several formulae, although in principle, (quantum) field theory can be formulated for a finite crystal as well. Classical field theory on its side is best formulated in terms of a classical action,

$$S_{\mathrm{n0}} = \int \mathrm{d}t\, L_{\mathrm{n0}}(t)\,. \tag{4.102}$$

In the case of an elastic solid, we assume the Lagrangrean to be given by the difference of the kinetic and the potential energy,

$$L_{\mathrm{n0}}(t) \equiv L_{\mathrm{n0}}[\boldsymbol{u}(t), \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}(t)] = T_{\mathrm{n}}[\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}(t)] - V_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}(t)]\,, \tag{4.103}$$

which is analogous to point-particle mechanics (see e.g. [140, Chap. 2]). Correspondingly, the kinetic energy functional is given by (cf. [141, Sec. 11.1])

$$T_{\mathrm{n}}[\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{\rho_0}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \cdot (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{4.104}$$

which is the direct continuum generalization of the kinetic energy of a finite set of point particles. In particular,

$$\rho_0 = \frac{NM}{V} \tag{4.105}$$

denotes the reference *mass* density, which is related to the reference *charge* density of the nuclear elastic solid by

$$\rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \equiv \frac{NZe}{V} = \frac{Ze}{M}\,\rho_0\,. \tag{4.106}$$

The dynamics of the system is determined by the extremization of the action,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{n0}}}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)} \stackrel{!}{=} 0\,, \tag{4.107}$$

where the *total functional derivative* is defined as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} + \sum_j \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t' \, \frac{\delta(\partial_{t'} u_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t'))}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta(\partial_{t'} u_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t'))} \,. \tag{4.108}$$

By using the trivial identities

$$\frac{\delta(\partial_{t'} u_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t'))}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} = \frac{\delta}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \int c\,\mathrm{d}s \; \delta(ct'-cs)\,(\partial_s u_j)(\boldsymbol{x}',s) \tag{4.109}$$

$$= \frac{\delta}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \int c\,\mathrm{d}s \, \frac{1}{c}(\partial_{t'}\delta)(t'-s)\, u_j(\boldsymbol{x}',s) \tag{4.110}$$

$$= \delta_{ij}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\frac{1}{c}(\partial_{t'}\delta)(t'-t)\,, \tag{4.111}$$

putting this result into Eq. (4.108) and performing a partial integration, we see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta}{\delta(\partial_t u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t))} \,. \tag{4.112}$$

Therefore, Eq. (4.107) is indeed equivalent to the Euler–Lagrange equations,

$$\frac{\delta L_{\mathrm{n0}}(t)}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\delta L_{\mathrm{n0}}(t)}{\delta(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t))} = 0\,. \tag{4.113}$$

These are the direct continuum analoga of the Euler–Lagrange equations for point particles. By the explicit expressions (4.103)–(4.104), they lead to the equation of motion for the displacement field,

$$\rho_0 \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -\frac{\delta V_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \,, \tag{4.114}$$

which is the continuum version of the Newtonian equation of motion,

$$M \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_i} V(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_N)\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}_j = \boldsymbol{x}_j(t)\,\forall j} \,, \tag{4.115}$$

for N point-particles with mass M interacting through a potential V.

In accordance with the microscopic Hamiltonian (2.55), we now write the potential energy in Eq. (4.103) as

$$V_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \, \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,, \tag{4.116}$$

or equivalently, in Fourier space,

$$V_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \boldsymbol{u}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{k},t)\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},t)\,. \tag{4.117}$$

Here, $K(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$ is the dynamical matrix in the thermodynamic and continuum limit. The Euler–Lagrange equations then lead to the most general linear, homogeneous, Newtonian equation of motion with a time-independent wave-operator,

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) = 0\,, \tag{4.118}$$

which can be rewritten in Fourier space as

$$\left(-\rho_0\, \omega^2\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1} + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\right) \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = 0\,. \tag{4.119}$$

These are the direct continuum analoga of Eqs. (2.50) and (2.69).

Up to now, linear terms have been neglected in the Lagrangean (4.103). This was motivated by the expansion of the displacement field around the nuclear equilibrium positions, where the restoring forces vanish (see Sec. 5.2). However, this logic does not apply in the presence of *external* forces on the solid. These can be included through the linear term [142, Eq. (1.52)],

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}(t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\cdot \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{4.120}$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ represents the spatially and temporally varying external force field. By adding this term to the Lagrangean (4.103), we are led to the inhomogeneous equation of motion

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{4.121}$$

The *elastic Green function* of this classical equation of motion is now introduced by the defining equation (cf. [142, Sec. 1.5])

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{y},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\, \delta^4(x-x')\,, \tag{4.122}$$

where the four-dimensional Dirac delta reads explictly

$$\delta^4(x-x') = \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta(ct-ct')\,. \tag{4.123}$$

The formula (4.122) is the continuum analogon of Eq. (4.3). Given an elastic Green function satisfying this equation, a particular solution of the equation of motion (4.121) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{4.124}$$

The elastic Green function itself is most easily constructed in Fourier space, where its defining equation reads

$$\Big(-\rho_0\,\omega^2\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1} + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\Big)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,. \tag{4.125}$$

Now, precisely as in the discrete case (see the discussion in Sec. 2.3.1), the dynamical matrix in Fourier space is hermitean, and we are hence entitled to introduce $\boldsymbol{k}$-dependent polarization vectors,

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} = \rho_0\,\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{4.126}$$

In terms of these, the Green function can be written as

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^{\dagger}}{\omega^2-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2}\,, \tag{4.127}$$

which is the continuum analogon of Eq. (4.30). In real space, the elastic Green function is then given by

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}',t-t') = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi c}\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')-\mathrm{i}\omega(t-t')}}{\omega^2-\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^2}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}^{\dagger}\,. \tag{4.128}$$

As always, this expression defines a whole class of Green functions due to the undefined integration over the poles. In order to get a concrete solution, one has to apply a suitable regularization procedure. Concretely, $\omega \mapsto \omega + \mathrm{i}\eta$ or $\omega \mapsto \omega - \mathrm{i}\eta$ defines the *retarded* or the *advanced* Green function, respectively, while $\omega \mapsto \omega + \mathrm{sign}(\omega)\mathrm{i}\eta$ yields the *time-ordered* Green function.

Finally, to employ *quantum* field theoretical methods, one has perform the transition from a classical to a quantized displacement field,

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \mapsto \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{4.129}$$

This is done by postulating the canonical commutator relations,

$$\big[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\rho_0\,\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\big] = \mathrm{i}\hbar\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,, \tag{4.130}$$

$$\big[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\big] = 0\,, \tag{4.131}$$

$$\big[\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\big] = 0\,, \tag{4.132}$$

which are the continuum analoga of Eqs. (2.110)–(2.112). They turn the classical displacement field into an operator field, while all other classical relations remain the same. For example, the quantized displacement field is an operator-valued solution of the classical equation of motion (4.118), and the canonical momentum translates into the operator given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_0\,\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{4.133}$$

Hence, we can re-express Eq. (4.130) as

$$\big[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\big] = \mathrm{i}\hbar\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,, \tag{4.134}$$

which is the continuum analogon of the canonical commutator for point particles. Within the quantum field theoretical setting, we define the *time-ordered* elastic Green function as follows (cf. Eq. (4.1) in the discrete case):

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\,D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \langle\Phi_0|\,\mathcal{T}\hat{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,\hat{u}_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,, \tag{4.135}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordering operator, and the expectation value $\langle\Phi_0|\cdot|\Phi_0\rangle$ refers to a time-independent reference state (typically, the ground state or a thermodynamic ensemble). Contrary to the quantized displacement field, the Green function is not operator valued but an ordinary complex-valued function (or distribution, to be more precise). In fact, one can show that—quite as its name suggest—this quantum field theoretical Green function is a Green function in the sense of Eq. (4.122) (cf. the remarks at the beginning of Sec. 4.1.1).

4.2.2. Classical Lagrangean and functional integral

We now come to the derivation of the effective interaction by quantum field theoretical methods. We first give a very brief introduction to the functional integral calculus as applied to the displacement field in the continuum limit, and then go on to rederive the effective phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction within this formalism. After that, we will show that this

phonon-mediated interaction can be derived even more directly by a classical elimination procedure. The derivations in this section are completely analogous to the corresponding derivations of the photon-mediated interaction in quantum electrodynamics as explained in App. E.2.

Elastic Green function in the functional integral formalism.—In the quantum field theoretical setting, the time-ordered elastic Green function can be represented from the functional integral with sources (see e.g. [113, 143]),

$$Z[\boldsymbol{J}] = \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{u}\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{\mathrm{n0}}[\boldsymbol{u}] + \frac{1}{\hbar c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\, \boldsymbol{u}(x)\cdot\boldsymbol{J}(x)\right), \tag{4.136}$$

where $S_{\mathrm{n0}}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ denotes the classical action (4.102) for the displacement field, as a second-order functional derivative,

$$\frac{1}{\hbar c}\, D_{k\ell}(x,x') = \frac{1}{Z_0}\, \frac{\delta^2 Z[\boldsymbol{J}]}{\delta J_k(x)\, \delta J_\ell(x')}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{J}\equiv 0}, \tag{4.137}$$

where $Z_0 = Z[\boldsymbol{J}\equiv 0]$. The proof of this representation is based on the evaluation of Gaussian functional integrals, which will also be needed later in the rederivation of the effective phonon-mediated interaction from the functional integral formalism. For the convenience of the reader, we therefore provide a brief heuristic proof of the above representation of the Green function. We first rewrite the kinetic term of the Lagrangean (4.103) as

$$T_{\mathrm{n}} = \frac{\rho_0}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int \mathrm{d}t'\, (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u})^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\delta(t-t')\, (\partial_{t'}\boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{4.138}$$

which by partial integration is equivalent to

$$T_{\mathrm{n}} = -\frac{\rho_0}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int \mathrm{d}t'\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, (\partial_t^2\delta)(t-t')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{4.139}$$

Similarly, the potential term (4.116) can be written as

$$V_{\mathrm{n}} = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\int \mathrm{d}t'\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\delta(t-t')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{4.140}$$

The action (4.102), which is the time integral of the Lagrangean, can therefore be expressed as

$$S_{\mathrm{n0}} = -\frac{1}{2c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\int \mathrm{d}^4x'\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(x)\, \overleftrightarrow{D}^{-1}(x,x')\, \boldsymbol{u}(x')\,, \tag{4.141}$$

where

$$\overleftrightarrow{D}^{-1}(x,x') = \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\delta(ct-ct')\,\overleftrightarrow{1} + \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\delta(ct-ct') \quad (4.142)$$

is the inverse of the elastic Green function defined by Eq. (4.122). This is in fact a general relation (see e.g. [144, p. 216]): the classical Green function is the inverse of the operator in the free (bilinear) part of the classical Lagrangean. In particular, we can now write the functional integral (4.136) formally as

$$Z[\boldsymbol{J}] = \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{u}\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{J}\right)\right). \quad (4.143)$$

This is a Gaussian functional integral (see e.g. [113]), which can be evaluated explicitly as follows: by completing the square in the exponent, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z[\boldsymbol{J}] = {} & \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J}\right) \\ & \times \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{u}\, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,(\boldsymbol{u}-\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J})^{\mathrm{T}}\,\overleftrightarrow{D}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u}-\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.144)$$

Note that for this formula to be valid, it is necessary that the Green function is symmetric, $D^{\mathrm{T}} = D$, or more explicitly,

$$D_{ij}(x,x') = D_{ji}(x',x)\,. \quad (4.145)$$

This property holds indeed for time-ordered Green functions, to which the functional integral formalism applies (as opposed to, say, retarded Green functions). Coming back to Eq. (4.144), we can now substitute

$$\boldsymbol{u} - \overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J} \mapsto \boldsymbol{u} \quad (4.146)$$

to obtain the concise result for the Gaussian integral,

$$Z[\boldsymbol{J}] = Z_0 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J}\right). \quad (4.147)$$

From this, we can further evaluate the functional derivatives in Eq. (4.137) as follows: by expanding the exponential,

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}\boldsymbol{J} + \mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{J}^4)\,, \quad (4.148)$$

and using that only the quadratic term gives a non-vanishing contribution to the second derivative evaluated at $\boldsymbol{J} \equiv 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{Z_0} \frac{\delta^2 Z[\boldsymbol{J}]}{\delta J_i(x) \delta J_j(x')} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{J} \equiv 0} = \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J_i(x) \delta J_j(x')} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c} \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D} \boldsymbol{J} \right) \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{J} \equiv 0} \tag{4.149}$$

$$= \frac{\delta^2}{\delta J_i(x) \delta J_j(x')} \left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c} \boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D} \boldsymbol{J} \right) \tag{4.150}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar c} \big(D_{ij}(x, x') + D_{ji}(x', x) \big) \tag{4.151}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hbar c} D_{ij}(x, x') \,, \tag{4.152}$$

which was the assertion. Note that in the last step, we have used again the symmetry (4.145) of the elastic Green function.

Phonon-mediated interaction in the functional integral formalism.—In order to derive an effective electron interaction in the functional integral formalism, we need a field theoretical Lagrangean for the electrons coupled to the displacement field. Formally, we write this Lagrangean as

$$L[\boldsymbol{u}, \psi] = L_{\mathrm{n}0}[\boldsymbol{u}] + L_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \psi] + L_{\mathrm{e}0}[\psi] \,. \tag{4.153}$$

Here, $L_{\mathrm{n}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ and $L_{\mathrm{e}0}[\psi]$ denote the purely nuclear or electronic parts of the classical action, respectively. Concretely, $L_{\mathrm{n}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ is given by Eq. (4.103), while $L_{\mathrm{e}0}[\psi]$ may refer to the classical Schrödinger field or, in principle, even to the classical Dirac field (see App. E.1). In any case, the interaction Lagrangean $L_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \psi]$ is given by the negative of the classical Coulomb interaction energy,

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}(t) \equiv -\delta^2 V_{\mathrm{e-n}}(t) \tag{4.154}$$

$$= -\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \, v(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') \, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}', t) \,, \tag{4.155}$$

As we want to interpret all terms involving nuclear coordinates as functionals of the displacement field, we write the nuclear charge density as the continuum version of Eq. (4.6):

$$\delta\rho_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = -\rho_{\mathrm{n}0} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}', t) \cdot (\nabla \delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') \tag{4.156}$$

$$= -\rho_{\mathrm{n}0} \, (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \,, \tag{4.157}$$

which is a well-known formula [125, Eq. (45.3)]. By putting this into Eq. (4.155) and performing a partial integration, the interaction Lagrangean can be expressed in terms of the displacement field as

$$L_{\rm int}(t) = -\rho_{\rm n0} \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot (\nabla v)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta\rho_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,. \tag{4.158}$$

This interaction Lagrangean is of the standard form (4.120) provided that we identify the force density $\boldsymbol{f}$ with

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -\rho_{\rm n0} \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, (\nabla v)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta\rho_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,. \tag{4.159}$$

For later purposes, we note that in terms of the electrostatic force kernel (4.10) the force density can be written even more concisely as

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\rm n0} \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta\rho_{\rm e}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,. \tag{4.160}$$

We now come back to the action of the coupled nuclear-electronic system, which is given by the time integral of the Lagrangean (4.153), i.e.,

$$S[\boldsymbol{u},\psi] \,=\, \int {\rm d}t\, L(t) \,=\, S_{\rm n0}[\boldsymbol{u}] + S_{\rm int}[\boldsymbol{u},\psi] + S_{\rm e0}[\psi]\,. \tag{4.161}$$

From the above form of the interaction Lagrangean, it follows that the interaction term to the classical action is given by

$$S_{\rm int}[\boldsymbol{u},\psi] = \frac{1}{c} \int {\rm d}^4x\, \boldsymbol{u}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(x)\,. \tag{4.162}$$

Combining this with Eq. (4.141) for the nuclear part, we can write the total action formally as

$$S[\boldsymbol{u},\psi] = -\frac{1}{2c}\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\rm T} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{-1} \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{c}\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\rm T} \boldsymbol{f} + S_{\rm e0}[\psi]\,. \tag{4.163}$$

Now, within the functional integral approach, the *effective action* $S_{\rm eff}[\psi]$ for the electronic subsystem is defined by *integrating out* the nuclear degrees of freedom (see e.g. [87, 143, 145, 146]), i.e., through the relation

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{\rm eff}[\psi]\right) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \int \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{u}\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S[\boldsymbol{u},\psi]\right). \tag{4.164}$$

By putting Eq. (4.163) into this equation and performing the Gaussian functional integral as in Eqs. (4.143)–(4.147), we obtain immediately

$$S_{\mathrm{eff}}[\psi] = S_{\mathrm{e0}}[\psi] + \frac{1}{2c}\, \boldsymbol{f}^{\mathrm{T}} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\, \boldsymbol{f} \equiv S_{\mathrm{e0}}[\psi] + S^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}[\psi]\,. \tag{4.165}$$

Hence, it follows that the effective electron-electron interaction is given by

$$S^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} = \int \mathrm{d}t\, L^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t)\,, \tag{4.166}$$

with

$$L^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, \boldsymbol{f}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\, \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{4.167}$$

Here, the electronic field variables $\psi(x)$ are hidden in the force vector fields. In fact, rewriting the effective interaction Lagrangean in terms of the electronic density fluctuations by means of Eq. (4.160) yields

$$L^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\, v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\, \delta\rho_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{4.168}$$

where the effective interaction kernel is given by

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \rho^2_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}'\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{y},t;\boldsymbol{y}',t')\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{y}'-\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{4.169}$$

By Fourier transformation, this is equivalent to

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \rho^2_{\mathrm{n0}}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{4.170}$$

This effective interaction kernel is the continuum analogon of Eq. (4.22). Finally, with the representation of the nuclear current response function through the elastic Green function, Eq. (C.74), and the relation (4.11) between the Coulomb force kernel $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ and the Coulomb interaction kernel $v(\boldsymbol{k})$, the expression for the effective electron-electron interaction reverts to

$$v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = v(\boldsymbol{k})\, \chi_{\mathrm{n0}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, v(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{4.171}$$

which agrees indeed exactly with our central result (3.28).

Classical rederivation of phonon-mediated interaction.—Finally, we give a field theoretical interpretation of the above findings. In fact, the result (4.167) for the effective electron-electron interaction can be recovered even more transparently by considerations which exclusively rely on classical Lagrangean field theory. For that purpose, we consider again the Lagrangean of the displacement field in the form

$$L_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{f}\,] \equiv L_{\rm n0}[\boldsymbol{u}] + L_{\rm int}[\boldsymbol{u}] = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\rm T}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u}^{\rm T}\boldsymbol{f}\,. \tag{4.172}$$

This leads via the Euler–Lagrange equations to the equation of motion

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{-1}\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f}\,. \tag{4.173}$$

With this formula, the displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}$ can be formally eliminated from the Lagrangean. This leaves us with the purely electronic Lagrangean,

$$L_{\rm eff}[\psi] \equiv L_{\rm e0}[\psi] + L^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}[\boldsymbol{f}\,]\,, \tag{4.174}$$

where the interaction Lagrangean is obtained by expressing the displacement field through the Green function,

$$L^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}[\boldsymbol{f}\,] \equiv L_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{f}),\boldsymbol{f}\,] = L_{\rm n}[\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{f}\,]\,. \tag{4.175}$$

Explicitly, we then retrieve directly the expression

$$L^{\rm eff}_{\rm e-e}[\boldsymbol{f}] = -\frac{1}{2}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\boldsymbol{f})^{\rm T}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^{-1}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\boldsymbol{f}) + (\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\boldsymbol{f})^{\rm T}\boldsymbol{f} \tag{4.176}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\,\boldsymbol{f}^{\,\rm T}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}\,\boldsymbol{f} \tag{4.177}$$

for the effective interaction Lagrangean, which coincides exactly with the result from the functional integral approach. Note that in Eq. (4.177) we have used again the symmetry $D^{\rm T} = D$ of the elastic Green function. Thus, we have shown that on a formal level the effective electron-electron interaction is simply obtained from the coupled Lagrangean (4.172) by eliminating the dynamical displacement field by means of its response function (i.e. the elastic Green function).

5. Effective nuclear interaction mediated by electrons

In this final section, we investigate in detail the effective electron-mediated nuclear interaction. In stark contrast to its phonon-mediated counterpart, this nuclear interaction does not constitute a very widespread concept, to the extent that one may even doubt its actual usefulness. To counter this skepticism, we will show in this section that our simple ansatz (3.28) for the electron-mediated interaction is in fact already common practice, although its fundamental form has apparently gone unnoticed so far. Concretely, we will show that the standard treatment of the dynamical matrix in electronic structure theory precisely corresponds to the introduction of the effective electron-mediated nuclear interaction in the instantaneous limit.

5.1. Second-order Hellmann–Feynman theorem

We begin this section by proving a useful lemma, which will be employed later and which is a generalization of the well-known Hellmann–Feynman theorem (see e.g. [7, pp. 56–59]). A slight variant of this generalization can also be found in [147, Eqs. (10.34)–(10.35)].

Lemma. Consider a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\lambda)$ which depends smoothly on a set of real parameters $\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=1,\dots,J}$ and which has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{|\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle,\, s \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $E_s(\lambda)$, i.e.,

$$\hat{H}(\lambda)\,|\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle = E_s(\lambda)\,|\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle\,. \tag{5.1}$$

We assume for simplicity that these eigenvalues are non-degenerate. Then the following identities hold:

$$\partial_j \langle\Psi_s|\,\hat{H}\,|\Psi_s\rangle = \langle\Psi_s|\,\partial_j\hat{H}\,|\Psi_s\rangle\,, \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\partial_j \langle\Psi_s|\,\hat{H}\,|\Psi_s\rangle &= \langle\Psi_s|\,\partial_i\partial_j\hat{H}\,|\Psi_s\rangle \\ &\quad + 2\,\mathfrak{Re}\biggl(\sum_{r\neq s}\frac{\langle\Psi_s|\,\partial_i\hat{H}\,|\Psi_r\rangle\langle\Psi_r|\,\partial_j\hat{H}\,|\Psi_s\rangle}{E_s - E_r}\biggr)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where we have abbreviated $\partial_i = \partial/\partial\lambda_i$ and suppressed the λ dependencies, and where $\mathfrak{Re}$ denotes the real part.

Proof. Equation (5.2) is the (first-order) Hellmann–Feynman theorem and therefore does not need to be proven here. For the second derivative, we obtain

$$\partial_i \partial_j \langle \Psi_s | \hat{H} | \Psi_s \rangle = \partial_j \langle \Psi_s | \partial_i \hat{H} | \Psi_s \rangle \tag{5.4}$$

$$= \langle \Psi_s | \partial_i \partial_j \hat{H} | \Psi_s \rangle + \langle \partial_j \Psi_s | \partial_i \hat{H} | \Psi_s \rangle + \langle \Psi_s | \partial_i \hat{H} | \partial_j \Psi_s \rangle \tag{5.5}$$

$$= \langle \Psi_s | \partial_i \partial_j \hat{H} | \Psi_s \rangle + 2\,\mathfrak{Re}\, \langle \Psi_s | \partial_i \hat{H} | \partial_j \Psi_s \rangle \,. \tag{5.6}$$

In the last step we have used that the operator $\hat{H}(\lambda)$ is hermitean for any λ, and consequently $(\partial_i \hat{H})(\lambda)$ is hermitean as well. To evaluate the derivative of the vector $|\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle$, we write

$$\hat{H}(\lambda + \mathrm{d}\lambda_j) = \hat{H}(\lambda) + \sum_j \mathrm{d}\lambda_j \, (\partial_j \hat{H})(\lambda) \,, \tag{5.7}$$

and regard the second term as a perturbation to $\hat{H}(\lambda)$. By the usual Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory [132, Sec. 11.1], this yields

$$|\Psi_s(\lambda + \mathrm{d}\lambda_j)\rangle$$

$$= |\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle + \sum_j \mathrm{d}\lambda_j \sum_{r \neq s} |\Psi_r(\lambda)\rangle \frac{\langle \Psi_r(\lambda) | \partial_j \hat{H}(\lambda) | \Psi_s(\lambda) \rangle}{E_s - E_r} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\equiv |\Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle + \sum_j \mathrm{d}\lambda_j |\partial_j \Psi_s(\lambda)\rangle \,. \tag{5.9}$$

Putting this result into Eq. (5.6) shows the assertion. □

5.2. Nuclear equilibrium positions

The connection (4.6) between the displacement field and the density fluctuation as well as the expression (3.50) for the Coulomb interaction in terms of the fluctuation interaction and the Hartree potential allow for a rederivation of the nuclear equilibrium positions, which will become important later and is hence to be explained in this subsection.

The equilibrium positions as calculated in electronic structure theory (see Sec. 2.2.2) are defined such that they minimize the total nuclear potential energy V_n. In terms of the deviations $\boldsymbol{u_n}$, this implies the necessary condition

$$\left. \frac{\delta V_\mathrm{n}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u_n}} \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} = 0 \,, \tag{5.10}$$

where $V_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}] = V_{\rm n-n}[\boldsymbol{u}] + E_{\rm e0}[\boldsymbol{u}]$ is given by Eqs. (2.42)–(2.47). To evaluate this condition, we first rewrite the internuclear Coulomb interaction in terms of a fluctuation interaction and a Hartree potential analogous to Eq. (3.50):

$$V_{\rm n-n} = \delta V_{\rm H}^{\rm n} + \delta^2 V_{\rm n-n} + V_{\rm n-n}^0 \,, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\delta V_{\rm H}^{\rm n} = \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \delta\rho_{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{5.12}$$

and the Hartree potential is given by

$$\varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\, \rho_{\rm n0}(\boldsymbol{x}') \,. \tag{5.13}$$

We further use the expression (4.6) for the nuclear density fluctuation in terms of the displacement field, which can be written equivalently as

$$\delta\rho_{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot (\nabla\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) \,. \tag{5.14}$$

Now, only the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (5.11) enters the equilibrium condition (5.10), because the second term is of order two, and the third term is of order zero in the displacement field. Thus, we obtain

$$\left.\frac{\delta V_{\rm n-n}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} = -Ze\, \frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot (\nabla\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) \tag{5.15}$$

$$= -Ze \int {\rm d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x})\, (\nabla\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) \tag{5.16}$$

$$= Ze\, (\nabla\varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) \,, \tag{5.17}$$

where we have used again partial integration. Next, we calculate the derivative of the electronic contribution to $V_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}]$, using the Hellmann–Feynman theorem, as follows:

$$\frac{\delta E_{\rm e0}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \left\langle \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \middle| \hat{H}_{\rm e0}[\boldsymbol{u}] \middle| \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \right\rangle \tag{5.18}$$

$$= \left\langle \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \middle| \frac{\delta \hat{H}_{\rm e0}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} \middle| \Psi_0[\boldsymbol{u}] \right\rangle . \tag{5.19}$$

The electronic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}$ as defined by Eqs. (2.46)–(2.47) depends on the displacement field only through the external potential. This yields

$$\left.\frac{\delta \hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} = Ze\,\frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}-\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\Big|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} \tag{5.20}$$

$$= -Ze\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;(\nabla v)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{5.21}$$

and consequently,

$$\left.\frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} = -Ze\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;(\nabla v)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{5.22}$$

$$= Ze\,(\nabla\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,, \tag{5.23}$$

where $\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle\Psi_0\,|\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\,\Psi_0\rangle$, and the external potential is given by

$$\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{5.24}$$

In summary, we have thus shown that the equilibrium condition (5.10) is equivalent to the equation

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) + \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = 0\,, \tag{5.25}$$

where the *Hartree* and the *external* electric field are respectively defined by

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\nabla\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{H}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\nabla\left(\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right), \tag{5.26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\nabla\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\nabla\left(\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\rho_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{x}')\right). \tag{5.27}$$

These are none other than the Coulomb force fields generated respectively by the nuclear and the electronic reference charge densities. We therefore obtain the highly intuitive and pleasing result that the equilibrium positions as calculated in electronic structure theory are identical to the classical equilibrium positions defined by the vanishing of the electrostatic forces exerted on the nuclei by the electrons and by the nuclei themselves.

5.3. Expansion of the nuclear Hamiltonian

Quite as in the electronic case, the fundamental expression (3.37) for the total effective nuclear interaction may not be useful in practice due to the high degree of the nuclear localization. Fortunately, however, we may use again Eq. (4.5) to express the effective interaction in terms of the displacement field. For this purpose, we start from the effective nuclear Hamiltonian given by Eqs. (3.35) and (3.53), i.e.,

$$H_{\rm n} = T_{\rm n} + V_{\rm n-n} + V^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} + \delta^2 V^{\rm eff}_{\rm n-n} \tag{5.28}$$

$$= T_{\rm n} + V^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} + V^{\rm n}_{\rm H} + \delta^2 V^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n} - V^{0}_{\rm n-n}\,, \tag{5.29}$$

and perform a second-order expansion in terms of the displacement field. We use again the decomposition $\rho_{\rm n} = \rho_{\rm n0} + \delta\rho_{\rm n}$, but with the second-order expansion of the density fluctuation,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\rho_{\rm n}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\right)\\ + \frac{1}{2}Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,j} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\right) u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

For the term $V^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} = \rho_{\rm n}\,\varphi^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} = \rho_{\rm n0}\,\varphi^{\rm e}_{\rm ext} + \delta V^{\rm e}_{\rm ext}$, we then find up to second order in the displacement field,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta V^{\rm e}_{\rm ext}[\boldsymbol{u}] = Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\frac{\partial\varphi^{\rm e}_{\rm ext}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\\ + \frac{1}{2}Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,j} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi^{\rm e}_{\rm ext}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

The analogous expansion holds for the term $V^{\rm n}_{\rm H} = \rho_{\rm n}\,\varphi^{\rm n}_{\rm H}$. Furthermore, for the term $\delta^2 V^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n} = \delta\rho_{\rm n}\,v^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n}\,\delta\rho_{\rm n}$ (cf. the explicit expression (3.32)), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta^2 V^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \\ &\frac{1}{2}Z^2e^2\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,\boldsymbol{m},j}\int c\,{\rm d}t'\,u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t')\,\frac{\partial^2 v^{\rm tot}_{\rm n-n}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')}{\partial x_i\partial x'_j}\bigg|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Finally, the kinetic energy is already a second-order expression in the displacement field given by

$$T_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{M}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} |\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)|^2\,. \tag{5.33}$$

Thus, up to second order in the displacement field (and neglecting constant terms), we obtain from Eq. (5.29) the effective nuclear Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm n}^{(2)}[\boldsymbol{u}] &= \frac{M}{2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i} \dot{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\dot{u}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t) \\ &+ Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\frac{\partial(\varphi_{\rm ext}^{\rm e}+\varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n})(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Ze\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,j} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,\frac{\partial^2(\varphi_{\rm ext}^{\rm e}+\varphi_{\rm H}^{\rm n})(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Z^2e^2\sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,\boldsymbol{m},j}\int c\,{\rm d}t'\,u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t')\,\frac{\partial^2 v_{\rm n-n}^{\rm tot}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';t-t')}{\partial x_i\partial x'_j}\bigg|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Now, since $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$ are the nuclear equilibrium positions, the $\boldsymbol{u}$-linear contribution to this Hamiltonian has to vanish (see Sec. 5.2, Eq. (5.25)). Hence, taking the instantaneous limit of Eq. (5.34) leads to a true, second-order Hamiltonian for the displacement field.

5.4. Connection to the dynamical matrix

In the preceding subsection, we have derived a second-order effective Hamiltonian for the nuclear displacement field. On the other hand, such a Hamiltonian is already known from the treatment of the quantized displacement field in electronic structure theory (see Sec. 2.3, Eq. (2.55) with the dynamical matrix given by Eq. (2.123)). The question therefore arises: how are these two Hamiltonians related? To answer this question, we first rewrite Eq. (5.34) (disregarding the linear terms) as

$$H_{\rm n}^{(2)}[\boldsymbol{u}] = T_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}] + (V_{\rm n-n} + V_{\rm ext}^{\rm e} + \delta^2 V_{\rm n-n}^{\rm eff})^{(2)}[\boldsymbol{u}] \tag{5.35}$$

$$\equiv T_{\rm n}[\boldsymbol{u}] + V_{\rm n-n}^{(2)}[\boldsymbol{u}] + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\rm T}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{M}\boldsymbol{u}\,. \tag{5.36}$$

Here, $V^{(2)}_{\mathrm{n-n}}$ denotes second-order contribution to the internuclear Coulomb interaction, and we have used the shorthand notation

$$\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{M}\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n},i,\boldsymbol{m},j}\int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},t)\,M_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0};t-t')\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0},t')\,, \quad (5.37)$$

with the matrix M given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0};t-t') = {} & Ze\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\,\delta(ct-ct')\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i\,\partial x_j}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \\ & + Z^2e^2\,\frac{\partial^2 v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{n-n}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';t-t')}{\partial x_i\,\partial x'_j}\bigg|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}\,. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Note that we have used the splitting (5.28) instead of (5.29), and hence the Hartree potential $\varphi^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{H}}$ does not appear in this expression. We will now prove the following astonishing fact:

Theorem. The instantaneous limit of the total effective nuclear Hamiltonian (5.36) coincides with the standard phonon Hamiltonian H_{phon} defined by Eqs. (2.55), (2.123) and (2.42)–(2.47), i.e., we have the identity

$$H^{(2)}_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,(\omega=0) = H_{\mathrm{phon}}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,. \quad (5.39)$$

In particular, this implies that the dynamical matrix from electronic structure theory (see Sec. 2.3.3) already incorporates the effective electron-mediated nuclear interaction as derived in this article.

Proof. By comparing Eqs. (5.36) and (2.55), we first note that both terms contain the kinetic contribution $T_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}]$, and hence our assertion is in fact equivalent to

$$V^{(2)}_{\mathrm{n-n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{M}(\omega=0)\,\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}\boldsymbol{u}\,. \quad (5.40)$$

Furthermore, by Eqs. (2.123) and (2.42), we can write

$$\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}\boldsymbol{u} = V^{(2)}_{\mathrm{n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = V^{(2)}_{\mathrm{n-n}}[\boldsymbol{u}] + E^{(2)}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,. \quad (5.41)$$

Hence, both sides of Eq. (5.40) also contain the second-order contribution to the internuclear Coulomb interaction, and it only remains to show that

$$\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{M}(\omega=0)\,\boldsymbol{u} = E^{(2)}_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]\,, \quad (5.42)$$

or equivalently,

$$M_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}; \omega = 0) = \left. \frac{\partial^2 E_{\mathrm{e}0}[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} . \tag{5.43}$$

To prove this equation, we employ the second-order Hellmann–Feynman theorem as stated in Sec. 5.1: using the defining equation (2.45) for $E_{\mathrm{e}0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2 E_{\mathrm{e}0}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} &= \left. \left\langle \Psi_0 \middle| \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})} \middle| \Psi_0 \right\rangle \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=0} \\ &+ 2\, \mathfrak{Re} \left(\sum_{s \neq 0} \frac{1}{E_0 - E_s} \left\langle \Psi_0 \middle| \frac{\partial \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})} \middle| \Psi_s \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_s \middle| \frac{\partial \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}}{\partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})} \middle| \Psi_0 \right\rangle \right) \Bigg|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} . \end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

Here, we have used that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathrm{e}0}$ as defined by Eq. (2.46) depends on $\boldsymbol{u}$ only through the external potential $\hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}[\boldsymbol{u}]$. The latter can be expanded to second order in $\boldsymbol{u}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}[\boldsymbol{u}] = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \Bigg(& v(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) - \sum_i u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) \frac{\partial v(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})}{\partial x'_i} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) \frac{\partial^2 v(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})}{\partial x'_i \partial x'_j} \Bigg) . \end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Thus, we get for the first derivative (as in Sec. 5.2),

$$\left. \frac{\partial \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} = Ze \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, v(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} , \tag{5.46}$$

and similarly, for the second derivative,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\partial^2 \hat{V}^{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ext}}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})} \right|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} \\ & = Ze\, \delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, v(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}') \Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} . \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

By putting these results into Eq. (5.44), the first term yields

$$Ze\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\,\partial x_j}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\langle\Psi_0\,|\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\,\Psi_0\rangle\Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} \tag{5.48}$$

$$=\,Ze\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i\,\partial x_j}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\,, \tag{5.49}$$

while the second term yields

$$Z^2e^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\,\partial x'_j}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \tag{5.50}$$

$$\times\,2\,\mathfrak{Re}\left(\sum_{s\neq 0}\frac{\langle\Psi_0\,|\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{y})\,|\,\Psi_s\rangle\langle\Psi_s\,|\,\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{y}')\,|\,\Psi_0\rangle}{E_0-E_s}\right)v(\boldsymbol{y}'-\boldsymbol{x}')\Big|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}.$$

The term in brackets coincides with the zero-frequency limit of the electronic density response function $\chi_{\mathrm{e}0}$ as given by the Kubo formula in the spectral representation (see Eq. (C.39)). Hence, the second term in Eq. (5.44) equals

$$Z^2e^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\,\partial x'_j}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}'\,v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \tag{5.51}$$

$$\times\,\chi_{\mathrm{e}0}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{y}';\omega=0)\,v(\boldsymbol{y}'-\boldsymbol{x}')\Big|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}$$

$$=\,Z^2e^2\,\frac{\partial^2 v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{n-n}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)}{\partial x_i\,\partial x'_j}\Big|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}\,, \tag{5.52}$$

where we have used again our central result (3.29). Thus, we have shown that Eq. (5.44) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_{\mathrm{e}0}}{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\,u_j(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{0}} \tag{5.53}$$

$$=\,Ze\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\,\frac{\partial^2\varphi^{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i\,\partial x_j}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}+\,Z^2e^2\,\frac{\partial^2 v^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{n-n}}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)}{\partial x_i\,\partial x'_j}\Big|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\\ \boldsymbol{x}'=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}}.$$

This expression coincides precisely with Eq. (5.38) for $M_{ij}(\omega=0)$ and hence yields Eq. (5.43). This concludes our proof of the theorem. □

Finally, we remark that the possibility of expressing the dynamical matrix in terms of the effective nuclear interaction ultimately hinges on the connection of the former to the density response function. We therefore particularly acknowledge the excellent textbook [126] by W. Schäfer and M. Wegener, where this crucial insight can already be found (see [126, Eq. (11.25)]).

6. Conclusion

We have proposed a general method to derive effective phonon-mediated and electron-mediated interactions, which consists in the elimination of the phononic or electronic degrees of freedom by means of linear response theory. This approach starts directly from the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei and is hence suitable for first-principles calculations. In particular, it does not necessitate any approximation other than the decoupling procedure itself. A key advantage of the Response Theory of effective interactions lies in its conceptual simplicity: a plethora of different motivations for effective interactions, ranging from functional integrals to second-order perturbation theory, can be condensed into the simple, easily comprehensible ansatz (3.28)–(3.29). Apart from this, the most important conceptual results of this approach can be summarized as follows:

1. Effective interactions serve as a heuristic tool to decouple a compound system interacting through the Coulomb potential into two formally independent subsystems. This is achieved by screening the interaction of each subsystem with the respective response function of the *other* subsystem. Thus, the total effective interactions can be expressed in terms of screened interaction kernels (Secs. 3.1–3.2).

2. Consequently, in addition to the usual phonon-mediated electron interaction, one necessarily has to introduce an electron-mediated nuclear interaction (Sec. 3.1).

3. In their most abstract form, the expressions for these effective interactions are completely symmetric (Eqs. (3.28)–(3.29)).

4. The effective electron-electron and effective nuclear interactions act on the respective density fluctuations, not on the densities themselves. Hence, they can be combined with the ordinary Coulomb interaction only if a Hartree potential is split off from the latter (Sec. 3.3).

5. From the most abstract expressions, all well-known concrete exressions for the phonon-mediated electron interaction can be recovered in suitable limiting cases, in particular the expressions in terms of the elastic Green function and the phonon dispersion relation (Sec. 4.1).

6. The phonon-mediated interaction is consistent with the notion of effective interactions used in the functional integral approach (Sec. 4.2).

7. The standard expression of the dynamical matrix as used in electronic structure theory corresponds to an electron-mediated effective nuclear interaction in the instantaneous limit (Sec. 5.4).

Our general formulae (3.28)–(3.29) for the phonon-mediated and electron-mediated interactions in terms of the respective density response functions are valid for any material. In particular, they include all possible effects of inhomogeneity and anisotropy. If combined with the Kubo formalism for the density response functions, they would therefore lend themselves to the *ab initio* calculation of effective interactions. These may in turn be used as initial interactions in subsequent fRG studies, and hence also allow for an unbiased, first-principles prediction of superconducting order parameters and transition temperatures in realistic material models.

Acknowledgements

This article grew out of the doctoral dissertation of one of the authors, R. S., at the University of Vienna in 2012. Special thanks therefore go to the Viennese Center for Computational Materials Science and the SFB ViCoM. R. S. further thanks the Institute for Theoretical Physics at the TU Bergakademie Freiberg for its hospitality. G. S. was supported by the DFG Research Unit FOR 723.

A. Notations and Conventions

In this appendix, we assemble a number of conventions mainly concerning the Fourier transform taken to different limites. In the initial Sec. A.1, we fix the formulae for the Fourier transform on a *finite lattice*. The latter directly corresponds to the nuclear equilibrium positions of a real, i.e. finite

crystal. Next, in Sec. A.2 we consider the *thermodynamic limit* of infinitely many lattice points. Its importance stems from theoretical materials physics: Strictly speaking, no quantity calculated for a finite system corresponds to a material property "as such". Instead, it always depends on the concrete system characterized by a finite particle number N (or, alternatively, a certain macroscopic length like $L = Na$, etc.). By contrast, a pure material property such as the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_\mathrm{r} = 81$ or the refractive index $n = 1.3$ [148, Table 3.2] of water does not refer to the number of molecules in the water probe or its linear dimension. Therefore, in order to describe pure material properties, one always has to take the results to the thermodynamic limit. Intuitively, in this limit the material would "homogeneously" fill out the whole space. In the closing Secs. A.3 and A.4, we then consider the *continuum limit* both in combination with and without the thermodynamic limit. This limit is not so much of a conceptual, but of a practical importance. In fact, in the continuum limit the lattice spacing goes to zero, and any function originally defined on the lattice becomes an ordinary function of a continuous variable. Thus, this limit corresponds to the treatment of the displacement field as in "macroscopic" continuum mechanics. However, we remark that the continuum limit is actually counterfactual, because there is a cutoff wavelength for the displacement field in the Fourier domain, which is determined by the Brillouin zone boundary and which may not simply be removed. So, the value of this limit lies rather in the fact that it allows to employ the usual differential calculus, which simplifies certain calculations that would otherwise become rather cumbersome. From this we have taken benefit especially in Secs. 4.2 and C.2.

A.1. Lattice Fourier transformation

We first assemble the formulary of the lattice Fourier transformation, which is essentially the discrete Fourier transformation in disguise. For this purpose, we define the *dual lattice* Γ^* as the set of possible discrete wavevectors associated with the direct lattice Γ defined in Sec. 2.2.1. Per definitionem, dual lattice vectors (or *Bloch vectors*) $\boldsymbol{k} \equiv \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ obey

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = 2\pi \left(\frac{n_1 m_1}{N_1} + \frac{n_2 m_2}{N_2} + \frac{n_3 m_3}{N_3} \right) \tag{A.1}$$

for any direct lattice vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$. The dual lattice vectors can be constructed by means of the unit vectors of the *reciprocal lattice*, which are defined by

the condition

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{b}_j = 2\pi\, \delta_{ij}\,, \tag{A.2}$$

and given explicitly by

$$\boldsymbol{b}_i = \pi\, \epsilon_{ijk}\, \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_j \times \boldsymbol{a}_k}{|\boldsymbol{a}_1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3)|}\,, \tag{A.3}$$

where the $\boldsymbol{a}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the unit vectors of the direct lattice. Any dual lattice vector is a linear combination of the form

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{m_1}{N_1}\boldsymbol{b}_1 + \frac{m_2}{N_2}\boldsymbol{b}_2 + \frac{m_3}{N_3}\boldsymbol{b}_3\,, \tag{A.4}$$

where the m_i are integers obeying

$$0 \leq m_i < N_i\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Hence, the dual lattice is defined as

$$\Gamma^* = \left\{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}};\, \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3),\, 0 \leq m_i < N_i\ (i = 1, 2, 3)\right\}. \tag{A.6}$$

In particular, there are $N = N_1 N_2 N_2$ dual wavevectors, exactly as many as there are direct lattice points. By contrast, the reciprocal lattice vectors themselves are linear combinations of the form

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = m_1\boldsymbol{b}_1 + m_2\boldsymbol{b}_2 + m_3\boldsymbol{b}_3\,, \tag{A.7}$$

with arbitrary integers $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. These span the reciprocal lattice, which hence comprises infinitely many points.

With these prerequisites, we now define the Fourier transform of a field quantity $\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$, $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma$, by the unitary transformation

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\,, \tag{A.8}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \Gamma^*$. The inverse transformation reads

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \Gamma^*} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\,, \tag{A.9}$$

which can be shown using the identity

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}} \equiv \delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \Gamma^*} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})}\,. \tag{A.10}$$

Similarly, the Fourier transformation of lattice integral kernels (such as the dynamical matrix or the elastic Green function) is defined such that a relation

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{m0})\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{m0}) \tag{A.11}$$

in the direct lattice is equivalent to

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \tag{A.12}$$

in the dual lattice. Explicitly, we thus obtain

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in \Gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}'}\,, \tag{A.13}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{m0}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \Gamma^*} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}' \in \Gamma^*} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{n0}}\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}')\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}' \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{m0}}\,. \tag{A.14}$$

Concretely, for a homogeneous kernel,

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{m0}) = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0} - \boldsymbol{x}_{m0})\,, \tag{A.15}$$

these definitions imply in Fourier space that

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}})\, \delta_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\,. \tag{A.16}$$

The Fourier transformation then simplifies as follows:

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}})\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\,, \tag{A.17}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}} \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}\,, \tag{A.18}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ denotes the difference between any two direct lattice vectors and can itself be regarded as an element of Γ. The above relations are analogous to Eqs. (A.8)–(A.9) up to the prefactors (cf. [120, Sec. 2.1]). Finally, we remark that in the context of the lattice Fourier transformation, any dual lattice vector $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ can be arbitrarily altered by a reciprocal lattice vector, $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \mapsto$

$\boldsymbol{k_m} + \boldsymbol{G}$. Thus, the dual lattice can also be chosen to lie more symmetric around the origin. For example, we may replace Eq. (A.5) by

$$-\frac{N_i}{2} \leq m_i < \frac{N_i}{2}\,, \tag{A.19}$$

if N_i is assumed even. This choice has the advantage that with any wavevector $\boldsymbol{k_m}$, its reflected counterpart $-\boldsymbol{k_m}$ lies also in the dual lattice, a fact which has often been used in the main text.

A.2. Thermodynamic limit

Per definitionem, in the thermodynamic limit the number of lattice points goes to infinity, $N \to \infty$, such that the direct lattice becomes

$$\Gamma \to \left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0};\, \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3),\, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\ (i = 1, 2, 3)\right\}. \tag{A.20}$$

In Fourier space, the thermodynamic limit corresponds to a continuum limit in the sense that the dual lattice turns into the *primitive unit cell* of the reciprocal lattice (see [111, p. 73]),

$$\Gamma^* \to \left\{\boldsymbol{k} = \mu_1\boldsymbol{b}_1 + \mu_2\boldsymbol{b}_2 + \mu_3\boldsymbol{b}_3;\, 0 \leq \mu_i < 1\ (i = 1, 2, 3)\right\}. \tag{A.21}$$

Again, by suitably adding reciprocal lattice vectors, the primitive unit cell of the reciprocal lattice can be chosen to be more symmetric with respect to the origin. For example, one may take $-0.5 \leq \mu_i < 0.5$ in Eq. (A.21). Here, we will make yet another choice (which is standard in solid-state physics): we replace Γ^* by the *(first) Brillouin zone* $\mathcal{B}$, which is the Wigner-Seitz cell of the reciprocal lattice (see e.g. [111, p. 73]), i.e., the region in $\boldsymbol{k}$ space which is closer to the origin than to any other point of the reciprocal lattice. The volume of the Brillouin zone is given by

$$|\mathcal{B}| = |\boldsymbol{b}_1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}_2 \times \boldsymbol{b}_3)| = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V_0}\,, \tag{A.22}$$

where

$$V_0 = \frac{V}{N} = |\boldsymbol{a}_1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3)| \tag{A.23}$$

is the volume of the primitive unit cell of the direct Bravais lattice.

Any summation over dual lattice vectors can now be interpreted as a Riemann sum, which in the thermodynamic limit approaches an integral over the Brillouin zone, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\in\Gamma^*} f(\boldsymbol{k_m})\,\Delta^3\boldsymbol{k_m} \;\to\; \int_{\mathcal{B}} f(\boldsymbol{k})\,\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\,. \tag{A.24}$$

Here, the differential $\Delta^3\boldsymbol{k_m}$ corresponds to the Brillouin zone volume per dual lattice vector,

$$\Delta^3\boldsymbol{k_m} = \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{N} = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,. \tag{A.25}$$

We thus find the replacement rule for summations over dual lattice vectors,

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\in\Gamma^*} \mapsto \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\,. \tag{A.26}$$

Next, we consider the Kronecker delta for dual lattice vectors, which has the property that for an arbitrary function f,

$$f(\boldsymbol{k_m}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k_n}\in\Gamma^*} \delta_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\boldsymbol{k_n}}\, f(\boldsymbol{k_n})\,. \tag{A.27}$$

On the other hand, the Dirac delta distribution in momentum space is defined such that

$$f(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}'\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')\,f(\boldsymbol{k}')\,. \tag{A.28}$$

By taking the thermodynamic limit of Eq. (A.27), $f(\boldsymbol{k_m}) \to f(\boldsymbol{k})$, using Eq. (A.26) and comparing the result with Eq. (A.28), we obtain the replacement rule for the Kronecker delta,

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\boldsymbol{k_n}} \mapsto \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')\,. \tag{A.29}$$

We now come to the Fourier transformation. In the thermodynamic limit, the Fourier transform of the displacement field is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}|}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{x_{n0}}\in\Gamma} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}})\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x_{n0}}}\,, \tag{A.30}$$

while the inverse transformation reads

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}|}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\,, \tag{A.31}$$

as can be shown by the identity

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})}\,. \tag{A.32}$$

By taking the thermodynamic limit of Eqs. (A.8)–(A.9)—keeping $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$ in direct space fixed—and comparing the result with Eqs. (A.30)–(A.31), we find that the Fourier transform of any field quantity should be replaced in the thermodynamic limit as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{N}}\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{A.33}$$

Similarly, by defining the Fourier transformation of the dynamical matrix in the thermodynamic limit as

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}') = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\in\Gamma} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}\in\Gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}\,, \tag{A.34}$$

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}'\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}'\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}\,, \tag{A.35}$$

and comparing these equations with Eqs. (A.13)–(A.14), we obtain the replacement rule for the dynamical matrix,

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \mapsto \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\, \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')\,. \tag{A.36}$$

By using the lattice translation invariance of the dynamical matrix, which implies Eq. (A.16) and respectively,

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}') = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')\,, \tag{A.37}$$

we further obtain (using Eqs. (A.29) and (A.36)) the rule

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \mapsto \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{A.38}$$

This means, the reduced kernel of the dynamical matrix (depending on one wavevector only) remains invariant in the thermodynamic limit. The same applies to the elastic Green function defined by

$$\left(-M\omega^2 + \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\right) \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \overleftrightarrow{1}\,, \tag{A.39}$$

i.e., in the thermodynamic limit,

$$\overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k_m},\omega) \mapsto \overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,. \tag{A.40}$$

Finally, we consider the mode expansion coefficients. In the discrete case they fulfill the Poisson bracket relations (2.100), i.e.,

$$\left\{a_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\lambda},\, a^*_{\boldsymbol{k_n}\lambda'}\right\} = (\mathrm{i}\hbar)^{-1}\delta_{\boldsymbol{k_m k_n}}\,\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,, \tag{A.41}$$

while in the thermodynamic limit they are supposed to obey

$$\left\{a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda},\, a^*_{\boldsymbol{k'}\lambda'}\right\} = (\mathrm{i}\hbar)^{-1}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k'})\,\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,. \tag{A.42}$$

Taking into account Eq. (A.29), we therefore find the relation

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k_m}\lambda} \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,. \tag{A.43}$$

With all these replacement rules, the mode expansion of the displacement field, Eq. (2.87), can be written in the thermodynamic limit as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}},t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}|}}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\,\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \\ \times\left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\,\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x_{n0}}} + a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\,\boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x_{n0}}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.44}$$

Similarly, from Eq. (2.88) one obtains the mode expansion of the conjugate momentum $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}},t) = M\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x_{n0}},t)$. The displacement field and its conjugate momentum obey the same Poisson bracket relations in real space, Eqs. (2.60)–(2.62), as in the case of a finite lattice.

A.3. Continuum limit

We first describe the continuum limit for a finite sample with volume V. For this purpose, we define the new unit vectors ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i^r := \frac{1}{r}\,\boldsymbol{a}_i \tag{A.45}$$

for any positive integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^r := n_1\boldsymbol{a}_1^r + n_2\boldsymbol{a}_2^r + n_3\boldsymbol{a}_3^r\,. \tag{A.46}$$

Thus, we define a new Bravais lattice with $N^r = r^3N$ points as

$$\Gamma^r := \left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}^r;\ \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3),\ 0 \le n_i < rN_i\ (i = 1, 2, 3)\right\}. \tag{A.47}$$

Since the volume of the primitive cell becomes

$$V_0^r = |\boldsymbol{a}_1^r \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2^r \times \boldsymbol{a}_3^r)| = \frac{1}{r^3}V_0\,, \tag{A.48}$$

the volume of the whole sample remains constant for each r,

$$N^r V_0^r = NV_0 \equiv V\,. \tag{A.49}$$

The continuum limit is now defined by letting $r \to \infty$. Then the discrete Bravais lattice turns into a parallelepiped,

$$\Gamma^r \to \mathcal{V} := \left\{\boldsymbol{x} = \ell_1\boldsymbol{a}_1 + \ell_2\boldsymbol{a}_2 + \ell_3\boldsymbol{a}_3;\ \ell_i \in [0, N_i)\ \text{for}\ i = 1, 2, 3\right\}, \tag{A.50}$$

with sidelengths $L_i = N_i\boldsymbol{a}_i$ and volume $|\mathcal{V}| = V$. Furthermore, the unit vectors of the reciprocal lattice are given for any finite r by

$$\boldsymbol{b}_i^r = r\,\boldsymbol{b}_i\,, \tag{A.51}$$

and hence the dual lattice vectors are given by

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{m_1}{rN_1}\,\boldsymbol{b}_1^r + \frac{m_2}{rN_2}\,\boldsymbol{b}_2^r + \frac{m_3}{rN_3}\,\boldsymbol{b}_3^r = \frac{m_1}{N_1}\,\boldsymbol{b}_1 + \frac{m_2}{N_2}\,\boldsymbol{b}_2 + \frac{m_3}{N_3}\,\boldsymbol{b}_3\,, \tag{A.52}$$

with

$$0 \le m_i < rN_i\,. \tag{A.53}$$

This shows that the dual lattice becomes larger with increasing r, but the distances between the dual lattice vectors remain invariant. For $r \to \infty$, the dual lattice becomes infinite,

$$(\Gamma^r)^* \to \left\{ \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} ;\, \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3),\, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}\ (i = 1, 2, 3) \right\}. \tag{A.54}$$

In the continuum limit, the displacement field becomes an ordinary continuous vector field defined on the volume $\mathcal{V}$, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,. \tag{A.55}$$

Analogous to the thermodynamic limit, any summation over direct lattice vectors can now be interpreted as a Riemann sum which in the continuum limit approaches an integral over $\mathcal{V}$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma} f(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})\, \Delta^3 \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \to \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}\, f(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{A.56}$$

Here, the differential $\Delta^3 \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$ corresponds to the volume per lattice vector,

$$\Delta^3 \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} = \frac{V}{N} = V_0\,. \tag{A.57}$$

We thus find the replacement rule for summations over direct lattice vectors,

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0} \in \Gamma} \mapsto \frac{1}{V_0} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}\,. \tag{A.58}$$

Similarly, the Kronecker delta for direct lattice vectors turns into the Dirac delta distribution in real space,

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}} \mapsto V_0\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{A.59}$$

Next, we come to the Fourier transformation. In the continuum limit, the Fourier transform of a field quantity is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{A.60}$$

while the inverse transformation reads

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \in \Gamma^*} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{A.61}$$

as can be shown by the identity

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{k}_m \boldsymbol{k}_n} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}_m - \boldsymbol{k}_n)\cdot \boldsymbol{x}}\,. \tag{A.62}$$

By comparing these equations with the corresponding discrete transformations, Eqs. (A.8)–(A.9), we see that the Fourier transform of the displacement field should be replaced in the continuum limit as

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_m) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{V_0}}\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_m)\,. \tag{A.63}$$

We now turn to the dynamical matrix, which is defined in the continuum limit such that

$$V_{\mathrm{phon}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \boldsymbol{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{A.64}$$

Comparing this with Eq. (2.55) in the discrete case and using the replacement rule (A.58) for the summations over lattice vectors, we find that

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}) \mapsto V_0^2\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{A.65}$$

In Fourier space, this implies the replacement rule

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_m, \boldsymbol{k}_n) \mapsto V_0\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_m, \boldsymbol{k}_n)\,, \tag{A.66}$$

or in the homogeneous case,

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_m) \mapsto V_0\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_m)\,. \tag{A.67}$$

The elastic Green function defined by

$$\big(-M\omega^2 + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_m)\big)\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_m, \omega) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,, \tag{A.68}$$

therefore has to be replaced in the continuum limit by

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_m, \omega) \mapsto \frac{1}{V_0}\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_m, \omega)\,. \tag{A.69}$$

Finally, the Poisson bracket relations remain invariant in the continuum limit,

$$\big\{a_{\boldsymbol{k}_m \lambda},\, a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}_n \lambda'}\big\} = (\mathrm{i}\hbar)^{-1}\, \delta_{\boldsymbol{k}_m \boldsymbol{k}_n}\, \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\,, \tag{A.70}$$

and so do the mode expansion coefficients. Therefore, the mode expansion (2.87) can be written in the continuum limit as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \tag{A.71}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\in\Gamma^*} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho_0\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\right),$$

where the reference mass density ρ_0 has been defined in Eq. (4.105). The continuous displacement field now obeys the Poisson bracket relation

$$\left\{u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t), \pi_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\right\} = \delta_{ij}\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{A.72}$$

By our convention that the displacement field in real space remains invariant, $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}},t) \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$, and by Eq. (A.59), it follows that the conjugate momentum in real space has to be replaced by

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}},t) \mapsto V_0\, \boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{A.73}$$

and is therefore given by

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \frac{M}{V_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{A.74}$$

The mode expansion of $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ can then be directly obtained.

A.4. Combined limites and synopsis

By taking the thermodynamic and the continuum limit at the same time, both the direct and the dual lattice vectors turn into continuous variables,

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \to \boldsymbol{x}\,, \tag{A.75}$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}} \to \boldsymbol{k}\,, \tag{A.76}$$

ranging in the whole three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Correspondingly, all summations should be replaced by integrals according to

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}\in\Gamma} \mapsto \frac{1}{V_0}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\,, \tag{A.77}$$

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}\in\Gamma^*} \mapsto \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\,, \tag{A.78}$$

where V is the volume of the probe and $V_0 = V/N$ the volume of the primitive cell. These two factors should in the end disappear from any relation between physical quantities. Similarly, the Kronecker deltas are replaced by Dirac delta distributions as in Eqs. (A.29) and (A.59). The Fourier transformation is defined in the combined limit by

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{A.79}$$

while the inverse transformation reads

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\,, \tag{A.80}$$

as can be shown by the identity

$$\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')}\,. \tag{A.81}$$

In particular, by requiring again that the displacement field in real space remains invariant, $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})$, this implies the replacement rule of its Fourier transform,

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}) \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{1}{V_0}}\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3 N}{V^2}}\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{A.82}$$

Similar replacement rules can again be derived for the dynamical matrix and the elastic Green function. Finally, the mode expansion coefficients transform according to Eq. (A.43), and the mode expansion can be expressed in the combined limit as

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \\ &\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho_0\,\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + a^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}^*_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{A.83}$$

with the mass density ρ_0 given by Eq. (4.105). As expected, this formula does not explicitly depend on the parameters V, N or M anymore (only through ρ_0). Finally, the continuous displacement field obeys again the Poisson bracket relation (A.72) with the conjugate momentum defined as in Eq. (A.74).

Table 1 summarizes all the replacement rules derived in this appendix for the thermodynamic limit, the continuum limit and the combined limites. These rules can be used to unequivocally convert the exact microscopic formulae for a finite lattice to the heuristic field theoretical expressions in the thermodynamic and/or continuum limites, and vice versa. To illustrate this once more, consider e.g. the equation of motion (4.3) for the elastic Green function. Explicitly, this reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell 0}\in\Gamma}\Big(\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{n0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell 0}}\,M\,\partial_t^2+\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_{n0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell 0})\Big)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\ell 0},t;\boldsymbol{x}_{m0},t')\\&=\delta(ct-ct')\,\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{n0},\boldsymbol{x}_{m0}}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{A.84}$$

By replacing each quantity appearing in this equation according to Table 1, we obtain the corresponding equation of motion in the combined thermodynamic and continuum limit,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\,\Big(\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\,\rho_0\,\partial_t^2+\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\Big)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{y},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\\&=\delta(ct-ct')\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,,\end{aligned}\tag{A.85}$$

which again depends on V, N or M only through the mass density ρ_0. Similarly, Table 2 summarizes the different versions of the mode expansion in the thermodynamic and/or continuum limites, which can be deduced from the exact Eqs. (2.87)–(2.88) by means of the replacement rules of Table 1.

Table 1: Replacement rules.

Finite crystal	Thermodynamic limit	Continuum limit	Combined limites
$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$	$\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}$	$\boldsymbol{x}$	$\boldsymbol{x}$
$\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$	$\boldsymbol{k}$	$\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$	$\boldsymbol{k}$
$\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}$	$\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}}$	$\frac{N}{V}\int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}$	$\frac{N}{V}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}$
$\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}$	$\delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0}}$	$\frac{V}{N}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$	$\frac{V}{N}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$
$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}}$	$\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}$	$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}}$	$\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}$
$\delta_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')$	$\delta_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}')$
$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})$	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})$
$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k})$	$\sqrt{\frac{N}{V}}\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3 N}{V^2}}\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{k})$
$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$	$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0})$	$\frac{V}{N}\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x})$	$\frac{V}{N}\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x})$
$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{k})$	$\sqrt{\frac{V}{N}}\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{N}}\,\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{k})$
$D(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})$	$D(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})$	$D(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')$	$D(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')$
$D(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}})$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,D(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')$	$\frac{N}{V}\,D(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}})$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3 N}{V^2}\,D(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')$
$D(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$D(\boldsymbol{k})$	$\frac{N}{V}\,D(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\frac{N}{V}\,D(\boldsymbol{k})$
$K(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})$	$K(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0},\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{m}0})$	$\frac{V^2}{N^2}\,K(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')$	$\frac{V^2}{N^2}\,K(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')$
$K(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}})$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}\,K(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')$	$\frac{V}{N}\,K(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}},\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{n}})$	$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{N}\,K(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{k}')$
$K(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$K(\boldsymbol{k})$	$\frac{V}{N}\,K(\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}})$	$\frac{V}{N}\,K(\boldsymbol{k})$
$a_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\lambda}$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$	$a_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\lambda}$	$\sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^3}{V}}\,a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$

Table 2: Mode expansions (with $\rho_0 = NM/V$).

Finite crystal	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
	$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
Thermodynamic limit	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \sqrt{\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3 N}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
	$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t) = \sqrt{\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3 N}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{M\hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
Continuum limit	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho_0\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
	$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0 \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t) \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
Combined limites	$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\rho_0\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}} \left(a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$
	$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k} \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0 \hbar\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}}{2}} \left(-\mathrm{i} a_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}(t)\, \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} + \text{c.c.} \right)$

A.5. Born–von-Karman boundary conditions

As explained already in the main text (Sec. 2.2.1), the strict periodicity of the external nuclear potential exerted on the electrons together with the finite particle number require the stipulation of the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions. Strictly speaking, however, this is not consistent with the fundamental Hamiltonian of electrons and nuclei, because the application of the Coulomb potential operator on a many-body wave function does in general not respect these boundary conditions. This is particularly transparent in Fourier space, where the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions restrict the possible wavevectors of a one-particle wave function $\psi(\boldsymbol{k})$ to the form $\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ (defined as in Eq. (A.4)) with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. By contrast, the Fourier transform of the Coulomb potential, $v(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1/(\varepsilon_0|\boldsymbol{k}|^2)$, is defined for all wavevectors $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which is also necessary for recovering the expression $v(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') = 1/(4\pi\varepsilon_0|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|)$ in real space. This problem can be dealt with by keeping the formal expression of the Coulomb potential in Fourier space fixed, but restricting it to the admissible wavevectors. We note, however, that in real space the resulting "Born–von-Karman Coulomb potential" is not (and, in fact, must not be) of the usual form any more.

Let us examine more carefully the substitutions required by the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions. For field quantities defined on $\mathbb{R}^3$, the Fourier transformation is defined by

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{A.86}$$

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \rho(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{A.87}$$

while for translation-invariant interaction kernels (cf. [120, Sec. 2.1])

$$v(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{r}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} v(\boldsymbol{r})\,, \tag{A.88}$$

$$v(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} v(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{A.89}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'$. On the other hand, for the corresponding quantities defined on a finite volume V with Born–von-Karman boundary conditions,

the Fourier transformations read

$$\rho_V(\boldsymbol{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \int_V \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \rho_V(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{A.90}$$

$$\rho_V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \rho_V(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{A.91}$$

and respectively,

$$v_V(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_V \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{r}\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} v_V(\boldsymbol{r})\,, \tag{A.92}$$

$$v_V(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} v_V(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{A.93}$$

In particular, these equations imply that $v_V(\boldsymbol{r}) \neq v(\boldsymbol{r})$, even if $v_V(\boldsymbol{k})$ coincides with $v(\boldsymbol{k})$ on the admissible wavevectors. However, if a real material is localized within the macroscopic volume V, then we expect the real-space density $\rho(\boldsymbol{x})$ within V to roughly coincide with the density $\rho_V(\boldsymbol{x})$ of a (hypothetical) system satisfying the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions. More precisely, this means

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \rho_V(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{A.94}$$

in the bulk, but not in the vicinity of the boundaries of V. Furthermore, we have $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx 0$ outside the volume V where the material is localized, which implies that the real-space integral in Eq. (A.86) is effectively restricted to the volume V just as in Eq. (A.90). Consequently, for the Fourier transforms of the densities we find the approximate equality

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{k}) \approx \sqrt{\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}}\, \rho_V(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{A.95}$$

at the admissible wavevectors. By a similar argument, the potential energy of the real material localized in the volume V should roughly coincide with the potential energy of the corresponding system with Born–von-Karman boundary conditions, hence,

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}\, \rho^*(\boldsymbol{k}) v(\boldsymbol{k}) \rho(\boldsymbol{k}) \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \rho_V^*(\boldsymbol{k}) v_V(\boldsymbol{k}) \rho_V(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{A.96}$$

As we already know that we can set approximately

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \approx \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} , \tag{A.97}$$

Eqs. (A.95) and (A.96) together imply that the interaction kernel scales trivially in Fourier space, i.e.,

$$v_V(\boldsymbol{k}) \approx v(\boldsymbol{k}) , \tag{A.98}$$

for the admissible wavevectors. Thus, no prefactor appears in this equation, and we can indeed simply restrict the Coulomb potential in Fourier space to the admissible wavevectors in order to account for the Born–von-Karman boundary conditions. We stress again, however, that the resulting Hamiltonian differs from the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1), because the "Born–von-Karman Coulomb potential" $v_V(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$ given in real space by the discrete sum (A.93) does not coincide with the usual Coulomb potential $v(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')$ anymore (compare [111, Eq. (17.17)]). Strictly speaking, one therefore has to take the thermodynamic limit $V \to \infty$ in the end of all calculations. In this limit, Eq. (A.93) indeed approaches the usual Coulomb potential.

B. Quantized Schrödinger field

In this appendix, we give a short introduction to quantum field theory as applied to many-body theory. We shall be brief as details can be found in the standard references like [8, 46, 107–110, 113]. While the first Subsec. B.1 introduces the Fock space formalism, the second Subsec. B.2 deals with the all-important second quantization.

B.1. Fock space formalism

Many-particle quantum states.—Formally, a one-particle wave function $\psi(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ can be interpreted as a classical time-dependent field on the ordinary three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^3$. By contrast, already a two-particle wave function $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_2;t)$ is a time-dependent function of *two* spatial variables $\boldsymbol{x}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_2$, and hence cannot be interpreted as a classical field on $\mathbb{R}^3$. The reason for this lies in the quantization procedure for multiparticle systems. The quantization of a system of one particle with position degree of freedom

$\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and conjugate momentum $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is implemented by postulating the canonical commutator relations $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] = \mathrm{i}\hbar\, \delta_{ij}\,, \tag{B.1}$$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0\,, \tag{B.2}$$

$$[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0\,. \tag{B.3}$$

These commutator relations can be represented on wave functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$(\hat{x}_i\,\psi)(\boldsymbol{x}) = x_i\,\psi(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{B.4}$$

$$(\hat{p}_i\,\psi)(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\hbar}{\mathrm{i}}\,\frac{\partial\psi(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i}\,. \tag{B.5}$$

If one deals instead with two particles, one gets two sets of such commutator relations, one for each particle. Furthermore, the position and momentum operators corresponding to different particles commute with each other. This enforces the two-particle state to depend on two spatial variables.

Although a generic function of two variables is not a product of two functions (each of one variable), a basis of one-particle orbitals $\{\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x})\}$ still induces a basis in the two-particle state space given by

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{x}_2 \,|\, \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j \rangle \equiv \langle \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \,|\, \varphi_i, \varphi_j \rangle = \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_2)\,. \tag{B.6}$$

Using complex expansion coefficients c_{ij}, an arbitrary two-particle state can now be written as

$$|\Psi^2\rangle = \sum_{i,\,j} c_{ij}\,|\varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j\rangle\,, \tag{B.7}$$

or more concretely, in the position-state basis, as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \,|\, \Psi\rangle \equiv \Psi^2(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) = \sum_{i,\,j} c_{ij}\,\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_2)\,. \tag{B.8}$$

The corresponding state space of the two-particle system is referred to as the *tensor product* "$\otimes$" of the respective one-particle state spaces. Formally, if there are two systems with state spaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ separately, then the combined system has the state space $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, i.e., the complex vector space spanned by the formal basis $\varphi_i \otimes \psi_j$, where the φ_i and ψ_j are respectively

bases of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore, the scalar product in the tensor product space $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is induced by

$$\langle \varphi_{i_1} \otimes \psi_{j_1} | \varphi_{i_2} \otimes \psi_{j_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2} = \langle \varphi_{i_1} | \varphi_{i_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} \langle \psi_{j_1} | \psi_{j_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} \,. \tag{B.9}$$

In particular, if both particles have the same state space of square-integrable, complex-valued functions on the three-dimensional space—which is denoted by $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$—then the two-particle system has the state space

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^6, \mathbb{C}) \,, \tag{B.10}$$

which comprises the square-integrable, complex-valued functions on the six-dimensional configuration space. This result is generalized to a system of N particles (each with state space $\mathcal{H}$) by defining the corresponding state space as

$$\mathcal{H}^N = \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}}_{N} \equiv \otimes^N \mathcal{H} \,. \tag{B.11}$$

This means, the N-particle state space is given by the square-integrable, complex-valued functions of N position variables $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N$. For technical purposes, one also introduces a zero-particle space $\mathcal{H}^0$, which is identified with the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ (formally: complex-valued functions without any argument).

All particle numbers can be treated simultaneously by introducing the *Fock space* over the one-particle space $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. This is defined as the orthogonal sum of all N-particle spaces, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^N \,. \tag{B.12}$$

Elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are infinite-dimensional vectors $|\Psi\rangle = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1, \Psi^2, \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where each entry Ψ^N denotes an N-particle wave function. In the position-state basis, a Fock space vector can be written as

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_1; \boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2; \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \boldsymbol{z}_3; \ldots) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \\ \Psi^2(\boldsymbol{y}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_2) \\ \Psi^3(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \boldsymbol{z}_3) \\ \ldots \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.13}$$

The scalar product of two Fock space vectors is given by the infinite sum

$$\langle \Psi \,|\, \Phi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \langle \Psi^N \,|\, \Phi^N \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^N} \,, \tag{B.14}$$

over the scalar products of the respective N-particle components,

$$\langle \Psi^N | \Phi^N \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^N} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_1 \ldots \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_N \, \Psi^{N*}(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N) \, \Phi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N) \,. \tag{B.15}$$

Finally, the Fock space vector

$$|0\rangle = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}} \,, \tag{B.16}$$

which has only a zero-particle component of unity, is called the *vacuum.* Obviously, the zero-particle state space is given by all complex multiples of the above vacuum vector.

Bosons and fermions.—Up to now, we did not pay attention to the statistics of the particles under consideration. By the *Bose-Fermi alternative* [149, Sec. I.3.4], wave functions of indistinguishable particles have to be either completely symmetric or completely antisymmetric (such particles being called *bosons* or *fermions,* respectively). Therefore, the states of N indistinguishable particles are actually restricted to a subspace of $\otimes^N \mathcal{H}$. These completely symmetric or antisymmetric subspaces of $\otimes^N \mathcal{H}$ will be denoted by $\vee^N \mathcal{H}$ and by $\wedge^N \mathcal{H}$, respectively. The corresponding subspaces of $\mathcal{F}$ are called the *bosonic Fock space* $\mathcal{F}_+$ and the *fermionic Fock space* $\mathcal{F}_-$. For technical purposes, we introduce the symmetrizing operator

$$\mathbb{A}_+ : \otimes^N \mathcal{H} \to \vee^N \mathcal{H} \,, \tag{B.17}$$

and the antisymmetrizing operator

$$\mathbb{A}_- : \otimes^N \mathcal{H} \to \wedge^N \mathcal{H} \,, \tag{B.18}$$

which act on N-particle product states as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}_+(\varphi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N) = \varphi_1 \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_N \tag{B.19}$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in S^N} \varphi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_{\pi(N)} \,, \tag{B.20}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_-(\varphi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N) = \varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_N \tag{B.21}$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in S^N} (-1)^{\pi} \varphi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_{\pi(N)} \,. \tag{B.22}$$

Here, S^N denotes the group of permutations of N elements, and $(-1)^\pi$ the character of the respective permutation. In the position-state basis, the action of the (anti)symmetrizing operator reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N | \mathbb{A}_\pm(\varphi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi \in S^N} (\pm 1)^\pi \varphi_1(\boldsymbol{x}_{\pi(1)}) \ldots \varphi_N(\boldsymbol{x}_{\pi(N)}) \,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.23}$$

For example, for a product of two orbitals, we get the well-known expressions

$$\mathbb{A}_\pm(\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_2)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \pm \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x}_2)\,\varphi_j(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\right) . \tag{B.24}$$

Mathematically, the operators $\mathbb{A}_\pm$ are *projectors* on $\vee^N\mathcal{H}$ or $\wedge^N\mathcal{H}$, respectively. By linearity, they can be extended to projectors on $\mathcal{F}_+$ and $\mathcal{F}_-$. In particular, these operators are hermitean and idempotent, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{A}_\pm^\dagger = \mathbb{A}_\pm = \mathbb{A}_\pm^2 \,. \tag{B.25}$$

The second property implies that $\mathbb{A}_\pm$ has no effect on (anti)symmetric wave functions, i.e., $\mathbb{A}_\pm|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ if $|\Psi\rangle$ is already (anti)symmetric.

Scalar products of completely antisymmetrized products of orbitals can be evaluated as

$$\langle \psi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi_N | \varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_N\rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \det(\langle \psi_i | \varphi_j\rangle) \,, \tag{B.26}$$

where "det" denotes the *determinant* of the $(N \times N)$ matrix. Similarly, for symmetrized products we find

$$\langle \psi_1 \vee \ldots \vee \psi_N | \varphi_1 \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_N\rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \operatorname{per}(\langle \psi_i | \varphi_j\rangle) \,, \tag{B.27}$$

where "per" denotes the *permanent* (which is defined analogous to the determinant, but with all minus signs replaced by plus signs). Now, if $\{\varphi_i\}$ denotes a complete, *orthonormal* system in the one-particle state space $\mathcal{H}$, then $\{\varphi_{i_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{i_N}\}$ is a complete, *orthogonal* system in the symmetric N-particle space $\vee^N\mathcal{H}$, and $\{\varphi_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_{i_N}\}$ is a complete, *orthogonal* system in the antisymmetric N-particle space $\wedge^N\mathcal{H}$. Concretely, one can calculate

in the fermionic case,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_{i_N} \,|\, \varphi_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_{j_N} \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^\pi / N! \,, & \text{if } \{i_1, \ldots, i_N\} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_N\} \,, \\ 0 \,, & \text{otherwise} \,, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{B.28}$$

where $(-1)^\pi$ denotes the character of the permutation which translates the tupel $(i_1, \ldots, i_N)$ into $(j_1, \ldots, j_N)$. On the other hand, in the bosonic case,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \varphi_{i_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{i_N} \,|\, \varphi_{j_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{j_N} \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} n_1! \ldots n_k! / N! \,, & \text{if } \{i_1, \ldots, i_N\} = \{j_1, \ldots, j_N\} \,, \\ 0 \,, & \text{otherwise} \,. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{B.29}$$

In this equation, $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ are the *occupation numbers* of the respective orbitals $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$ entering into the symmetrized tensor product $\varphi_{i_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{i_N}$. These are all positive and sum up to $n_1 + \ldots + n_k = N$. By contrast, in the fermionic case all occupation numbers are either zero or one, hence each orbital may appear at most once in the corresponding antisymmetrized product.

The above Eqs. (B.28) and (B.29) show that $\varphi_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_{i_N}$ and $\varphi_{i_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{i_N}$ are not normalized (which is clear because $\mathbb{A}_\pm$ is a projector). One therefore introduces the *Slater determinants*

$$\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) := \sqrt{N!}\; \varphi_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_{i_N} \,, \tag{B.30}$$

and the *Slater permanents*

$$\mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) := \sqrt{\frac{N!}{n_1! \ldots n_k!}}\; \varphi_{i_1} \vee \ldots \vee \varphi_{i_N} \,. \tag{B.31}$$

In the position-state basis, they read as

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N \,|\, \mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) & \ldots & \varphi_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{i_N}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) & \ldots & \varphi_{i_N}(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \end{pmatrix} , \end{aligned} \tag{B.32}$$

and respectively,

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N \,|\, \mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) \rangle \tag{B.33}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!\, n_1! \ldots n_k!}} \operatorname{per} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) & \ldots & \varphi_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{i_N}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) & \ldots & \varphi_{i_N}(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By construction, the Slater determinants and permanents over a complete, *orthonormal* system in the one-particle state space $\mathcal{H}$ also form a complete, *orthonormal* system in the corresponding N-particle (anti)symmetric state space $\vee^N \mathcal{H}$ or $\wedge^N \mathcal{H}$.

Creators and annihilators.—For any one-particle state $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, we introduce the *creation and annihilation operators,*

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi) : \mathcal{H}^N \to \mathcal{H}^{N+1}\,, \tag{B.34}$$
$$\hat{a}(\varphi) : \mathcal{H}^N \to \mathcal{H}^{N-1}\,. \tag{B.35}$$

For $N \geq 1$, they are defined by

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi)\,(\varphi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N) = \sqrt{N+1}\,(\varphi \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N)\,, \tag{B.36}$$
$$\hat{a}(\varphi)\,(\varphi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N) = \sqrt{N}\,\langle \varphi \,|\, \varphi_1 \rangle\,(\varphi_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes \varphi_N)\,, \tag{B.37}$$

whereas their action on the vacuum ($N = 0$) is defined as

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi)\,|0\rangle = |\varphi\rangle\,, \tag{B.38}$$
$$\hat{a}(\varphi)\,|0\rangle = 0\,. \tag{B.39}$$

By linearity, these operators can be extended to the whole Fock space $\mathcal{F}$. As the notation suggests, creation and annihilation operators are adjoint to each other. Furthermore, the maps $\varphi \mapsto \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi)$ and $\varphi \mapsto \hat{a}(\varphi)$ are linear and antilinear, respectively, meaning that for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\lambda_1 \varphi_1 + \lambda_2 \varphi_2) = \lambda_1\, \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi_1) + \lambda_2\, \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi_2)\,, \tag{B.40}$$
$$\hat{a}(\lambda_1 \varphi_1 + \lambda_2 \varphi_2) = \lambda_1^*\, \hat{a}(\varphi_1) + \lambda_2^*\, \hat{a}(\varphi_2)\,. \tag{B.41}$$

Bosonic and *fermionic* creation and annihilation operators are respectively defined by restricting the operators (B.34)–(B.35) to the bosonic and fermionic Fock spaces, i.e.,

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_\pm(\varphi) = \mathbb{A}_\pm \, \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi) \, \mathbb{A}_\pm \,, \tag{B.42}$$

$$\hat{a}_\pm(\varphi) = \mathbb{A}_\pm \, \hat{a}(\varphi) \, \mathbb{A}_\pm \,. \tag{B.43}$$

In the following, we will explicitly write the subscripts "$\pm$" of the creators and annihilators only if misunderstandings are to be avoided. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ denote again an orthonormal basis in the one-particle space $\mathcal{H}$. The action of the fermionic creator on a Slater determinant is given by

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_-(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_1,\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N})\,, & \text{if } \; n_1 = 0\,, \\ 0\,, & \text{if } \; n_1 = 1\,, \end{cases} \tag{B.44}$$

where n_1 counts how often the orbital φ_1 appears in the Slater determinant $\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N})$. The corresponding action of the fermionic annihilator reads

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_-(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) = 0\,, & \text{if } \; n_1 = 0\,, \\ \hat{a}_-(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_1,\varphi_{i_2},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) = \mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_2},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N})\,, & \text{if } \; n_1 = 1\,. \end{cases} \tag{B.45}$$

Here, we have assumed without loss of generality that if $n_1 = 1$, the orbital φ_1 appears as the first argument of the Slater determinant. (If it appears instead at another position, one may simply reorder the arguments using the antisymmetry of the Slater determinant.) In the bosonic case, the creator acts on a Slater permanent as

$$\hat{a}^\dagger_+(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) = \sqrt{n_1+1}\;\mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_1,\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N})\,, \tag{B.46}$$

while the bosonic annihilator yields

$$\hat{a}_+(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_{i_1},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) = 0\,, \quad \text{if } \; n_1 = 0\,, \tag{B.47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{a}_+(\varphi_1)\,\mathrm{SL}_+(\underbrace{\varphi_1,\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_1}_{n_1},\varphi_{i_2},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N}) \\ &= \sqrt{n_1}\;\mathrm{SL}_+(\underbrace{\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_1}_{n_1-1},\varphi_{i_2},\ldots,\varphi_{i_N})\,, \quad \text{if } \; n_1 \geq 1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.48}$$

Again, we have assumed here that the n_1 orbitals φ_1 appear as the first arguments of the Slater permanent. (By the symmetry of the Slater permanent, this can always be achieved by simply permuting the arguments.) In particular, the above results imply the following representations of the Slater determinants and permanents:

$$\mathrm{SL}_-(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) = \hat{a}_-^\dagger(\varphi_{i_1}) \ldots \hat{a}_-^\dagger(\varphi_{i_N}) \, |0\rangle \,, \tag{B.49}$$

$$\mathrm{SL}_+(\varphi_{i_1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i_N}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \ldots n_k!}} \, \hat{a}_+^\dagger(\varphi_{i_1}) \ldots \hat{a}_+^\dagger(\varphi_{i_N}) \, |0\rangle \,. \tag{B.50}$$

Finally, a direct calculation using the explicit expressions Eqs. (B.44)–(B.48) and the (anti)symmetry of the maps $\varphi \mapsto \hat{a}(\varphi)$ and $\varphi \mapsto \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi)$ shows that the bosonic or fermionic creation and annihilation operators respectively fulfill the *canonical (anti)commutation relations*:

$$\big[\hat{a}_\pm(\psi), \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(\varphi)\big]_\mp = \langle \psi | \varphi \rangle \,, \tag{B.51}$$

$$\big[\hat{a}_\pm(\psi), \hat{a}_\pm(\varphi)\big]_\mp = 0 \,, \tag{B.52}$$

$$\big[\hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(\psi), \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(\varphi)\big]_\mp = 0 \,, \tag{B.53}$$

where

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_- \equiv [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} \tag{B.54}$$

denotes the commutator, and

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A} \tag{B.55}$$

the anticommutator of two operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$.

Field operators.—After the introduction of general creators and annihilators, we can finally introduce (bosonic or fermionic) *field operators* $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})$ (cf. Sec. 2.1.2 in the main text). For this purpose, we consider the generalized basis of position eigenvectors $|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle$ in the one-particle state space, and define the (bosonic or fermionic) field operators as the corresponding creators and annihilators:

$$\hat{\psi}_\pm^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) = \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle) \,, \tag{B.56}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}) = \hat{a}_\pm(|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle) \,. \tag{B.57}$$

Using the relation

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}' \rangle = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{y}\; \delta^3(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x})\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}') = \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,, \tag{B.58}$$

we obtain the canonical (anti)commutation relations for the field operators:

$$\big[\hat{\psi}_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}),\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}')\big]_\mp = \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,, \tag{B.59}$$

$$\big[\hat{\psi}_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}),\, \hat{\psi}_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}')\big]_\mp = 0\,, \tag{B.60}$$

$$\big[\hat{\psi}^\dagger_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}),\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger_\pm(\boldsymbol{x}')\big]_\mp = 0\,. \tag{B.61}$$

In the Fock space formalism, these (anti)commutation relations are straightforward deductions from the underlying definitions of the field operators.

The action of the annihilator $\hat{a}_\pm(\varphi)$ on the N-particle state space $\mathcal{H}^N$ reads in the position basis,

$$\big(\hat{a}_\pm(\varphi)\,\Psi^N\big)(\boldsymbol{x}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_N) = \sqrt{N}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_1\,\varphi^*(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,\Psi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_2,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_N)\,. \tag{B.62}$$

From this we immediately recover the important formula (2.6) in the main text, which holds both in the bosonic and in the fermionic case. By contrast, the action of the creation operators depends on the statistics. In the position-state basis, it reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\hat{a}^\dagger_\pm(\varphi)\,\Psi^{N-1}\big)(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_N) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\pm 1)^{j-1}\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}_j)\,\Psi^{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\breve{\boldsymbol{x}}_j,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_N)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B.63}$$

where the notation $\breve{\boldsymbol{x}}_j$ means that the argument $\boldsymbol{x}_j$ is omitted. The creators and annihilators of one-particle states can be reconstructed from the field operators by means of the intuitive formulae

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})\,\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{B.64}$$

$$\hat{a}(\varphi) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\varphi^*(\boldsymbol{x})\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{B.65}$$

Conversely, we can express the field operators through the creators and annihilators of a complete orbital system $\{\varphi_i\}$ in the one-particle space as

$$\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_i \varphi_i^*(\boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{a}_i^\dagger\,, \tag{B.66}$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_i \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{x})\, \hat{a}_i\,, \tag{B.67}$$

where $\hat{a}_i^\dagger \equiv \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi_i)$ and $\hat{a}_i \equiv \hat{a}(\varphi_i)$. We further note that by the help of the field operators, a general (bosonic or fermionic) N-particle state $|\Psi^N\rangle$ can be expressed through its real-space wave function as

$$|\Psi^N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_1 \ldots \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}_N\, \Psi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N)\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \ldots \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}_N)\, |0\rangle\,, \tag{B.68}$$

which can be shown from Eqs. (B.49) or (B.50). In particular, for a one-particle state $|\Psi^1\rangle$ we retrieve the well-known formula

$$|\Psi^1\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}')\, \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}')|0\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}')\, |\boldsymbol{x}'\rangle\,. \tag{B.69}$$

Using that $\langle \boldsymbol{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{x}'\rangle = \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')$, this further implies

$$\Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, \Psi^1(\boldsymbol{x}')\, \langle \boldsymbol{x}\,|\,\boldsymbol{x}'\rangle = \langle 0\,|\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\,\Psi^1\rangle\,. \tag{B.70}$$

Similarly, from a general (bosonic or fermionic) Fock space vector $|\Psi\rangle = (\ldots, \Psi^N, \ldots) \in \mathcal{F}_\pm$, one can gain back the N-particle component in the position-space basis by means of

$$\Psi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\, \langle 0\,|\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \ldots \hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\,|\,\Psi^N\rangle\,. \tag{B.71}$$

We note that this equation connects the (anti)symmetry of the wave function to the (anti)commutativity of the field operators.

B.2. Second quantization

Introduction.—There are two different notions of 'second quantization': (i) The so-called second quantization of classical fields (such as the displacement field or the Schrödinger field). In this sense, second quantization has actually the same meaning as *field quantization.* In cases where

the field had been known prior to the advent of quantum mechanics, the second quantization is actually the first (field) quantization. By contrast, since the Schrödinger field had originally been discovered by quantizing a classical point-particle theory, the (first) field quantization of the (classical) Schrödinger field was then called a "second" quantization procedure. (ii) The promotion of one-particle operators to many-particle operators, or—equivalently—their expression in terms of field operators. It is this second aspect which concerns us in this subsection.

As a heuristic introduction, we first consider a system of two non-interacting particles in a factorizing state,

$$|\Phi\rangle = |\varphi_1, \varphi_2\rangle \equiv |\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2\rangle \,. \tag{B.72}$$

As the particles do not interact, the total Hamiltonian is given by the sum of two one-particle Hamiltonians (assumed to be identical), and the total energy therefore reads

$$E = \langle \varphi_1 | \hat{H} | \varphi_1 \rangle + \langle \varphi_2 | \hat{H} | \varphi_2 \rangle \,. \tag{B.73}$$

We want to write this in the form

$$E = \langle \Phi | \mathcal{Q}(\hat{H}) | \Phi \rangle \,, \tag{B.74}$$

with an appropriate two-particle Hamiltonian $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H})$ constructed from the one-particle Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. This can be achieved by setting

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H}) = \hat{H} \otimes \hat{\mathrm{I}} + \hat{\mathrm{I}} \otimes \hat{H} \,, \tag{B.75}$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ denotes the identity operator on each subspace. Equivalently, one can write

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H}) = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 \,, \tag{B.76}$$

where it is understood that $\hat{H}_i$ acts on the i-th coordinate of the two-particle wave function. These formulae already encapsulate the essence of the second quantization of operators, in that they show how many-particle observables are to be constructed from their one-particle counterparts. We just have to generalize this now to arbitrary particle numbers.

Second quantization of hermitean and unitary operators.—First, for hermitean operators $\hat{A}$ on the one-particle state space $\mathcal{H}$, the second quantization $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{A})$ is defined as a hermitean operator on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$

given by

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{A}) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \\ \Psi^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \hat{A}\,\Psi^1 \\ (\hat{A}\otimes\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \hat{\mathrm{I}}\otimes\hat{A})\,\Psi^2 \\ (\hat{A}\otimes\hat{\mathrm{I}}\otimes\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \hat{\mathrm{I}}\otimes\hat{A}\otimes\hat{\mathrm{I}} + \hat{\mathrm{I}}\otimes\hat{\mathrm{I}}\otimes\hat{A})\,\Psi^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} . \tag{B.77}$$

That means, the second quantization of $\hat{A}$ is an infinite-dimensional diagonal matrix,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{A}) = \mathrm{diag}\left(0\,;\,\hat{A}_1\,;\,\hat{A}_1+\hat{A}_2\,;\,\hat{A}_1+\hat{A}_2+\hat{A}_3\,;\dots\right), \tag{B.78}$$

where $\hat{A}_i$ is given by $\hat{A}$ in its action on the i-th coordinate of the N-particle wave function $\Psi^N(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_i,\dots,\boldsymbol{x}_N)$. By contrast, for unitary operators $\hat{U}$ we define:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \\ \Psi^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \hat{U}\,\Psi^1 \\ (\hat{U}\otimes\hat{U})\,\Psi^2 \\ (\hat{U}\otimes\hat{U}\otimes\hat{U})\,\Psi^3 \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} , \tag{B.79}$$

i.e., the second quantization of $\hat{U}$ is the infinite-dimensional diagonal matrix

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\hat{\mathrm{I}}\,;\,\hat{U}_1\,;\,\hat{U}_1\hat{U}_2\,;\,\hat{U}_1\hat{U}_2\hat{U}_3\,;\dots\right). \tag{B.80}$$

The second quantization of hermitean and unitary operators is defined differently in order to recover the relation between the Hamiltonian operator (which is hermitean) and its corresponding time-evolution operator (which is unitary). In fact, if $\hat{H}$ generates the time evolution

$$\hat{U}(t-t') = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}(t-t')\hat{H}\right), \tag{B.81}$$

then the second-quantized time evolution $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})$ is generated by $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H})$ through the analogous formula, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}(t-t')) = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}(t-t')\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H})\right). \tag{B.82}$$

For hermitean operators, the second quantization is a linear mapping,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\lambda\,\hat{A}+\mu\,\hat{B})=\lambda\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{A})+\mu\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{B})\,, \tag{B.83}$$

which preserves the (anti)commutator relations

$$[\hat{A},\hat{B}]_{\mp}=\hat{C} \tag{B.84}$$

in the sense that

$$[\mathcal{Q}(\hat{A}),\mathcal{Q}(\hat{B})]_{\mp}=\mathcal{Q}(\hat{C})\,. \tag{B.85}$$

On the other hand, for unitary operators the general identity holds,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}\hat{V})=\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{V})\,. \tag{B.86}$$

For *both* hermitean and unitary operators, the original one-particle operator can be identified with its second quantization restricted to the one-particle subspace,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})\big|_{\mathcal{H}}=\hat{U}\,. \tag{B.87}$$

Moreover, for both hermitean and unitary operators we have

$$(\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}))^{\dagger}=\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}^{\dagger})\,, \tag{B.88}$$

implying that the second quantization of a hermitean operator is again hermitean, and the second quantization of a unitary operator is again unitary. The restriction of $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})$ to the bosonic/fermionic Fock space is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}(\hat{U})=\mathbb{A}_{\pm}\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})\,\mathbb{A}_{\pm}\,. \tag{B.89}$$

Often, this is an identity in the sense of

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}(\hat{U})=\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})\big|_{\mathcal{F}_{\pm}}\,, \tag{B.90}$$

because many operators $\hat{U}$ respect the symmetry of the wave function. For example, the standard expression for an N-particle kinetic energy operator,

$$\hat{T}_N=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{x}_i}\right)^2\,, \tag{B.91}$$

if applied to an (anti)symmetric wave function, automatically yields another (anti)symmetric wave function.

Normal form.—The defining formulae for the second quantization of an operator are rather clumsy and therefore primarily of a conceptual value. Fortunately though, it is possible to rewrite the second quantization of *hermitean* operators in terms of the creation and annihilation operators introduced in Sec. B.1. This is called the *normal form* of a second-quantized hermitean operator. Concretely, given a complete orthonormal system $\{\varphi_i\}$ of the one-particle state space $\mathcal{H}$, the normal form of $\mathcal{Q}_\pm(\hat{A})$ is just the generalization of the formula

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i,\,j} |\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|\,\hat{A}\,|\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_j|\,, \tag{B.92}$$

valid on the one-particle space, to

$$\mathcal{Q}_\pm(\hat{A}) = \sum_{i,\,j} \hat{a}_i^\dagger\,\langle\varphi_i|\,\hat{A}\,|\varphi_j\rangle\,\hat{a}_j\,, \tag{B.93}$$

valid on the bosonic or fermionic Fock space. Hence, in this last equation $\hat{a}_i^\dagger \equiv \hat{a}_\pm^\dagger(\varphi_i)$ and $\hat{a}_i \equiv \hat{a}_\pm(\varphi_i)$ denote the (anti)symmetrized creation and annihilation operators, respectively. This analogy between creators and *ket* vectors, as well as annihilators and *bra* vectors, can be pushed further. For example, let $\{\varphi_i\}$ and $\{\psi_j\}$ denote different orthogonal bases in the one-particle space, which are related by

$$|\varphi_i\rangle = \sum_j |\psi_j\rangle\,\langle\psi_j|\,\varphi_i\rangle\,, \tag{B.94}$$

$$\langle\varphi_i| = \sum_j \langle\varphi_i|\,\psi_j\rangle\,\langle\psi_j|\,. \tag{B.95}$$

The operators $\hat{a}_i \equiv \hat{a}(\varphi_i)$ can then be expressed analogously through the operators $\hat{b}_j \equiv \hat{a}(\psi_j)$, and vice versa, by means of

$$\hat{a}_i^\dagger = \sum_j \hat{b}_j^\dagger\,\langle\psi_j|\,\varphi_i\rangle\,, \tag{B.96}$$

$$\hat{a}_i = \sum_j \langle\varphi_i|\,\psi_j\rangle\,\hat{b}_j\,. \tag{B.97}$$

A particularly important operator in the Fock space is the *particle number operator*. It can be defined as the second quantization of the identity operator on the one-particle state space, i.e.,

$$\hat{N} = \mathcal{Q}\big(\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathcal{H}}\big)\,. \tag{B.98}$$

This gives the infinite-dimensional diagonal matrix

$$\hat{N} = \mathrm{diag}\,(0;\,1;\,2;\,3;\,\ldots)\,. \tag{B.99}$$

Its eigenstates are given by those Fock space vectors which have only one non-vanishing component. The N-particle state space can therefore be gained back from the Fock space as the eigenspace of the particle number operator with eigenvalue N. The normal form of the particle number operator reads

$$\hat{N} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\hat{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\,\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger\,\hat{a}_m\,, \tag{B.100}$$

where the subscript m may index *any* complete, orthonormal system in the one-particle state space.

Similarly, we can also express the second quantization of the *free* (one-particle) Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ in terms of the field operators, whereby we retrieve the formula (2.17) from the main text. Furthermore, in terms of a complete orthonormal system of energy eigenstates of the one-partical Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_0\,\varphi_m = \varepsilon_{0m}\,\varphi_m\,, \tag{B.101}$$

the normal form of the second-quantized Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{H}_0) = \sum_m \varepsilon_{0m}\,\hat{a}_m^\dagger\,\hat{a}_m \tag{B.102}$$

with $\hat{a}_m = \hat{a}(\varphi_m)$ and $\hat{a}_m^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi_m)$, hence it is given by a sum of harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians. This follows directly from Eq. (B.93). By contrast, the second-quantized Coulomb potential (Eq. (2.18) in the main text) is not the second quantization of any one-particle operator. Instead, it vanishes identically on the one-particle state space.

Time-dependent field operators.—We now finally come to the time-dependent field operators $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$. In principle, the time dependence of any operator is defined (in the Heisenberg picture) by

$$\hat{A}(t) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}/\hbar}\,\hat{A}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}/\hbar}\,. \tag{B.103}$$

In the case of a field operator whose time dependence is induced by the second-quantized one-particle Hamiltonian (B.102), this can be calculated explicitly: First, from the defining equations (B.36)–(B.37), one shows easily that for any one-particle unitary operator $\hat{U}$ we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})^{-1}\,\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi)\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}) = \hat{a}^\dagger(\hat{U}^{-1}\varphi)\,, \tag{B.104}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U})^{-1}\,\hat{a}(\varphi)\,\mathcal{Q}(\hat{U}) = a(\hat{U}^{-1}\varphi)\,. \tag{B.105}$$

In particular, by identifying $\hat{U} = \hat{U}_0(t) \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_0/\hbar}$ with the time-evolution operator, we find for the time-dependent creators and annihilators,

$$\hat{a}^\dagger(\varphi;t) = \hat{a}^\dagger(\hat{U}_0^{-1}(t)\,\varphi)\,, \tag{B.106}$$

$$\hat{a}(\varphi;t) = \hat{a}(\hat{U}_0^{-1}(t)\,\varphi)\,. \tag{B.107}$$

For an energy eigenstate φ_m, the (reverse) time evolution reads

$$\hat{U}_0^{-1}(t)\,\varphi_m = \hat{U}_0(-t)\,\varphi_m = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\varepsilon_{0m}/\hbar}\varphi_m = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_m t}\varphi_m\,, \tag{B.108}$$

where we have defined $\omega_m \equiv \varepsilon_{0m}/\hbar$. Using Eqs. (B.40)–(B.41), we obtain for the time dependence of the corresponding creators and annihilators,

$$\hat{a}_m^\dagger(t) = \hat{a}_m^\dagger\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_m t}\,, \tag{B.109}$$

$$\hat{a}_m(t) = \hat{a}_m\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t}\,. \tag{B.110}$$

With this, using the expressions (B.66)–(B.67), we find the explicit formulae for the time-dependent field operators,

$$\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_m \hat{a}_m^\dagger\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_m t}\,\varphi_m^*(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{B.111}$$

$$\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_m \hat{a}_m\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t}\,\varphi_m(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{B.112}$$

Their (anti)commutator can then be written as

$$\big[\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}',t')\big]_\mp = U_0(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') \tag{B.113}$$

in terms of the *propagator,*

$$U_0(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') := \big\langle \boldsymbol{x}\big|\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(t-t')\hat{H}_0/\hbar}\,\big|\boldsymbol{x}'\big\rangle\,. \tag{B.114}$$

Remarkably, Eq. (B.112) is analogous to the *mode expansion,*

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \sum_m a_m \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t} \varphi_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{B.115}$$

corresponding to the *classical* field equation

$$\big(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H}_0\big)\,\psi(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0 \,. \tag{B.116}$$

Therefore, Eq. (B.112) implies that the quantization of the Schrödinger field can be interpreted as the substitution of the mode expansion coefficients with operators obeying the creation and annihilation algebra. This is in fact completely analogous to the quantization of the classical displacement field (see Eqs. (2.115)–(2.117)). Correspondingly, it is straightforward to show that for any wave function

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_m a_m \, \varphi_m(\boldsymbol{x}) \,, \tag{B.117}$$

we have $\langle 0|\hat{a}_m|\psi\rangle = a_m$, and hence, by Eqs. (B.112),

$$\langle 0|\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x},t)|\psi\rangle = \sum_m a_m \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_m t} \varphi_m(\boldsymbol{x}) = \psi(\boldsymbol{x},t) \,. \tag{B.118}$$

With the usual identification

$$\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t)|0\rangle = |\boldsymbol{x},t\rangle \,, \tag{B.119}$$

the result (B.118) obviously generalizes $\langle \boldsymbol{x}|\psi\rangle = \psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ to

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x},t|\psi\rangle = \psi(\boldsymbol{x},t) \,. \tag{B.120}$$

Finally, we comment on the interpretation of the time-dependent field operator $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t)$. Using that

$$\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \hat{U}^{-1}(t)\,\hat{a}^\dagger(|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle)\,\hat{U}(t) = \hat{a}^\dagger(\hat{U}(-t)|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle) \,, \tag{B.121}$$

we see that the operator $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ creates the state vector

$$|\varphi\rangle := \hat{U}(-t)|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}/\hbar}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle \,, \tag{B.122}$$

with the corresponding wave function in position space

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}') = (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}/\hbar}\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{B.123}$$

After a time lapse t, this wave function will take the form of a Dirac delta concentrated at the point $\boldsymbol{x}$, i.e.,

$$(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}/\hbar}\varphi)(\boldsymbol{x}') = \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{B.124}$$

Sloppily, one therefore says that $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ creates a particle at the space-time point $(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$. This, however, does not mean that $|\boldsymbol{x}, t\rangle$ is localized in time. Instead, for all times $t' \neq t$, the wave function will in general smear out, and its concrete form then depends on the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ under consideration.

Spin in second quantization.—So far, we have never treated the spin explicitly, although it actually determines the statistics of the particles: half-integer spin leads to fermions, integer spin to bosons (see e.g. [150, Sec. 3.3.3]). On a non-relativistic level, the fact that, say, electrons carry spin one-half, implies that the classical field equation is not the "scalar" Schrödinger equation but the *Pauli equation*. In the free case, this reads (see e.g. [151, Chap. XX, § 29], [152, Sec. 1.4], or [153, App. C.2])

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\,\partial_t\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\nabla)\right)^2}{2m}\,\psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{B.125}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices. Correspondingly, the wave function $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv (\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \psi_2(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\mathrm{T}}$ now takes values in the two-dimensional complex space $\mathbb{C}^2$, and the one-particle state space is given by

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \;\cong\; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2) \;\cong\; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})\,, \tag{B.126}$$

with the scalar product

$$\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\varphi^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\left(\varphi_1^*(\boldsymbol{x})\psi_1(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varphi_2^*(\boldsymbol{x})\psi_2(\boldsymbol{x})\right). \tag{B.127}$$

Therefore, the formulary worked out so far automatically carries over to systems with spin if we implicitly understand by the orbitals φ_i elements of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$. When dealing with spin systems, however, it comes in handy to write a spin index explicitly, i.e.,

$$\hat{\psi}_s^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \hat{\psi}^\dagger(|\boldsymbol{x}, s\rangle)\,. \tag{B.128}$$

For $s \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, this field operator creates the respective states

$$|\boldsymbol{x}, \uparrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\boldsymbol{x}\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\boldsymbol{x}, \downarrow\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |\boldsymbol{x}\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.129}$$

The first-quantized spin observable,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{S}} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\,, \tag{B.130}$$

then reads in second quantization,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \sum_{s,\,s'} \hat{\psi}_s^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ss'}\, \hat{\psi}_{s'}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{B.131}$$

Correspondingly, we introduce the *spin density* by the formula

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{s,\,s'} \hat{\psi}_s^\dagger(\boldsymbol{x})\, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ss'}\, \hat{\psi}_{s'}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{B.132}$$

such that the total spin operator in second quantization is given by the spatial integral of the corresponding spin density operator. This expression is particularly important for the definition of a spin contribution to the electromagnetic current density (see e.g. [153, Sec. 3.2.5]).

C. Aspects of linear response theory

In the first part of this appendix (Sec. C.1), we assemble for the convenience of the reader a number of important results in linear response theory, which have already been used in the main text, namely: (i) the definition of the density response function, (ii) the connection between its *direct* and its *proper* version, (iii) their respective relation to the dielectric function, and (iv) the spectral representation of the density response function as derived from the Kubo formula. In the second part (Secs. C.2–C.3), we will investigate concretely the electromagnetic response generated by the displacement field of the charged nuclei.

C.1. Response function and Kubo formalism

Definition.—Typical experiments in solid state physics investigate the response of a material probe to an external (e.g. electromagnetic) perturbation [154, Chap. I, Sec. 1]. With any material probe, we can associate a microscopic, *internal* charge density,

$$\rho \equiv \rho_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{C.1}$$

which is the charge density produced by the degrees of freedom which constitute the material (typically: electrons and nuclei). In the presence of a time-dependent *external* perturbation, such as an external potential,

$$\varphi \equiv \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{C.2}$$

the internal charge density becomes a functional of the perturbation,

$$\rho_{\mathrm{int}} \equiv \rho_{\mathrm{int}}[\,\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}\,]\,. \tag{C.3}$$

The corresponding *functional derivative*,

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t') = \frac{\delta \rho_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{\delta \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}', t')}\,, \tag{C.4}$$

is called the *density response function*. With this, we can express the time evolution of the internal charge density to first order in the perturbation as

$$\rho_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \rho_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\chi(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t')\,\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}', t')\,, \tag{C.5}$$

where we have assumed the charge density in the absence of the external perturbation, $\rho_0 = \rho_{\mathrm{int}}[\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}} \equiv 0]$, to be time independent. As in the main text, c denotes the speed of light, such that $\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, c\,\mathrm{d}t = \mathrm{d}^4x$ coincides with the relativistic volume element. The difference,

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \rho_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) - \rho_0(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{C.6}$$

is called the *induced* charge density. This is the charge density produced in the system under the action of the external perturbation. To be concrete, in the case of the electron-nuclear many-body system with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$ given by Eq. (2.1), the internal charge density is given by

$$\rho_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0 |\, \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) + \hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{e}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\, | \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0 \rangle\,, \tag{C.7}$$

where $|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_0\rangle$ is the initial state of the many-body system under consideration (e.g. the ground state of $\hat{H}_0$), while the charge density operators evolve with the perturbed, time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \left(\hat{\rho}_\mathrm{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \varphi_\mathrm{ext}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,. \tag{C.8}$$

By contrast, the reference density $\rho_0(\boldsymbol{x})$ is simply given by

$$\rho_0(\boldsymbol{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0 | \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \hat{\rho}_\mathrm{e}(\boldsymbol{x}) | \boldsymbol{\Psi}_0 \rangle\,. \tag{C.9}$$

Together with the induced charge density, we introduce an induced potential

$$\varphi_\mathrm{ind}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\, v(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t')\, \rho_\mathrm{ind}(\boldsymbol{x}', t')\,, \tag{C.10}$$

where v denotes the instantaneous Coulomb interaction kernel as given by Eq. (3.3). In addition, we define a *total* potential by

$$\varphi_\mathrm{tot} = \varphi_\mathrm{ext} + \varphi_\mathrm{ind}\,. \tag{C.11}$$

With these definitions, we introduce the *proper density response function* as (cf. [120, Sec. 5.2])

$$\widetilde{\chi}(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t') = \frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}(\boldsymbol{x}', t')}\,. \tag{C.12}$$

By the functional chain rule,

$$\frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{ext}} = \frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}} \frac{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{ext}} = \frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}} + \frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}} \frac{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}} \frac{\delta \rho_\mathrm{ind}}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{ext}}\,, \tag{C.13}$$

we find its relation to the (ordinary or *direct*) density response function, which is given by Eq. (3.39) in the main text. Note that the products in the above relations refer to spatial and temporal integrations of integral kernels. With these results, one shows easily the standard relations

$$\varepsilon_\mathrm{r} = 1 - v\widetilde{\chi}\,, \tag{C.14}$$

$$\varepsilon_\mathrm{r}^{-1} = 1 + v\chi\,, \tag{C.15}$$

for the longitudinal dielectric function ε_r, which in turn is defined (by its inverse) as

$$\varepsilon_\mathrm{r}^{-1} \equiv \varepsilon_\mathrm{r,L}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}, t; \boldsymbol{x}', t') \equiv \frac{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{tot}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)}{\delta \varphi_\mathrm{ext}(\boldsymbol{x}', t')}\,. \tag{C.16}$$

Finally, we note that the description of the electromagnetic response by means of the density function alone is actually insufficient, because a time-dependent induced density is necessarily accompanied by an induced spatial current fulfilling the continuity equation,

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu_{\mathrm{ind}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0\,, \tag{C.17}$$

where $x \equiv x^\mu = (ct,\boldsymbol{x})$, $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$, and $j^\mu = (c\rho,\boldsymbol{j})$ is the relativistic four-current. Similarly, as a consequence of the *Maxwell equations*, time-dependent external *electric* fields are usually accompanied by external *magnetic* fields. To take into account these effects and to go beyond the description by means of the density response function alone, one has to introduce the *fundamental response tensor* defined by [120, 143, 155, 156]

$$\chi^\mu{}_\nu(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \frac{\delta j^\mu_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\delta A^\nu_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')}\,, \tag{C.18}$$

where $A^\nu(\boldsymbol{x},t) = (\varphi/c,\boldsymbol{A})$ is the electromagnetic four-potential. In particular, we then recover the density response function as the 00-component of this (4×4) tensor, or more precisely, the relation

$$\chi(x,x') = \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(x)}{\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(x')} = \frac{1}{c^2}\chi^0{}_0(x,x')\,. \tag{C.19}$$

As a matter of principle, it can be shown [120] that all linear electromagnetic response properties can be calculated analytically from the fundamental response tensor. Inter alia, this also leads to a new interpretation of electrodynamics in media, the Functional Approach, which has been expounded by the authors of this article in Refs. [120, 153, 157, 158]. In Sec. C.2, we will calculate the fundamental response tensor of the oscillating nuclei in terms of the elastic Green function.

Kubo formalism.—We now come to the problem of how the density response function can, at least in principle, be calculated once we are given a microscopic state of the matter degrees of freedom (e.g. an electron-nuclear ground state). This problem is solved by the *Kubo formalism*. In general, this works as follows (cf. [153, App. C.1] for an even more general framework): We consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \sum_j f_j(t)\,\hat{B}_j\,, \tag{C.20}$$

where $\hat{H}_0$ is the unperturbed Hamiltonian of the system under consideration (e.g. the fundamental Hamiltonian (2.1)), while the operators $\hat{B}_j$ represent the *external* perturbations and the functions $f_j(t)$ describe their respective time dependencies. The system is assumed to be initially in some reference state (say, again, the ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$), and the perturbation is assumed to set in at the time t_0, such that

$$f_j(t) = 0 \;\; \forall j \quad \text{for} \;\; t < t_0 \,. \tag{C.21}$$

The Kubo formalism considers the ensuing time dependence of the expectation values,

$$B_i(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | \, \hat{B}_i \, | \Psi(t) \rangle \,, \tag{C.22}$$

where $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is determined by the *initial value problem* defined by

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar \, \partial_t \, |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) \, |\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{C.23}$$

and

$$|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle \,. \tag{C.24}$$

The solution of this initial value problem can formally be written as

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0) \, |\Psi_0\rangle \equiv \left(\mathcal{T} \exp\!\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t} \mathrm{d}t' \, \hat{H}(t') \right) \right) |\Psi_0\rangle \,, \tag{C.25}$$

where the time-ordered exponential is a short-hand notation for the so-called *Dyson series* given by

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{\mathrm{I}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \right)^n \int_{t_0}^{t} \mathrm{d}t_1 \ldots \int_{t_0}^{t} \mathrm{d}t_n \, \mathcal{T}\big(\hat{H}(t_1) \ldots \hat{H}(t_n) \big) \,. \tag{C.26}$$

In particular, this implies that the time-dependent vector $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ as well as the expectation values $B_i(t)$ defined in Eq. (C.22) depend on the functions $f_j(t')$ of the external perturbations, and hence they become *functionals*,

$$B_i(t) \equiv B_i(t)[\, \ldots, f_j(t'), \ldots] \,. \tag{C.27}$$

By Eq. (C.26), however, $B_i(t)$ depends on $f_j(t')$ only for earlier times $t' < t$. The *Kubo formula* now expresses the corresponding functional derivatives of

$B_i(t)$ with respect to $f_j(t')$ as follows:

$$\chi_{ij}(t,t') = \left.\frac{\delta B_i(t)}{\delta f_j(t')}\right|_{f_k \equiv 0\ \forall k} \tag{C.28}$$

$$= -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Psi_0|\,[\hat{B}_i(t),\hat{B}_j(t')]\,|\Psi_0\rangle\,, \tag{C.29}$$

where Θ denotes the Heaviside step function, and where the time-dependencies of the operators $\hat{B}_i(t)$ are determined in the interaction picture, i.e., by the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0$:

$$\hat{B}_j(t) \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_0/\hbar}\,\hat{B}_j\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\hat{H}_0/\hbar}\,. \tag{C.30}$$

Within *linear response theory*, the perturbed expectation value (C.22) is then given by

$$B_i(t) = B_{i0} + \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\sum_j \chi_{ij}(t,t')\,f_j(t')\,, \tag{C.31}$$

where $B_{i0} \equiv B_i(t=t_0)$ is the unperturbed expectation value.

We now apply the Kubo formalism to the density response function. Here, the rôle of the index j is played by the spatial variable $\boldsymbol{x}$, and the perturbing operator is the density $\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})$ itself:

$$\sum_j f_j(t)\,\hat{B}_j \;\mapsto\; \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\;\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{C.32}$$

We thus find for the (retarded) density response function in the reference state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ the expression (see [153, Eq. (3.84)])

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Psi_0|\,[\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Psi_0\rangle\,. \tag{C.33}$$

This is the fundamental *Kubo formula for the density response function*. In this form, however, it is not always particularly useful. Rather, one uses its *spectral representation*, which is obtained from the representation of the identity operator

$$\hat{\mathrm{I}} = \sum_s |\Psi_s\rangle\langle\Psi_s|\,, \tag{C.34}$$

where the $|\Psi_s\rangle$ form an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of $\hat{H}_0$ with corresponding energies E_s, i.e.,

$$\hat{H}_0|\Psi_s\rangle = E_s|\Psi_s\rangle\,. \tag{C.35}$$

By inserting the identity operator (C.34) between the density operators in the Kubo formula (C.33), one obtains after a short calculation

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}',\tau) = \tag{C.36}$$
$$-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\Theta(\tau)\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\Big(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\tau(E_s-E_0)/\hbar}\,\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_s\rangle\,\langle\Psi_s|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\Psi_0\rangle$$
$$-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\tau(E_s-E_0)/\hbar}\,\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\Psi_s\rangle\,\langle\Psi_s|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_0\rangle\Big)\,,$$

where $\tau = t - t'$. In the frequency domain, this is equivalent to

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega) = \tag{C.37}$$
$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_s\rangle\,\langle\Psi_s|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\Psi_0\rangle}{\hbar\omega-(E_s-E_0)+\mathrm{i}\eta}-\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\Psi_s\rangle\,\langle\Psi_s|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_0\rangle}{\hbar\omega+(E_s-E_0)+\mathrm{i}\eta}\right),$$

where η denotes a positive infinitesimal. We note that in the Kubo formula (C.33), the density operators can be replaced without any effect by density fluctuation operators,

$$\delta\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \langle\Psi_0|\,\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_0\rangle\,, \tag{C.38}$$

because the contribution from the reference densities would vanish in the commutator. Correspondingly, the summation in the spectral representation (C.37) can be restricted to $s > 0$. In particular, in the limit $\omega \to 0$ we get for the *instantaneous* response function

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}') = 2\,\mathfrak{Re}\left(\sum_{s>0}\frac{\langle\Psi_0|\,\delta\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Psi_s\rangle\langle\Psi_s|\,\delta\hat\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\,|\Psi_0\rangle}{E_0-E_s}\right). \tag{C.39}$$

This expression is reminiscent of second-order perturbation theory, and indeed a connection to it is established in Sec. 4.1.4.

C.2. Displacement field and electromagnetic response

We now consider concretely the electromagnetic response generated by the displacement field of the charged nuclei. We will first define the electromagnetic four-current corresponding to an oscillating elastic solid, and then consider the ensuing equation of motion in an external four-potential. This will allow for the calculation of the entire fundamental electromagnetic

response tensor [143, 155, 159, 160], which is a (4×4) integral kernel containing the complete information about all linear electromagnetic response functions [120]. Finally, this will lead to analytical formulae expressing the electromagnetic response of the oscillating solid in terms of the elastic Green function.

In the following, we consider the displacement field in the combined thermodynamic and continuum limit as explained in Sec. 4.2.1. With the continuous displacement field we associate the induced electromagnetic four-current given by

$$\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,, \tag{C.40}$$

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}}\, \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\,. \tag{C.41}$$

While the first equation has already been derived (see Eq. (4.157)) from the classical expression (4.5) for the density of point particles, the second equation can be derived analogously from the corresponding expression for the classical current of point particles,

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} (\partial_t \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}})(t)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(t)) \tag{C.42}$$

$$= Ze \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} (\partial_t \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}, t)\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}0}) + \mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{u}^2)\,, \tag{C.43}$$

where in the last step we have performed a first-order expansion in the displacement field analogous to Eq. (4.6). In the thermodynamic and continnum limit, this expression leads precisely to Eq. (C.41). Per constructionem, the above four-current (C.40)–(C.41) fulfills the continuity equation:

$$\partial_t\, \rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = 0\,. \tag{C.44}$$

With this definition of the electromagnetic four-current, we couple the elastic solid to an external four-potential by means of the standard interaction Lagrangean,

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}(t) = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \left(-\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\, \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) + \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)\right). \tag{C.45}$$

Inserting the expressions (C.40)–(C.41) into this equation and performing a

partial integration in the first term yields

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}(t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \left(-\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right) \tag{C.46}$$

$$\equiv L_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}, t]\,, \tag{C.47}$$

where in the last step we have interpreted the Lagrangean as a functional in analogy to classical point-particle mechanics (see e.g. [140, Sec. 1.4]). We now use the fact that one can add a total time derivative to the Lagrangean without changing the equations of motion (see [140, Eq. (1.57′)]). Thus, we may replace

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}, t] \mapsto L_{\mathrm{int}}[\boldsymbol{u}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}, t] + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F[\boldsymbol{u}, t]\,, \tag{C.48}$$

where the total time derivative is defined as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F[\boldsymbol{u}, t] = \frac{\partial F[\boldsymbol{u}, t]}{\partial t} + \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \frac{\delta F[\boldsymbol{u}, t]}{\delta \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}\,. \tag{C.49}$$

The proof that this replacement does not change the equations of motion is precisely analogous to the corresponding proof in point-particle mechanics. In our case, we choose the functional

$$F[\boldsymbol{u}, t] = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{C.50}$$

such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} F[\boldsymbol{u}, t] = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \left(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right). \tag{C.51}$$

Adding this term to the Lagrangean (C.46) yields the equivalent Lagrangean

$$L_{\mathrm{int}}(t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot (-\nabla \varphi_{\mathrm{ext}} - \partial_t \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{ext}})(\boldsymbol{x},t) \tag{C.52}$$

$$= \rho_{\mathrm{n0}} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{C.53}$$

This interaction Lagrangean is of the standard form (4.120) provided that we identify the force with

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}}\, \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{C.54}$$

In particular, the Euler–Lagrange equations then lead to the inhomogeneous equation of motion,

$$\rho_0\,\partial_t^2\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)+\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)=\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}\,\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{C.55}$$

This shows that within our approximation (C.40)–(C.41) of the four-current, the magnetic field does not couple explicitly to the displacement field (although it does so implicitly, because a transverse electric field is in general also accompanied by a magnetic field).

By combining the electromagnetic four-current (C.40)–(C.41) with the formalism of the Functional Approach to electrodynamics of media [120, 153, 157, 158], we are now in a position to express the nuclear electromagnetic response of the solid in terms of the elastic Green function. In fact, from the very definition of the elastic Green function (see Eq. (4.124)) we obtain its characterization as the functional derivative,

$$D_{k\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')=\frac{\delta u_k(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\delta f_\ell(\boldsymbol{x}',t')}\,, \tag{C.56}$$

of the displacement field with respect to the externally applied force. Interpreting this external force to be electromagnetic in its origin, such that $\boldsymbol{f}$ can be identified with the external electric field as in Eq. (C.54), the fundamental electromagnetic response tensor (C.18) can be obtained by means of the functional chain rule,

$$\chi^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x,x')=\sum_{k,\ell}\int \mathrm{d}^4y\int \mathrm{d}^4y'\,\frac{\delta j^{\mu}_{\mathrm{ind}}(x)}{\delta u_k(y)}\,\frac{\delta u_k(y)}{\delta f_\ell(y')}\,\frac{\delta f_\ell(y')}{\delta A^{\nu}_{\mathrm{ext}}(x')}\,. \tag{C.57}$$

Now, from Eqs. (C.40)–(C.41) we obtain

$$\frac{\delta\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\delta u_k(\boldsymbol{y},s)}=-\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\,\delta(ct-cs)\,, \tag{C.58}$$

$$\frac{\delta j_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\delta u_k(\boldsymbol{y},s)}=\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}\,\delta_{ik}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\delta(ct-cs)\,. \tag{C.59}$$

Furthermore, the defining equation $\boldsymbol{E}=-\nabla\varphi-\partial_t\boldsymbol{A}$ implies that

$$\frac{\delta E_\ell(\boldsymbol{y}',s')}{\delta\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}',t')}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y'_\ell}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{y}'-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\delta(cs'-ct')\,, \tag{C.60}$$

$$\frac{\delta E_\ell(\boldsymbol{y}',s')}{\delta A_j(\boldsymbol{x}',t')}=-\delta_{\ell j}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{y}'-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\frac{\partial}{\partial s'}\,\delta(cs'-ct')\,. \tag{C.61}$$

By putting these results into Eq. (C.57) and performing partial integrations, we obtain the desired explicit expressions for the fundamental electromagnetic response tensor in terms of the elastic Green function:

$$\chi^0{}_0(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = -c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{k,\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k\,\partial x'^\ell}\, D_{k\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{C.62}$$

$$\chi^0{}_j(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = -c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k\,\partial x'^0}\, D_{kj}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{C.63}$$

$$\chi_{i0}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\,\partial x'^\ell}\, D_{i\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{C.64}$$

$$\chi_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0\,\partial x'^0}\, D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,. \tag{C.65}$$

In Fourier space and for a homogeneous system, these equations simplify to

$$\chi^0{}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{k,\ell} k_k\, D_{k\ell}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, k_\ell\,, \tag{C.66}$$

$$\chi^0{}_j(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{k} k_k\, D_{kj}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, \frac{\omega}{c}\,, \tag{C.67}$$

$$\chi_{i0}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \sum_{\ell} \frac{\omega}{c}\, D_{i\ell}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, k_\ell\,, \tag{C.68}$$

$$\chi_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = c^2\rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2\, \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\, D_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,. \tag{C.69}$$

Consequently, the fundamental response tensor can be written more compactly in matrix notation as

$$\chi^\mu{}_\nu(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2 \begin{pmatrix} -c\boldsymbol{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, c\boldsymbol{k} & \omega\, c\boldsymbol{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) \\ -\omega \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\, c\boldsymbol{k} & \omega^2 \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.70}$$

In fact, this fundamental response tensor is in accord with the most general form of a fundamental response tensor in the homogeneous limit (see [158, Eq. (2.29)]), provided that the current response tensor (which is the spatial part of the fundamental response tensor) is identified with

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\chi}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \rho_{\mathrm{n}0}^2\, \omega^2\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,. \tag{C.71}$$

In particular, we remark that by the concrete form (4.127) of the elastic Green function, the above equality implies that the zero-frequency limit of the current response tensor vanishes.

Given Eq. (C.71), we can now derive concrete expressions for all linear electromagnetic response functions. For example, the standard relation between the current response tensor and the conductivity tensor (cf. [120, Eq. (6.16)]),

$$\overleftrightarrow{\chi}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \mathrm{i}\omega\,\overleftrightarrow{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,, \tag{C.72}$$

implies that the nuclear conductivity can be expressed in terms of the elastic Green function as

$$\overleftrightarrow{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2\;\mathrm{i}\omega\,\overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,, \tag{C.73}$$

and hence also the zero-frequency limit of the conductivity vanishes. This is physically reasonable because an elastic solid cannot support a constant current. We stress, however, that this statement refers to the elastically bound nuclei only, not to a possible electronic current. Furthermore, concrete expressions for the dielectric tensor and the magnetic susceptibility will be derived in the *isotropic limit* in Sec. D.4. Finally, for the density response function we obtain the simple expression in terms of the elastic Green function,

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) \equiv \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathrm{ind}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)}{\delta\varphi_{\mathrm{ext}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)} = \frac{1}{c^2}\,\chi^0{}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2\,\boldsymbol{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\overleftrightarrow{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\boldsymbol{k}\,. \tag{C.74}$$

This result is precisely the continuum analogon of Eq. (4.8). It is used in Sec. 4.2 for relating the Response Theory of effective interactions to the functional integral approach (see Eqs. (4.170)–(4.171)).

C.3. Kubo formula for nuclear electromagnetic response

In this last subsection, we discuss the consistency of the relation (C.71), which we have derived by purely classical considerations, with the quantum field theoretical Kubo formula for the fundamental response tensor given by (see e.g. [8, 21, 143, 161] or [153, Sec. 3.2.4 and App. C])

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = {}& \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\,\delta\hat{j}^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\delta\hat{j}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle \\ & - \frac{Ze}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\delta^{\mu}{}_{i}\,\delta_{i\nu}\,\delta(ct-ct')\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.75}$$

Here, the expectation values refer to the unperturbed state, e.g., the ground state $|\Phi_0\rangle$ of the nuclear subsystem. Similarly, the time evolution refers to the unperturbed nuclear Hamiltonian. The second term on the right hand side corresponds to the local response of the so-called diamagnetic current (see e.g. [21, Eq. (1.98c)]). Its prefactor involves the nuclear charge Ze and mass M. Further note that in the first term, we have replaced the current operators by current fluctuation operators defined by

$$\delta\hat{j}^\mu(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \hat{j}^\mu(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \langle\Phi_0|\,\hat{j}^\mu(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,, \tag{C.76}$$

which is analogous to the replacement (C.38). In particular, the 00-component of Eq. (C.75) reproduces the Kubo formula for the density response function, Eq. (C.33), while the spatial part reads

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\chi}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = {}& \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar c}\,\Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\delta\hat{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\,\delta\hat{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle \\ & - \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0\,c}\,\delta(t-t')\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{C.77}$$

where we have used the fact that the expectation value of the charge density in the unperturbed state simply yields the constant reference density, $\langle\Phi_0|\,\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})\,|\Phi_0\rangle \equiv \rho_{\mathrm{n0}}$. We also note that the contribution proportional to the four-dimensional Dirac delta ensures the constraint relations (see [143, 162] and [120, Sec. 5.1])

$$\partial_\mu \chi^\mu{}_\nu(x,x') = 0\,, \qquad \partial'^\nu \chi^\mu{}_\nu(x,x') = 0\,, \tag{C.78}$$

respectively implying charge conservation and gauge invariance.

We now compare Eq. (C.77) with the central result (C.71) of the preceding subsection. For this purpose, we first identify the fluctuation current operators (C.76) with the operators for the *induced* current. Hence, with Eqs. (C.40)–(C.41) we obtain the expressions

$$\delta\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}\,\nabla\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{C.79}$$

$$\delta\hat{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}}\,\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,. \tag{C.80}$$

We now show that Eq. (C.77) is equivalent to Eq. (C.71) under these identifications. More precisely, we show that in real space,

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\chi}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\,\partial t'}\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mathrm{ret}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t')\,, \tag{C.81}$$

where

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mathrm{ret}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle \tag{C.82}$$

is the quantum field theoretical expression for the *retarded* Green function. In fact, acting twice with the time derivative on this expression gives the following four contributions:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\,\partial_t\partial_{t'}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mathrm{ret}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \qquad & \qquad (\mathrm{C.83})\\
&\Theta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\partial_{t'}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle\\
&+\delta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\partial_{t'}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle\\
&-\delta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\partial_t\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle\\
&-(\partial_t\delta)(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the connection between the time-derivative of the displacement field and the electromagnetic current density operator, Eq. (C.80), we see directly that the first contribution reproduces the first term in Eq. (C.77). Then, the second and the third contribution can be evaluated using the equal-time commutator (4.130). The result yields two times the second contribution in Eq. (C.77). Finally, by applying the fourth term to a test function $\varphi(t')$, using partial integration and the fact that the displacement field commutes with itself at equal times, we find the distributional identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_t\delta)(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle \qquad (\mathrm{C.84})\\
&=\delta(t-t')\,\langle\Phi_0|\,[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t),\partial_{t'}\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}',t')]\,|\Phi_0\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the fourth term in Eq. (C.83) equals the second or third one up to a sign, and thus restores the correct prefactor of the second term in Eq. (C.77). This concludes our proof of the equivalence between Eq. (C.71) and Eq. (C.77).

D. Connection to elasticity theory

In this appendix, we take the previously obtained results to the isotropic limit. This limit is of both practical and conceptual importance for the description of the displacement field. Conceptually, it describes the simplest

dynamical matrix which still has some realistic features to it. We have therefore used this limit already in the main text (see Sec. 4.1.2). Futhermore, it allows for a particularly transparent connection to macroscopic elasticity theory. Practically, the isotropic limit is appropriate for most materials anyway because the microscopic anisotropy stemming from the crystal structure is mostly averaged out on a macroscopic scale. In fact, in the macroscopic *and* isotropic limit, the elastic properties can be completely described by only two material constants. On the other hand, in Sec. C.2 we have shown that the electromagnetic material properties can be expressed in terms of the elastic Green function. To put this into practice for the said macroscopic and isotropic limit, we will connect the microscopic description of the displacement field in terms of the dynamical matrix to the macroscopic description in terms of the elasticity tensor. This will then allow for the expression of the elastic Green function—and thus finally also of the nuclear contribution to the electromagnetic response—in terms of the elastic constants.

D.1. Dynamical matrix in the isotropic limit

Per definitionem, the dynamical matrix is isotropic if it preserves its form under arbitrary spatial rotations. As a matter of principle, under a rotation $R \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ the dynamical matrix in Fourier space transforms as

$$(\overleftrightarrow{K})'(\boldsymbol{k}') = \overleftrightarrow{R}\,\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overleftrightarrow{R}^{-1}\,, \tag{D.1}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{k}' = \overleftrightarrow{R}\,\boldsymbol{k}\,. \tag{D.2}$$

The dynamical matrix being of the same form in all coordinate systems rotated relatively to each other means that

$$(\overleftrightarrow{K})'(\boldsymbol{k}') = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}')\,, \tag{D.3}$$

i.e. explicitly,

$$\overleftrightarrow{R}\,\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overleftrightarrow{R}^{-1} = \overleftrightarrow{K}(\overleftrightarrow{R}\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{D.4}$$

From this condition one arrives at far reaching results about the general form of the dynamical matrix, which we formulate in the following lemma:

Lemma. In the isotropic limit, the dynamical matrix is of the general form

$$\overleftrightarrow{K}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.5}$$

where the longitudinal and transverse parts $K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ depend on the modulus $|\boldsymbol{k}|$ of the wavevector only.

Proof. We first consider a fixed wavevector $\boldsymbol{k}$ and restrict the condition (D.4) to the subgroup of rotations around the axis parallel to $\boldsymbol{k}$. For such rotations, we have

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\,\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{k}\,, \tag{D.6}$$

and hence the condition (D.4) yields

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R} = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{D.7}$$

This means, the matrix $K(\boldsymbol{k})$ commutes with all matrices representing rotations around the axis $\boldsymbol{k}$. The latter form a subgroup $G_{\boldsymbol{k}} \subset \mathrm{SO}(3)$ of the three-dimensional rotation group. The natural representation of $G_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ on the three-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R}^3$ has two irreducible subspaces, i.e., subspaces being invariant under all rotations around $\boldsymbol{k}$. These are spanned by all vectors being parallel and respectively orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{k}$, hence they are given by the longitudinal and transverse subspaces $P_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\mathbb{R}^3$ and $P_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\mathbb{R}^3$. Now, let us define the following matrices:

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.8}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.9}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.10}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.11}$$

and for $R \in G_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ also

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.12}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) := \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{D.13}$$

Using that R itself commutes with the projection operators $P_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $P_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})$,

one can easily show that the condition (D.7) implies

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.14}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.15}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.16}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{D.17}$$

The first two Eqs. (D.14) and (D.15) mean that the projections of the matrix $K(\boldsymbol{k})$ on the longitudinal or transverse subspaces commute with all matrices of the corresponding irreducible representations of $G_{\boldsymbol{k}}$. By Schur's lemma (see e.g. [151, Appendix D. § 8]), it follows that these projections of $K(\boldsymbol{k})$ are multiples of the identity matrices on the respective subspaces, i.e.,

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.18}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.19}$$

with $\boldsymbol{k}$-dependent scalars $K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})$. On the other hand, the last two Eqs. (D.16)–(D.17) mean that the matrices $K_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ and $K_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k})$ define homomorphic mappings between the two irreducible representations. Since these two irreducible representations are inequivalent (as the corresponding subspaces have different dimensions one and two, respectively), Schur's lemma also implies that

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = 0\,. \tag{D.20}$$

Since any matrix $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k})$ can be decomposed as

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{LT}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{\mathrm{TL}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.21}$$

we thus obtain the formula (D.5). Finally, using this form of the matrix $K(\boldsymbol{k})$, we can evaluate the condition (D.4) for arbitrary matrices $R \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$. With the help of the identities

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}^{-1} = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.22}$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}^{-1} = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.23}$$

it follows that

$$K_{\mathrm{L}}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.24}$$

$$K_{\mathrm{T}}(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{R}\boldsymbol{k}) = K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.25}$$

hence these functions depend on the modulus $|\boldsymbol{k}|$ only. □

D.2. Elasticity tensor and macroscopic limit

In this subsection, we draw the connection between the microscopic description of the displacement field in terms of the dynamical matrix and the macroscopic approach used in classical *elasticity theory* (see [118, 141, 163], or [107, Sec. 1.4], [142, Chap. 1] and [164, Chap. 3] for short introductions).

In fact, macroscopic elasticity theory assumes the potential energy to be given up to second order in the displacement field by (see e.g. [107, Eq. (1.180)]; compare this to the microscopic, non-local expression (4.116) in terms of the dynamical matrix),

$$V[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, u_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,\lambda_{ijk\ell}\,u_{k\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{D.26}$$

where $\lambda_{ijk\ell}$ is the *elasticity tensor*, while

$$u_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_j(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial x_j}\right) \tag{D.27}$$

are the so-called *strains*. Here and in the following, we sum over all doubly appearing indices. The general form (D.26) of the potential energy implies that the elasticity tensor can be chosen to have the symmetries

$$\lambda_{ijk\ell} = \lambda_{jik\ell} = \lambda_{ij\ell k} = \lambda_{k\ell ij}\,. \tag{D.28}$$

Further introducing the so-called *stresses* by

$$\sigma_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t) := \frac{\delta V[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta u_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t)} = \lambda_{ijk\ell}\,u_{k\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,, \tag{D.29}$$

we find the equation of motion in the following form [118, Eq. (23.1)]

$$\rho_0\,\frac{\partial^2 u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\delta V[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial x_j}\,. \tag{D.30}$$

Here, we have used the functional chain rule

$$\frac{\delta V[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} = \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \, \frac{\delta V[\boldsymbol{u}]}{\delta u_{jk}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)} \frac{\delta u_{jk}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} \tag{D.31}$$

and the identity

$$\frac{\delta u_{jk}(\boldsymbol{x}',t)}{\delta u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{ij}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \delta_{ik}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,, \tag{D.32}$$

which follows from the definition (D.27). By substituting back the definition of the stress tensor in Eq. (D.30), we further obtain

$$\rho_0\,\frac{\partial^2 u_i(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial t^2} - \lambda_{ijk\ell}\,\frac{\partial^2 u_\ell(\boldsymbol{x},t)}{\partial x_j\,\partial x_k} = 0\,. \tag{D.33}$$

At face value, this macroscopic equation of motion differs grossly from the microscopic theory in terms of the dynamical matrix (see Eq. (4.118)). On closer inspection, however, we see that the *macroscopic theory is a special case of its microscopic counterpart* (cf. [111, pp. 443f.]). In fact, the former can be reproduced from the latter by choosing the dynamical matrix in real space to be of the form

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}') = -\lambda_{ik\ell j}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k\,\partial x_\ell}\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,, \tag{D.34}$$

which in Fourier space is equivalent to

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \lambda_{ik\ell j}\,k_k\,k_\ell\,. \tag{D.35}$$

This relation can be inverted as

$$\lambda_{ijk\ell} = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\partial^2 K_{i\ell}(\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial k_j\,\partial k_k} + \frac{\partial^2 K_{j\ell}(\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial k_i\,\partial k_k} + \frac{\partial^2 K_{ik}(\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial k_j\,\partial k_\ell} + \frac{\partial^2 K_{jk}(\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial k_i\,\partial k_\ell}\right)\Bigg|_{\boldsymbol{k}=0}, \tag{D.36}$$

or equivalently (see [111, Eq. (22.79)]),

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda_{ijk\ell} = \\ &-\frac{1}{8}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{r}\left(r_j\,K_{i\ell}(\boldsymbol{r})\,r_k + r_i\,K_{j\ell}(\boldsymbol{r})\,r_k + r_j\,K_{ik}(\boldsymbol{r})\,r_\ell + r_i\,K_{jk}(\boldsymbol{r})\,r_\ell\right),\end{aligned} \tag{D.37}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'$. In particular, if $K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k})$ is an arbitrary dynamical matrix of a homogeneous system (not necessarily of the form (D.35)), one can use

Eq. (D.36) as a definition of the associated elasticity tensor. The symmetry (2.71) of the dynamical matrix then guarantees that the symmetries (D.28) of the elasticity tensor are fulfilled.

D.3. Macroscopic and isotropic limit

Using the results from the previous subsection, we now further express the dynamical matrix in terms of the elastic constants of an isotropic system: In the isotropic limit, the elasticity tensor is given by the standard formula (see e.g. [142, Eq. (1.23)]),

$$\lambda_{ijk\ell} = \mu(\delta_{ik}\delta_{j\ell} + \delta_{i\ell}\delta_{jk}) + \lambda\,\delta_{ij}\delta_{k\ell}\,. \tag{D.38}$$

with the *Lamé coefficient* λ and the *shear modulus* μ. They are related to the *Poisson ratio* ν, to *Young's modulus* E and to the *bulk modulus* B (also *modulus of compression*) via [142, Table 1.3]

$$\nu = \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda+\mu)}\,, \tag{D.39}$$

$$E = 2\mu(1+\nu)\,, \tag{D.40}$$

$$B = \lambda + \frac{2\mu}{3}\,. \tag{D.41}$$

One verifies directly that the isotropic elasticity tensor (D.38) corresponds via Eq. (D.35) to the dynamical matrix in Fourier space

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = (\lambda+\mu)\,k_i k_j + \mu\,\delta_{ij}\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2\,, \tag{D.42}$$

or in real space

$$K_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}') = -(\lambda+\mu)(\partial_i\partial_j\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}') - \mu\,\delta_{ij}\,(\Delta\delta^3)(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{D.43}$$

Comparing this with the general form (D.5) in the isotropic limit, we find for the longitudinal and transverse parts of the dynamical matrix,

$$K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = (\lambda+2\mu)\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2\,, \tag{D.44}$$

$$K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \mu|\boldsymbol{k}|^2\,. \tag{D.45}$$

If we further compare these equations with the continuum version (see Table 1) of Eqs. (4.33)–(4.34), i.e.,

$$K_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \rho_0\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}}^2\,, \tag{D.46}$$

$$K_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \rho_0\, \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}}^2\,, \tag{D.47}$$

we can read off the longitudinal and transverse dispersion relations as

$$\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{L}} = v_{\mathrm{L}}\, |\boldsymbol{k}|\,, \tag{D.48}$$

$$\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}\mathrm{T}} = v_{\mathrm{T}}\, |\boldsymbol{k}|\,, \tag{D.49}$$

where the longitudinal and transverse *speeds of sound* are given in terms of the elastic constants by

$$v_{\mathrm{L}}^2 = (\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho_0\,, \tag{D.50}$$

$$v_{\mathrm{T}}^2 = \mu/\rho_0\,. \tag{D.51}$$

Furthermore, one can show that the elastic potential energy (D.26) takes the particularly simple form

$$V[\boldsymbol{u}] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\, \left((\lambda + 2\mu)\, |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|^2 + \mu\, |\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|^2 \right), \tag{D.52}$$

and the equation of motion (D.33) reverts to

$$\rho_0\, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\, \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) - (\lambda + \mu)\, \nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}, t) - \mu\, \Delta \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = 0\,. \tag{D.53}$$

Using the expression of the Laplace operator in terms of the divergence and the rotation,

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u})(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \Delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \Delta \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{D.54}$$

we can separate the longitudinal and transverse parts of the displacement field, for which we then obtain the respective wave equations (cf. [107, Eqs. (1.193)–(1.194)]),

$$\left(\frac{1}{v_{\mathrm{L}}^2}\, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = 0\,, \tag{D.55}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{v_{\mathrm{T}}^2}\, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = 0\,. \tag{D.56}$$

These equations can also be derived directly from the decomposition (D.46)–(D.47) of the dynamical matrix. Thus, in the macroscopic and isotropic limit, the longitudinal and transverse oscillations of the elastic solid decouple completely. Finally, in this limit the calculation of the elastic Green function becomes particularly simple. Its defining equation then reads

$$\left(\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - (\lambda+\mu)\nabla\nabla^{\mathrm{T}} - \mu\Delta\right) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}\,\delta^4(x-x')\,. \tag{D.57}$$

Using the continuum version of Eq. (4.36) and substituting Eqs. (D.48)–(D.49), we obtain the explicit expression

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{1}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{L}}^2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \frac{1}{\rho_0}\frac{1}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{T}}^2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k})\,. \tag{D.58}$$

This is precisely the frequency-dependent generalization of the result obtained by H. Kleinert [142, Eq. (1.77)]. Furthermore, this shows that the "elastic Green function" is indeed a Green function in the sense of linear elasticity theory.

D.4. Electromagnetic response in terms of elastic constants

We finally come back to the electromagnetic response as produced by the nuclear displacement field. In the previous subsections we have shown that the macroscopic equation of motion (D.33) in terms of the elasticity tensor, or Eq. (D.53) in terms of the Lamé coefficient and the shear modulus, are only special cases of the more general microscopic equation of motion (4.118) in terms of the dynamical matrix. Consequently, the Green function of the macroscopic equation of motion can be interpreted as an approximation for the elastic Green function defined in Eq. (4.122). On the other hand, we have shown in Sec. C.2 that all linear electromagnetic response properties can be expressed in terms of this elastic Green function. Using for the latter the expression (D.58) and Eqs. (D.50)–(D.51), thus describing the displacement field in the macroscopic and isotropic limit, we see that all response properties can be expressed in terms of the elastic constants. In this subsection, we shortly discuss the corresponding explicit formulae.

Starting with the connection between the current response tensor and the elastic Green function, Eq. (C.71), we find with the explicit expression of the latter in the macroscopic and isotropic limit, Eq. (D.58), the following

formulae for the longitudinal and transverse current response functions:

$$\chi_{\mathrm{L}}(\omega,\boldsymbol{k}) = -\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0}\,\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{L}}^2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,, \tag{D.59}$$

$$\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(\omega,\boldsymbol{k}) = -\frac{\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0}\,\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{T}}^2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,. \tag{D.60}$$

From these equations we obtain directly

$$\frac{v_{\mathrm{L}}^2}{v_{\mathrm{T}}^2} = \lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(\omega,\boldsymbol{k})}{\chi_{\mathrm{L}}(\omega,\boldsymbol{k})}\,. \tag{D.61}$$

This equality, which replaces the Lyddane-Sachs-Teller relation (see [111, Eq. (27.67)] or [112, Chap. 10, Eq. (62)]), allows one to retrieve the longitudinal and transverse speeds of sound from the respective response functions.

Next, for the generalized (wavevector- and frequency-dependent) magnetic susceptibility, we use the response relation [120, Eq. (7.38)] to obtain

$$\chi_{\mathrm{m}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \mu_0\,\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2} = -\frac{\mu_0\,\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0}\,\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{T}}^2\,|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,. \tag{D.62}$$

In particular, this yields zero in the instantaneous limit $\omega \to 0$, showing that the elastic solid is not susceptible to static magnetic fields. Furthermore, from the standard relation between the density response function χ and the longitudinal current response function χ_{L} (see [120, Eq. (7.11)] or [8, Eq. (3.175)]), we obtain

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = -\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}{\omega^2}\,\chi_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0}\,\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{L}}^2|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,. \tag{D.63}$$

Alternatively, this relation can be derived directly from Eqs. (C.74) and (D.58). Finally, the dielectric tensor can be expressed in the isotropic limit as [158, Eq. (2.79)]

$$(\overleftrightarrow{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{r}})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \overleftrightarrow{1} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0\omega^2}\,\chi_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\overleftrightarrow{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \mathbb{D}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\chi_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\,\overleftrightarrow{P}(\boldsymbol{k})\,, \tag{D.64}$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0(-\omega^2 + c^2|\boldsymbol{k}|^2)} \tag{D.65}$$

is the Green function of the d'Alembert operator [120, Eq. (3.9)]. In particular, for the longitudinal dielectric function we thus obtain the concise result

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r,L}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = 1 + \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{n0}}^2}{\rho_0\,\varepsilon_0}\,\frac{1}{\omega^2 - v_{\mathrm{L}}^2|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\,, \tag{D.66}$$

which coincides precisely with Eq. (4.40) in the main text. The above formulae express the electromagnetic material properties in terms of the elastic properties of the oscillating nuclear lattice. Correspondingly, calculating with the above result for the nuclear dielectric function, Eq. (D.66), the total effective electron-electron interaction via the fundamental ansatz (3.42), one recovers precisely the result (4.39), provided one re-expresses the "microscopic" parameters M and e by their "macroscopic" counterparts ρ_0 and ρ_{n0}.

In concluding this appendix, we stress again that none of the above formulae actually allows for a straightforward deduction of the real electromagnetic material response from the elastic constants only. The reason for this is that our formulae take into account only the contributions from the oscillating nuclei, whereas the real electromagnetic response is more often than not dominated by the electronic contributions. Furthermore, the whole formalism hinges on the association of an electromagnetic four-current with the displacement field, which applies only in case that the nuclei are at least partially ionized.

E. Analogy to photon-mediated interactions

In this appendix, we discuss in how far the effective phonon-mediated interaction treated in this article is analogous to the so-called photon-mediated interaction used in (quantum) electrodynamics. Beginning with a short review of electrodynamics as a classical field theory (Sec. E.1), we will perform the transition to the corresponding quantum field theory by taking recourse to the *functional integral formalism* [113, 138, 165] to quantum electrodynamics [144, 150, 166]. Thereby, we will point out the precise analogy between the continuous displacement field (as treated in Sec. 4.2.2) and the electromagnetic four-potential. In particular, in Sec. E.2 we will rederive the photon-mediated interaction within the functional integral approach, and subsequently show that the ensuing standard result can also be obtained classically by a straightforward elimination procedure, which is completely analogous to the derivation of phonon-mediated interactions as proposed in

this article. We will then shortly explain the problems of the effective electronic theory (Sec. E.3) and, moreover, show that it coincides with the famous Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics if applied to a classical four-current generated by point-particles (Sec. E.4). Finally, we will prove that the instantaneous limit of the effective photon-mediated electron-electron interaction reproduces the well-known Darwin Lagrangean, which can thus also be interpreted as "static Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics" (Sec. E.5). A similar result has been derived apparently for the first time by T. C. Scott and R. A. Moore [167] using an expansion of the Feynman–Wheeler action in powers of the inverse speed of light.

E.1. Short review of classical electrodynamics

We begin by reviewing some well-known concepts of electrodynamics, using the same conventions as in Ref. [120]. In particular, we choose the Minkowski metric

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)\,, \tag{E.1}$$

which is particularly useful for the comparison with non-relativistic results. The classical *Lagrangean density* $\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(x)$ of electrodynamics has the general structure

$$\mathcal{L}[A, \psi] = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{em0}}[A] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}[A, \psi] + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e0}}[\psi]\,, \tag{E.2}$$

meaning that it is composed of a free part for the electromagnetic fields, a free part for the "matter" degrees of freedom and an interaction term. More concretely, the free electromagnetic part reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{em0}}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu}(x)\, F_{\mu\nu}(x)\,, \tag{E.3}$$

and the interaction part reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}(x) = j^{\mu}(x)\, A_{\mu}(x)\,. \tag{E.4}$$

Here, we have defined the electromagnetic field-strength tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}(x) - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}(x) \tag{E.5}$$

in terms of the four-potential $A^{\mu} = (\varphi/c, \boldsymbol{A})$, while $j^{\mu} = (c\rho, \boldsymbol{j})$ denotes the four-current density. The term $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e0}}[\psi]$ in Eq. (E.2) generally refers to the

charged matter. For concreteness, we identify it with the Lagrangean density for the Dirac field,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e}0}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - mc\right)\psi(x)\,, \tag{E.6}$$

in which case the electromagnetic four-current can be expressed in terms of the electronic field as

$$j^{\mu}(x) = -e\,\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)\,. \tag{E.7}$$

Here, the gamma matrices γ^{μ} are defined such that they satisfy the anticommutation relations (cf. our metric convention (E.1)),

$$\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right]_{+} = -2\,\eta^{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{E.8}$$

and $\bar{\psi}(x) = \psi^{\dagger}(x)\gamma^{0}$ is the conjugate spinor field (see e.g. [144, 150, 168]). The classical action of the coupled electromagnetic and electronic system is given by the integral

$$S[A,\psi] = \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\;\mathcal{L}[A,\psi] = S_{\mathrm{em}0}[A] + S_{\mathrm{int}}[A,\psi] + S_{\mathrm{e}0}[\psi]\,. \tag{E.9}$$

The Euler–Lagrange equations (cf. Sec. 4.2.1) for the electromagnetic field,

$$c\,\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}A^{\mu}(x)} \equiv \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial A^{\mu}(x)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial(\partial_{\nu}A^{\mu}(x))} = 0\,, \tag{E.10}$$

then lead to the classical equation of motion,

$$(\eta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\Box + \partial^{\mu}\partial_{\nu})\,A^{\nu}(x) = \mu_0\,j^{\mu}(x)\,, \tag{E.11}$$

with the d'Alembert operator

$$\Box = -\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta\,. \tag{E.12}$$

Equation (E.11) has a particular solution in terms of the electromagnetic Green function,

$$A^{\mu}(x) = \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\,(D_0)^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x,x')\,j^{\nu}(x') + (\partial^{\mu}f)(x)\,, \tag{E.13}$$

where $\partial^\mu f$ is a pure gauge which can be chosen arbitrarily. The tensorial Green function D_0 (see [120, Secs. 3.3–3.4]) can therefore be characterized as the functional derivative

$$(D_0)^\mu{}_\nu(x,x') = \frac{\delta A^\mu(x)}{\delta j^\nu(x')}\,, \tag{E.14}$$

and hence corresponds to the response function of the four-potential. Finally, the Euler–Lagrange equation for the Dirac field,

$$c\,\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}\bar\psi(x)} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial\bar\psi(x)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(x)}{\partial(\partial_\mu\bar\psi(x))} = 0\,, \tag{E.15}$$

reproduces the Dirac equation,

$$\big(\gamma^\mu(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_\mu - eA_\mu(x)) - mc\big)\,\psi(x) = 0\,, \tag{E.16}$$

in the presence of the electromagnetic four-potential.

E.2. Effective interaction in the functional integral formalism

In the main text, we have proposed a simple method for the derivation of effective interactions, which consists in an elimination procedure for the degrees of freedom whose influence is to be described by an effective interaction. This elimination procedure is based on linear response theory (see Sec. 3.1). Furthermore, we have shown in Sec. 4.2 that this is consistent with the functional integral approach, where the notion of effective interactions is well established. On the other hand, in quantum electrodynamics the idea of a photon-mediated electron-electron interaction is also well known. The main goal of this subsection is therefore to show that these different notions of effective phonon- and photon-mediated interactions are in fact completely analogous.

Electromagnetic Green function in the functional integral formalism.—First, we note that—apart from the problems associated with the gauge-freedom—the electromagnetic Green function has an analogous representation in the functional integral (or "path integral") formalism as the elastic Green function (see Sec. 4.2.2). In fact, the (time-ordered) Green function D_0 for the electromagnetic four-potential in the, say, Lorenz gauge is defined in the quantum field theoretical setting as (cf. [169, Chap. 5])

$$-\mathrm{i}\hbar c\,(D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x,x') = \langle\mathcal{T}\hat A^\mu(x)\,\hat A^\nu(x')\rangle\,, \tag{E.17}$$

which is analogous to Eq. (4.1). Here, $\hat{A}_\mu(x)$ is the free quantized four-potential in the Lorenz gauge obeying the equation of motion (cf. Eq. (E.11))

$$\Box \hat{A}^\mu(x) = 0\,, \tag{E.18}$$

and the equal-time commutator

$$\left[\hat{A}^\mu(\boldsymbol{x}, t),\, \partial_t \hat{A}^\nu(\boldsymbol{x}', t)\right] = \mathrm{i}\hbar c^2 \mu_0\, \eta^{\mu\nu}\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\,. \tag{E.19}$$

The expectation value in Eq. (E.17) is usually taken with respect to the non-interacting ground state (i.e., the non-interacting *vacuum* of quantum electrodynamics). Analogously to Eq. (4.3), one shows that the Green function defined in Eq. (E.17) obeys the equation of motion (in the Lorenz gauge)

$$\Box (D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x, x') = \mu_0\, \eta^{\mu\nu}\, \delta^4(x - x')\,. \tag{E.20}$$

Generally, time-ordered electromagnetic Green functions can be represented from the functional integral with sources (see e.g. [170, 171]),

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}A\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{\mathrm{em}0}[A] + \frac{1}{\hbar c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\, A_\mu(x)\, J^\mu(x)\right), \tag{E.21}$$

as the second-order functional derivative

$$\frac{1}{\hbar c}\,(D_0)_{\mu\nu}(x, x') = \frac{1}{Z_0}\,\frac{\delta^2 Z[J]}{\delta J^\mu(x)\,\delta J^\nu(x')}\bigg|_{J\equiv 0}\,, \tag{E.22}$$

where $Z_0 = Z[J \equiv 0]$. This representation is analogous to the corresponding representation (4.136)–(4.137) of the elastic Green function. We remark, however, that the above electromagnetic path integral is actually ill-defined due to the gauge freedom. This is a standard problem in gauge theory, which is cured by the introduction of the Faddeev-Popov determinant (see e.g. [144, 150, 166, 172]). Here, we do not go into these problems because we use the path integral only as a heuristic tool, with the only relevant property being the standard result for Gaussian integrals (i.e., the equivalence of Eqs. (E.26) and (E.27) below). We also note that in the literature, the path integral is often introduced with an additional factor i (imaginary unit) in the exponent, which, however, is not necessary for our purposes.

To show Eq. (E.22), we proceed analogously as in Sec. 4.2.2 (see also [170, Chap. 12]. We first rewrite the action as

$$c\,S_{\mathrm{em}0} = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\; F^{\mu\nu}(x)\,F_{\mu\nu}(x) \tag{E.23}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\mu_0}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\; A_\mu(x)\,(\eta^{\mu\nu}\,\Box + \partial^\mu\partial^\nu)\,A_\nu(x) \tag{E.24}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\, A_\mu(x)\,(D_0^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(x,x')\,A_\nu(x')\,, \tag{E.25}$$

where in the second step we have performed partial integrations after using Eq. (E.5). We remark again that, strictly speaking, the differential operator in Eq. (E.24) is not invertible (cf. [172, p. 157]), and hence the precise definition of the tensorial Green function (see the discussion in [120, Sec. 3.3]) and the meaning of the corresponding Gaussian integral require some care. This is precisely the aforementioned problem in the definition of the electromagnetic functional integral (see also [173, Sec. 3.1] for a straightforward solution). In the context of this article, we may also identify the classical Green function D_0 in the above expression (E.25) with the gauge-fixed Green function resulting from the quantum field theoretical definition, Eq. (E.17), which in fact *is* invertible. (In this case, the spoiler term $\partial^\mu\partial_\nu A^\nu$ in the free action can be dropped on grounds of the Lorenz gauge.) Ignoring—for the sake of argument—these technical difficulties, the functional integral can be written as

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}A\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar c}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\,A_\mu\,(D_0^{-1})^{\mu\nu}A_\nu + A_\mu\,J^\mu\right)\right). \tag{E.26}$$

Formally, this is a Gaussian functional integral, which yields explicitly (cf. the calculation for the displacement field in Eqs. (4.143)–(4.147))

$$Z[J] = Z_0\,\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar c}\,J_\mu\,D_0^{\mu\nu}\,J_\nu\right). \tag{E.27}$$

Here, we have used again the symmetry of the Green function,

$$(D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x,x') = (D_0)^{\nu\mu}(x',x)\,, \tag{E.28}$$

which follows from the symmetry of the corresponding differential operator in Eq. (E.11) provided one chooses a time-ordered Green function. Finally,

applying the functional derivatives to Eq. (E.27) and evaluating the result at vanishing sources yields the desired relation (E.22).

Photon-mediated interaction in the functional integral formalism.—Within the functional integral approach, the *effective action* $S_{\text{eff}}[\psi]$ for the electronic subsystem is defined by *integrating out* the electromagnetic degrees of freedom (cf. [174, Eq. (9.80)]), i.e. through

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_{\text{eff}}[\psi]\right) = \frac{1}{Z_0}\int \mathcal{D}A\, \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S[A,\psi]\right). \tag{E.29}$$

This definition is again analogous to the corresponding definition (4.164) of the phonon-mediated interaction. Writing the total action formally as

$$S[A,\psi] = -\frac{1}{2c}\,A_\mu (D_0^{-1})^{\mu\nu} A_\nu + \frac{1}{c}\,A_\mu j^\mu + S_{\text{e0}}[\psi]\,, \tag{E.30}$$

and performing the Gaussian functional integral over the electromagnetic degrees of freedom as in Eqs. (E.26)–(E.27), we obtain the well-known result (see e.g. [175, 176], or [171, Sec. I.5] and [174, Eq. (9.81)]),

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\psi] \,=\, S_{\text{e0}}[\psi] + \frac{1}{2c}\,j_\mu D_0^{\mu\nu} j_\nu \,\equiv\, S_{\text{e0}}[\psi] + S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}}[\psi]\,. \tag{E.31}$$

Thus, in addition to the free part S_{e0} of the electronic action, we obtain an effective, photon-mediated electron-electron interaction part given by

$$S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}} = \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\, \mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}}(x,x')\,, \tag{E.32}$$

with the interaction Lagrangean

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}}(x,x') = \frac{1}{2}\, j_\mu(x)\, (D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x,x')\, j_\nu(x')\,. \tag{E.33}$$

This is the photonic analogon of the expression (4.12) (or (4.169) in the continuum limit). Thus, the effective photon-mediated electron-electron interaction introduced in quantum electrodynamics is indeed fully analogous to the effective phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction as introduced in the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling.

Classical rederivation of photon-mediated interaction.—Finally, we will now prove that the above effective photon-mediated interaction can also be obtained directly from the classical Lagrangean field theory, which is again

analogous to our classical derivation of the phonon-mediated interaction at the end of Sec. 4.2.2. Concretely, we will show that the effective action (E.31) for the electronic field can be written as

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\psi] = S[A[\psi], \psi]\,, \tag{E.34}$$

where $S[A, \psi]$ is the fundamental action given by Eq. (E.9), and $A^\mu[\psi]$ is given by Eq. (E.13) with the four-current being defined through Eq. (E.7). In other words, the effective photon-mediated interaction can also be derived in purely classical terms by eliminating the electromagnetic four-potential A^μ from the fundamental action (E.9) using its equation of motion (E.11).

To prove Eq. (E.34), we first note that both sides of the equation contain the purely electronic term $S_{\text{e0}}[\psi]$, and hence it suffices to show that

$$S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}}[\psi] = S_{\text{int}}[A[\psi], \psi] + S_{\text{em0}}[A[\psi]]\,. \tag{E.35}$$

For the interaction term S_{int} the result is straightforward: expressing A^μ via Eq. (E.13) through its response function D_0 yields

$$S_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x\; j_\mu(x)\, A^\mu(x) \tag{E.36}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\; j_\mu(x)\, (D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x, x')\, j_\nu(x')\,. \tag{E.37}$$

Next, we eliminate the four-potential from the purely electromagnetic term S_{em0}. For this purpose, we use again the expression (E.25), which upon insertion of $A^\mu = (D_0)^{\mu\nu} j_\nu$ turns into

$$S_{\text{em0}} = -\frac{1}{2c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\; j_\mu(x)\, (D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x, x')\, j_\nu(x')\,. \tag{E.38}$$

Now the contributions (E.37) and (E.38) together yield

$$S_{\text{em0}} + S_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\; j_\mu(x)\, (D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x, x')\, j_\nu(x') \equiv S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}}\,, \tag{E.39}$$

which exactly reproduces the effective action (E.32)–(E.33) and thus proves the identity (E.34). We conclude that the well-known result (E.32)–(E.33) for the effective action—originally obtained within the path integral formalism—can be reproduced more directly by eliminating the electromagnetic four-potential from the fundamental Lagrangean (E.2) via its Green function

(i.e. its response function). The effective photon-mediated electron interaction is therefore exactly analogous to the effective phonon-mediated electron interaction, which was obtained by eliminating the nuclear degrees of freedom by their response functions. This corroborates again that the Response Theory of effective interactions is in accord with standard knowledge in quantum electrodynamics.

E.3. Non-local Lagrangeans and initial value problems

As explained already, effective interactions are in general non-local in *both* space *and* time. In principle, the formalism of Lagrangean field theory carries over to non-local actions of the general form

$$S = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \, \mathcal{L}(x, x') \,, \tag{E.40}$$

where the Lagrangean $\mathcal{L}(x, x')$ depends on the space-time arguments through the field, say $\psi(x)$, provided that $\mathcal{L}(x, x')$ is symmetric in x and x'. In this case, we can calculate a derivative of the action with respect to the field as

$$c \, \frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi(y)} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(y, x')}{\partial \psi(y)} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x \, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x, y)}{\partial \psi(y)} = 2 \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \, \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(y, x')}{\partial \psi(y)} \,. \tag{E.41}$$

Correspondingly, we then obtain the *formal* Euler–Lagrange equations

$$c \, \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}\psi(x)} = 2 \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x, x')}{\partial \psi(x)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x, x')}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi(x))} \right) = 0 \,. \tag{E.42}$$

Concretely, for the electronic effective action (E.31)–(E.33), these equations lead to the Dirac equation (E.16) but with the four-potential expressed in terms of the Dirac spinor through the explicit equation (E.13) and the definition of the four-current, Eq. (E.7). Thus, in this case the formal equation of motion reads

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \gamma^\mu (\mathrm{i}\hbar \partial_\mu - mc) \psi(x) \\ & + e^2 \gamma^\mu \psi(x) \int \mathrm{d}^4x' \, (D_0)_{\mu\nu}(x, x') \, \psi^\dagger(x') \gamma^0 \gamma^\nu \psi(x') = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.43}$$

This shows that the effective interaction is *not* a true interaction, because for a non-local action the Euler–Lagrange equations only hold on a formal level, but do not lead to an *initial value problem.*

In fact, the above formal Euler–Lagrange equations involve the field at all times through an integration d^4x' over the whole space-time. Concretely, this can be seen from Eq. (E.43), where the time-derivative of the Dirac field $\partial_t\psi(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ cannot be expressed exclusively by the field $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}',t)$ at the *same* time t, but involves the field $\psi(\boldsymbol{x}',t')$ at *all* times t'. Hence, in the case of a non-local action the Euler–Lagrange equations yield only a complicated integro-differential equation. A further problem lies in the fact that the time-ordered Green functions are in general not real valued (see [150, p. 35]), which leads to problems for expressions assumed to be real by their very nature (such as the interaction energy). These considerations show again that effective interactions in general do not yield interactions stricto sensu.

E.4. Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics

Broadly speaking, the impossibility of formulating an initial value problem for a non-local action is the reason why one actually has to introduce electromagnetic fields in the first place. This has been stressed already in [177, Sec. 5.8]. In particular, even in the case of finitely many interacting classical particles, one has to introduce infinitely many degrees of freedom—namely the electromagnetic field—in order to get rid of the retardation effects in the equations of motion: keeping the number of degrees of freedom finite would necessitate self-consistent integro-differential equations of motion which involve the particle trajectories at all times.

Surprisingly, however, exactly such an approach to classical electrodynamics has been put forward by R. P. Feynman and J. A. Wheeler. Their famous *absorber theory of radiation* (see the original articles [178, 179], or e.g. the textbook [180, Sec. 2.4]) has it that the dynamics of N relativistic, interacting point particles of mass m and charge q is described by a non-local action

$$S_{\mathrm{FW}} = S_{\mathrm{e0}} + S_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{FW}}\,, \tag{E.44}$$

composed of a free part

$$S_{\mathrm{e0}} = -mc\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int c\,\mathrm{d}\tau_i\,, \tag{E.45}$$

and an interaction part given by

$$S_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{FW}} = \frac{\mu_0 q^2}{8\pi c}\sum_{i\neq j}\int c\,\mathrm{d}\tau_i\int c\,\mathrm{d}\tau_j\; u_i^{\mu}(\tau_i)\,\delta\big((x_i(\tau_i)-x_j(\tau_j))^2\big)\,u_{j,\mu}(\tau_j)\,. \tag{E.46}$$

In these equations, $x_i^\mu = x_i^\mu(\tau_i)$ denotes the four-dimensional trajectory of the i-th particle in Minkowski space, which is parametrized by its respective proper time

$$\mathrm{d}\tau_i = \mathrm{d}t\,\sqrt{1 - |\boldsymbol{v}_i(t)|^2/c^2}\,, \tag{E.47}$$

with $\boldsymbol{v}_i(t) = \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)/\mathrm{d}t$. Furthermore,

$$(x_i - x_j)^2 = -c^2(t_i - t_j)^2 + |\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j|^2 \tag{E.48}$$

refers to the Minkowski scalar product. The relativistic four-velocity of the i-th particle is given by

$$u_i^\mu(\tau_i) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}x_i^\mu(\tau_i)}{\mathrm{d}\tau_i} = \gamma_i(t) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \boldsymbol{v}_i(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{E.49}$$

where

$$\gamma_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\boldsymbol{v}_i(t)|^2/c^2}}\,. \tag{E.50}$$

In particular, with these definitions, the relativistic action for free particles (E.45) can be written explicitly as

$$S_{\mathrm{e}0} = -mc^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \mathrm{d}t\,\sqrt{1 - |\boldsymbol{v}_i(t)|^2/c^2}\,. \tag{E.51}$$

By the Euler–Lagrange equations, the Feynman–Wheeler action leads to the relativistic standard equation of motion (for each particle $i = 1, \ldots, N$),

$$m\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau_i}\,u_i^\mu(\tau_i) = q\,F_i^{\mu\nu}(x_i(\tau_i))\,u_{i,\nu}(\tau_i)\,, \tag{E.52}$$

where the field-strength tensor is expressed explicitly in terms of the corresponding four-potential by Eq. (E.5), while the four-potential is again given by Eq. (E.13) with the definition of the current of classical point-particles,

$$j_i^\mu(x) = q \sum_{j \neq i} \int c\,\mathrm{d}\tau_j\,u_j^\mu(\tau_j)\,\delta^4(x - x_j(\tau_j))\,. \tag{E.53}$$

Explicitly, the equation of motion therefore reads

$$m\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau_i}\,u_i^\mu(\tau_i) = q\,\big(\partial^\mu A_i^\nu - \partial^\nu A_i^\mu\big)(x_i(\tau_i))\,u_{i,\nu}(\tau_i)\,, \tag{E.54}$$

where

$$A_i^\mu(x) = \frac{\mu_0 q}{4\pi} \sum_{j\neq i} \int c\,\mathrm{d}\tau_j\, \delta((x - x_j(\tau_j))^2)\, u_j^\mu(\tau_j)\,. \tag{E.55}$$

In this last formula, we have used the explicit expression of the Feynman Green function (see [120, Sec. 3.4], or Eq. (E.59) below). In particular, the above equation of motion implies that the time derivative of the i-th particle position, $\mathrm{d}^2\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)/\mathrm{d}t^2$, cannot be expressed exclusively by the positions $\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)$ of all other particles at the *same* time t, but instead involves their trajectories at *all* times t' (or at least on the light cone of the respective i-th praticle). Quite as its field theoretical counterpart described in the previous subsection, Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics does therefore not allow for an initial value problem.

We will now show that the Feynman–Wheeler action (E.44) is just the classical point-particle counterpart of the well-known effective action (E.31)–(E.33). Remarks in this direction can already be found in the classic textbook [181, pp. 120f.] by C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, and in the equally classic textbook [182, pp. 250f.] by R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs. In fact, the proof for this is straightforward: one simply has to interpret the electromagnetic four-current in Eq. (E.33) as being generated by classical point-particles, and to specify the Green function D_0 in the effective interaction. In other words, one has to show that the interaction term $S_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{FW}}$ in the Feynman–Wheeler action is of the form of an effective interaction given by Eq. (E.32)–(E.33).

To show this explicitly, we use the expression for the current of classical relativistic point-particles given by Eq. (E.53). Furthermore, we introduce the retarded *scalar* Green function $\mathbb{D}_0^+$ and its advanced counterpart $\mathbb{D}_0^-$ (cf. [120, Sec. 3.1]), which both fulfill the equation

$$\Box \mathbb{D}_0(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}', t - t') = \mu_0\, \delta^3(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')\, \delta(ct - ct')\,, \tag{E.56}$$

with the d'Alembert operator defined in Eq. (E.12). These scalar Green functions are given explicitly by

$$\mathbb{D}_0^\pm(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}', t - t') = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi c}\, \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}\, \delta\left(t - t' \mp \frac{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|}{c}\right), \tag{E.57}$$

or equivalently by (cf. [150, Eq. (1.170)])

$$\mathbb{D}_0^\pm(x - x') = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}\, \Theta(\pm(t - t'))\, \delta((x - x')^2)\,, \tag{E.58}$$

where Θ is the Heaviside step function. With these, we further introduce the (tensorial) electromagnetic *Feynman Green function* (cf. [120, Sec. 3.4]) as

$$(D_0)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\mathbb{D}_0^+ + \mathbb{D}_0^-)\,\eta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\,\delta((x-x')^2)\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{E.59}$$

The latter is a Green for Eq. (E.11) in the Lorenz gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$.

Now, with Eqs. (E.53) and (E.59) one shows directly that the Feynman–Wheeler action (E.44) can be written as an effective interaction in the form

$$S_{\mathrm{FW}} \,=\, S_{\mathrm{e0}} + \frac{1}{2c}\int \mathrm{d}^4x \int \mathrm{d}^4x'\, j_\mu(x)\,(D_0)^{\mu\nu}(x,x')\,j_\nu(x') \,\equiv\, S_{\mathrm{eff}}\,, \tag{E.60}$$

where singular particle self-interaction terms have to be discarded. We have thus shown that Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics simply corresponds to ordinary electrodynamics, where the electromagnetic fields have been eliminated formally through an appropriate symmetric Green function, and where the charge and current densities are assumed to be produced by classical relativistic point-particles. As the electromagnetic Green function can be interpreted as the response function of the electromagnetic four-potential, all this is completely analogous to the Response Theory of the electron-phonon coupling proposed in this article.

E.5. Darwin Lagrangean

As in the case of phonon-mediated interactions (see Eq. (3.62)), we now consider the effective photon-mediated interaction in the instantaneous limit,

$$D_0(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') \mapsto D_0(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,\delta(ct-ct')\,. \tag{E.61}$$

This implies for the interaction Lagrangean (E.33),

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = \frac{1}{2}\,j_\mu(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,(D_0)^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,j_\nu(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,\delta(ct-ct')\,, \tag{E.62}$$

and hence for the corresponding action (E.32),

$$S^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}} \,\equiv\, \frac{1}{c}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int c\,\mathrm{d}t \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}' \int c\,\mathrm{d}t'\,\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') \,=\, \int \mathrm{d}t\,L^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t)\,, \tag{E.63}$$

with

$$L^{\mathrm{eff}}_{\mathrm{e-e}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\, j_\mu(\boldsymbol{x},t)\,(D_0)^{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,j_\nu(\boldsymbol{x}',t)\,. \tag{E.64}$$

We are now going to show that this instantaneous interaction Lagrangean exactly reproduces the famous Darwin Lagrangean (see [183, Eq. (12.81)], or the original article [184]). This assertion ist most easily proven in the Coulomb gauge, which leads to the concrete expression for the electromagnetic Green function (see [120, Eq. (3.52)]),

$$(D_0)^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0/|\boldsymbol{k}|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{D}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega)\overset{\leftrightarrow}{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{E.65}$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}_0(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \frac{\mu_0}{-\omega^2/c^2 + |\boldsymbol{k}|^2} \tag{E.66}$$

is the scalar Green function in the Fourier domain (given also in Eq. (D.65)). With this, the effective interaction Lagrangean (E.64) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathrm{e-e}}^{\mathrm{eff}} = {} & \frac{c^2}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,(D_0)^{00}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,\rho(\boldsymbol{x}') \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\boldsymbol{j}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_0(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)\,\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.67}$$

In particular, the 00-component of the Coulomb Green function equals the Coulomb potential up to the prefactor. In real space, it is given by

$$(D_0)^{00}(\boldsymbol{x},t;\boldsymbol{x}',t') = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\,\frac{\delta(ct-ct')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|}\,, \tag{E.68}$$

and hence automatically yields an instantaneous interaction between the charge densities, which in fact coincides with the ordinary Coulomb interaction (cf. [171, 175]). Approximating also the spatial part of the electromagnetic Green function by its zero-frequency limit, we obtain

$$(D_0)_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')}\,\frac{\mu_0}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}\right). \tag{E.69}$$

The first term in this integral gives again the Coulomb potential up to the prefactor. Further using the regularization (see [142, p. 765, Eq. (1.89)])

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')}\,\frac{k_i k_j}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^4} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\,\partial x_j}\,|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|\,, \tag{E.70}$$

we obtain after a short calculation the overall result

$$(D_0)_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}';\omega=0)=\frac{\mu_0}{8\pi}\left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|}+\frac{(x_i-x_i')(x_j-x_j')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|^3}\right). \tag{E.71}$$

Collecting together all terms in Eq. (E.67), we arrive at the following expression for the effective interaction Lagrangean:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{e-e}}^{\text{eff}} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi\varepsilon_0}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\,\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|} \\ &+\frac{\mu_0}{16\pi}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x}'\,\boldsymbol{j}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{x})\left(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|}\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}+\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}')^{\mathrm{T}}}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'|^3}\right)\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x}')\,. \end{aligned} \tag{E.72}$$

We now assume a point-particle current produced by only two particles ($i=1,2$) with charges q_i and spatial currents $q_i\,\boldsymbol{v}_i$, such that

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{2} q_i\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i)\,,\qquad \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{2} q_i\,\boldsymbol{v}_i\,\delta^3(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_i)\,. \tag{E.73}$$

These expressions can be derived directly from Eq. (E.53). By putting them into Eq. (E.72) and discarding the particle self-interactions, we obtain the interaction Lagrangean

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{e-e}}^{\text{eff}} &= \frac{q_1 q_2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\,|\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2|} \\ &\quad\times\left(-1+\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_1^{\mathrm{T}}}{c}\left(\overset{\leftrightarrow}{1}+\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2)(\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2)^{\mathrm{T}}}{|\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2|^2}\right)\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_2}{c}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{E.74}$$

This result indeed coincides exactly with the Darwin Lagrangean [183, Eq. (12.81)]. Thus, we have shown that the Darwin interaction Lagrangean corresponds to "static Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics", i.e., an electron-electron interaction obtained from Feynman–Wheeler electrodynamics by taking the instantaneous limit as explained in Sec. 3.4.

Our final remark regards the gauge independence of the effective interaction. In fact, in this subsection we have chosen the Green function in the Coulomb gauge for our rederivation of the Darwin Lagrangean. By contrast, in the previous subsection we used the Lorenz gauge. On the other hand, in Ref. [120, Eq. (3.41)] we have shown that the most general form of the

electromagnetic Green function reads

$$(D_0)^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(k) = \mathbb{D}_0(k) \left(\eta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \frac{ck^{\mu}}{\omega} f_{\nu}(k) + g^{\mu}(k) \frac{ck_{\nu}}{\omega} + \frac{ck^{\mu}}{\omega} h(k) \frac{ck_{\nu}}{\omega} \right), \quad \text{(E.75)}$$

where the functions f_ν, g^μ and h can be chosen arbitrarily up to the constraints of Minkowski-transversality,

$$f_{\nu}(k)\, k^{\nu} = k_{\mu}\, g^{\mu}(k) = 0\,. \quad \text{(E.76)}$$

Now, the effective action reads in Fourier space

$$S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}} = \frac{1}{2c} \int \mathrm{d}^4k\, (j^{\mu})^*(k)\, (D_0)_{\mu\nu}(k)\, j^{\nu}(k)\,. \quad \text{(E.77)}$$

Putting the general expression (E.75) into this formula, performing partial integrations and using the continuity equation in the form

$$k_{\mu}\, j^{\mu}(k) = 0\,, \quad \text{(E.78)}$$

leads to the expression

$$S^{\text{eff}}_{\text{e-e}} = \frac{1}{2c} \int \mathrm{d}^4k\, (j^{\mu})^*(k)\, \mathbb{D}_0(k)\, j_{\mu}(k)\,, \quad \text{(E.79)}$$

which does not depend on the arbitrary functions f, g and h anymore. This means that the effective interaction is independent of the choice of the tensorial Green function D_0 (cf. also [176]). In particular, it delivers the same expressions no matter whether we use the Green function in the Coulomb gauge or in the Lorenz gauge. As the former induces an instantaneous Coulomb interaction complemented by a retarded, tensorial current-current interaction, while the latter implies a retarded Coulomb interaction for both charge and current densities, the Response Theory also provides the simplest proof of the fact that *the instantaneous Coulomb interaction does not violate the principle of causality.* A direct proof of this fact can be rather complicated (see e.g. [185, p. 66]). At the same time, these considerations show that the abovementioned technical difficulties with the definition of the electromagnetic path integral can indeed by ignored in the derivation of the effective photon-mediated interaction, because the ambiguities in the definition of the corresponding Green function cancel out in the final result.

References

[1] I. E. Tamm, *Über die Quantentheorie der molekularen Lichtzerstreuung in festen Körpern*, Z. Phys. **60** (1930) 345.

[2] J. Frenkel, *Wave mechanics: advanced general theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1934.

[3] G. Grimvall, *The electron-phonon interaction in metals*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1981.

[4] F. Giustino, *Electron-phonon interactions from first principles*, arXiv:1603.06965 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci], 2016.

[5] E. Kaxiras, *Atomic and electronic structure of solids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[6] J. Kohanoff, *Electronic structure calculations for solids and molecules: theory and computational methods*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[7] R. M. Martin, *Electronic structure: basic theory and practical methods*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[8] G. F. Giuliani, G. Vignale, *Quantum theory of the electron liquid*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[9] J. Hafner, *Ab-initio simulations of materials using VASP: density-functional theory and beyond*, J. Comput. Chem. **29** (2008) 2044.

[10] J. Hafner, *Ab initio density-functional calculations in materials science: from quasicrystals over microporous catalysts to spintronics*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **22** (2010) 384205.

[11] H. Fröhlich, *Theory of the superconducting state. I. The ground state at the absolute zero of temperature*, Phys. Rev. **79** (1950) 845.

[12] H. Fröhlich, *Interaction of electrons with lattice vibrations*, Proc. R. Soc. A **215** (1952) 291.

[13] J. Bardeen, D. Pines, *Electron-phonon interaction in metals*, Phys. Rev. **99** (1955) 1140.

[14] L. N. Cooper, *Bound electron pairs in a degenerate Fermi gas*, Phys. Rev. **104** (1956) 1189.

[15] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, J. R. Schrieffer, *Microscopic theory of superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **106** (1957) 162.

[16] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **108** (1957) 1175.

[17] J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity*, Advanced Book Classics, revised ed., Westview Press, 1999.

[18] P. G. de Gennes, *Superconductivity of metals and alloys*, Advanced Book Classics, Westview Press, 1999.

[19] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to superconductivity*, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1996.

[20] F. Marsiglio, J. B. Carbotte, *Electron-phonon cuperconductivity*, in: K. H. Bennemann, J. B. Ketterson (Eds.), *Superconductivity: conventional and unconventional superconductors*, volume 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2008.

[21] H. Bruus, K. Flensberg, *Many-body quantum theory in condensed matter physics: an introduction*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[22] R. Kouba, A. Taga, C. Ambrosch-Draxl, L. Nordström, B. Johansson, *Phonons and electron-phonon interaction by linear-response theory within the LAPW method*, Phys. Rev. B **64** (2001) 184306.

[23] M. Cardona, M. L. W. Thewalt, *Isotope effects on the optical spectra of semiconductors*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77** (2005) 1173.

[24] K. Ji, K. Nasu, *Isotopic shift in angle-resolved photoemission spectra of* $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ *due to quadratic electron-phonon coupling*, Phys. Lett. A **372** (2008) 524.

[25] J. Spitaler, E. Y. Sherman, C. Ambrosch-Draxl, *First-principles study of phonons, optical properties, and Raman spectra in* MgV_2O_5, Phys. Rev. B **78** (2008) 064304.

[26] K. Hummer, J. Harl, G. Kresse, *Heyd-Scuseria-Ernzerhof hybrid functional for calculating the lattice dynamics of semiconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2009) 115205.

[27] F. Giustino, S. G. Louie, M. L. Cohen, *Electron-phonon renormalization of the direct band gap of diamond*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 265501.

[28] E. Cannuccia, A. Marini, *Zero point motion effect on the electronic properties of diamond, trans-polyacetylene and polyethylene*, Eur. Phys. J. B **85** (2012) 320.

[29] B. Monserrat, N. D. Drummond, R. J. Needs, *Anharmonic vibrational properties in periodic systems: energy, electron-phonon coupling, and stress*, Phys. Rev. B **87** (2013) 144302.

[30] S. Poncé, G. Antonius, P. Boulanger, E. Cannuccia, A. Marini, M. Côté, X. Gonze, *Verification of first-principles codes: comparison of total energies, phonon frequencies, electron-phonon coupling and zero-point motion correction to the gap between ABINIT and QE/Yambo*, Comput. Mater. Sci. **83** (2014) 341.

[31] B. Monserrat, R. J. Needs, *Comparing electron-phonon coupling strength in diamond, silicon, and silicon carbide: first-principles study*, Phys. Rev. B **89** (2014) 214304.

[32] T.-R. Chang, P.-J. Chen, G. Bian, S.-M. Huang, H. Zheng, T. Neupert, R. Sankar, S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, G. Chang, et al., *Topological Dirac surface states and superconducting pairing correlations in* $PbTaSe_2$, arXiv:1511.06231v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall], 2016.

[33] L. N. Oliveira, E. K. U. Gross, W. Kohn, *Density-functional theory for superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 2430.

[34] M. Lüders, M. A. L. Marques, N. N. Lathiotakis, A. Floris, G. Profeta, L. Fast, A. Continenza, S. Massidda, E. K. U. Gross, *Ab initio theory of superconductivity. I. Density functional formalism and approximate functionals*, Phys. Rev. B **72** (2005) 024545.

[35] M. A. L. Marques, M. Lüders, N. N. Lathiotakis, G. Profeta, A. Floris, L. Fast, A. Continenza, E. K. U. Gross, S. Massidda, *Ab initio theory*

of superconductivity. II. Application to elemental metals, Phys. Rev. B **72** (2005) 024546.

[36] A. Marini, *Competition between the electronic and phonon-mediated scattering channels in the out-of-equilibrium carrier dynamics of semiconductors: an ab-initio approach*, JPCS **427** (2013) 012003.

[37] H. Kawai, K. Yamashita, E. Cannuccia, A. Marini, *Electron-electron and electron-phonon correlation effects on the finite-temperature electronic and optical properties of zinc-blende* GaN, Phys. Rev. B **89** (2014) 085202.

[38] I. S. Tupitsyn, A. S. Mishchenko, N. Nagaosa, N. Prokof'ev, *Coulomb and electron-phonon interactions in metals*, arXiv:1603.06409v2 [cond-mat.str-el], 2016.

[39] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gor'kov, I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Metody kvantovoi teorii polya v statisticheskoi fizike*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962. (English transl., Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1963).

[40] V. L. Bonc-Bruevic, S. V. Tjablikov, *Metod funkcij grina v statisticeskoj mechanike*, Gos. Izdat. Fiziko-Matem. Lit., Moscow, 1961.

[41] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshits, *Statisticheskaia fizika*, Nauka, Moscow, 1961.

[42] F. Aryasetiawan, O. Gunnarsson, *The GW method*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **61** (1998) 237.

[43] G. Onida, L. Reining, A. Rubio, *Electronic excitations: density-functional versus many-body Green's-function approaches*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74** (2002) 601.

[44] C. Friedrich, A. Schindlmayr, *Many-body perturbation theory: the GW approximation*, in: J. Grotendorst, S. Blügel, D. Marx (Eds.), *Computational nanoscience: do it yourself!*, volume 31 of NIC Series, John von Neumann Institute for Computing, Jülich, 2006, pp. 335–355.

[45] M. Shishkin, G. Kresse, *Self-consistent GW calculations for semiconductors and insulators*, Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007) 235102.

[46] L. Hedin, S. Lundqvist, *Effects of electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions on the one-electron states of solids*, volume 23 of Solid State Physics: Advances in Research and Applications, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1969, pp. 1–181.

[47] R. van Leeuwen, *First-principles approach to the electron-phonon interaction*, Phys. Rev. B **69** (2004) 115110.

[48] A. Y. Liu, I. I. Mazin, J. Kortus, *Beyond Eliashberg superconductivity in* MgB_2*: anharmonicity, two-phonon scattering, and multiple gaps*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 087005.

[49] S. K. Bose, O. V. Dolgov, J. Kortus, O. Jepsen, O. K. Andersen, *Pressure dependence of electron-phonon coupling and superconductivity in* hcp Fe*: a linear response study*, Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 214518.

[50] O. V. Dolgov, R. S. Gonnelli, G. A. Ummarino, A. A. Golubov, S. V. Shulga, J. Kortus, *Extraction of the electron-phonon interaction from tunneling data in the multigap superconductor* MgB_2, Phys. Rev. B **68** (2003) 132503.

[51] L. Boeri, J. Kortus, O. Andersen, *Electron-phonon superconductivity in hole-doped diamond: a first-principles study*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **67** (2006) 552. Spectroscopies in Novel Superconductors 2004.

[52] H. Kontani, S. Onari, *Orbital-fluctuation-mediated superconductivity in iron pnictides: analysis of the five-orbital Hubbard-Holstein model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 157001.

[53] A. P. Petrović, R. Lortz, G. Santi, M. Decroux, H. Monnard, Ø. Fischer, L. Boeri, O. K. Andersen, J. Kortus, D. Salloum, P. Gougeon, M. Potel, *Phonon mode spectroscopy, electron-phonon coupling, and the metal-insulator transition in quasi-one-dimensional* $M_2Mo_6Se_6$, Phys. Rev. B **82** (2010) 235128.

[54] A. Subedi, L. Boeri, *Vibrational spectrum and electron-phonon coupling of doped solid picene from first principles*, Phys. Rev. B **84** (2011) 020508.

[55] G. Profeta, M. Calandra, F. Mauri, *Phonon-mediated superconductivity in graphene by lithium deposition*, Nat. Phys. **8** (2012) 131.

[56] Y. Nomura, R. Arita, *Ab initio downfolding for electron-phonon-coupled systems: constrained density-functional perturbation theory*, Phys. Rev. B **92** (2015) 245108.

[57] Y. Nomura, S. Sakai, M. Capone, R. Arita, *Unified understanding of superconductivity and Mott transition in alkali-doped fullerides from first principles*, Sci. Adv. **1** (2015) e1500568.

[58] M. Salmhofer, C. Honerkamp, *Fermionic renormalization group flows: technique and theory*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **105** (2001) 1.

[59] J. Berges, N. Tetradis, C. Wetterich, *Non-perturbative renormalization flow in quantum field theory and statistical physics*, Phys. Rep. **363** (2002) 223.

[60] W. Metzner, M. Salmhofer, C. Honerkamp, V. Meden, K. Schönhammer, *Functional renormalization group approach to correlated fermion systems*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84** (2012) 299.

[61] C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, N. Furukawa, T. M. Rice, *Breakdown of the Landau-Fermi liquid in two dimensions due to umklapp scattering*, Phys. Rev. B **63** (2001) 035109.

[62] C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, *Magnetic and superconducting instabilities of the Hubbard model at the van Hove filling*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 187004.

[63] A. A. Katanin, A. P. Kampf, *Renormalization group analysis of magnetic and superconducting instabilities near van Hove band fillings*, Phys. Rev. B **68** (2003) 195101.

[64] A. A. Katanin, A. P. Kampf, *Quasiparticle anisotropy and pseudogap formation from the weak-coupling renormalization group point of view*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 106406.

[65] S. Friederich, H. C. Krahl, C. Wetterich, *Functional renormalization for spontaneous symmetry breaking in the Hubbard model*, Phys. Rev. B **83** (2011) 155125.

[66] C. Husemann, K.-U. Giering, M. Salmhofer, *Frequency-dependent vertex functions of the (t, t') Hubbard model at weak coupling*, Phys. Rev. B **85** (2012) 075121.

[67] K.-U. Giering, M. Salmhofer, *Self-energy flows in the two-dimensional repulsive Hubbard model*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012) 245122.

[68] A. Eberlein, W. Metzner, *Superconductivity in the two-dimensional t-t'-Hubbard model*, Phys. Rev. B **89** (2014) 035126.

[69] J. Lichtenstein, D. S. de la Peña, D. Rohe, E. Di Napoli, C. Honerkamp, S. A. Maier, *High-performance functional renormalization group calculations for interacting fermions*, arXiv:1604.06296 [cond-mat.str-el], 2016.

[70] A. Eberlein, *Fermionic two-loop functional renormalization group for correlated fermions: method and application to the attractive Hubbard model*, Phys. Rev. B **90** (2014) 115125.

[71] C. Platt, W. Hanke, R. Thomale, *Functional renormalization group for multi-orbital Fermi surface instabilities*, Adv. Phys. **62** (2013) 453.

[72] G. A. H. Schober, K.-U. Giering, M. M. Scherer, C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, *Functional renormalization and mean-field approach to multiband systems with spin-orbit coupling: application to the Rashba model with attractive interaction*, Phys. Rev. B **93** (2016) 115111. See also arXiv:1409.7087 [cond-mat.str-el].

[73] S. Uebelacker, C. Honerkamp, *Multiband effects on superconducting instabilities driven by electron-electron interactions*, Phys. Rev. B **85** (2012) 155122.

[74] S. A. Maier, J. Ortloff, C. Honerkamp, *Multiorbital effects in the functional renormalization group: a weak-coupling study of the Emery model*, Phys. Rev. B **88** (2013) 235112.

[75] F. Wang, H. Zhai, Y. Ran, A. Vishwanath, D.-H. Lee, *Functional renormalization-group study of the pairing symmetry and pairing mechanism of the* FeAs*-based high-temperature superconductor*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 047005.

[76] C. Platt, C. Honerkamp, W. Hanke, *Pairing in the iron arsenides: a functional RG treatment*, New J. Phys. **11** (2009) 055058.

[77] R. Thomale, C. Platt, J. Hu, C. Honerkamp, B. A. Bernevig, *Functional renormalization-group study of the doping dependence of pairing symmetry in the iron pnictide superconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2009) 180505.

[78] R. Thomale, C. Platt, W. Hanke, B. A. Bernevig, *Mechanism for explaining differences in the order parameters of* FeAs*-based and* FeP*-based pnictide superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 187003.

[79] C. Platt, R. Thomale, W. Hanke, *Superconducting state of the iron pnictide* LiFeAs*: a combined density-functional and functional-renormalization-group study*, Phys. Rev. B **84** (2011) 235121.

[80] J. Lichtenstein, S. A. Maier, C. Honerkamp, C. Platt, R. Thomale, O. K. Andersen, L. Boeri, *Functional renormalization group study of an eight-band model for the iron arsenides*, Phys. Rev. B **89** (2014) 214514.

[81] M. L. Kiesel, C. Platt, W. Hanke, D. A. Abanin, R. Thomale, *Competing many-body instabilities and unconventional superconductivity in graphene*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012) 020507.

[82] W. Wu, M. M. Scherer, C. Honerkamp, K. Le Hur, *Correlated Dirac particles and superconductivity on the honeycomb lattice*, Phys. Rev. B **87** (2013) 094521.

[83] M. M. Scherer, S. Uebelacker, C. Honerkamp, *Instabilities of interacting electrons on the honeycomb bilayer*, Phys. Rev. B **85** (2012) 235408.

[84] T. C. Lang, Z. Y. Meng, M. M. Scherer, S. Uebelacker, F. F. Assaad, A. Muramatsu, C. Honerkamp, S. Wessel, *Antiferromagnetism in the Hubbard model on the Bernal-stacked honeycomb bilayer*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 126402.

[85] D. S. de la Peña, M. M. Scherer, C. Honerkamp, *Electronic instabilities of the AA-honeycomb bilayer*, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **526** (2014) 366.

[86] M. M. Scherer, S. Uebelacker, D. D. Scherer, C. Honerkamp, *Interacting electrons on trilayer honeycomb lattices*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012) 155415.

[87] S.-W. Tsai, A. H. Castro Neto, R. Shankar, D. K. Campbell, *Renormalization-group approach to strong-coupled superconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **72** (2005) 054531.

[88] C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, *Eliashberg equations derived from the functional renormalization group*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113** (2005) 1145.

[89] H. C. Fu, C. Honerkamp, D.-H. Lee, *Renormalization group study of the electron-phonon interaction in high-T_c cuprates*, EPL **75** (2006) 146.

[90] C. Honerkamp, H. C. Fu, D.-H. Lee, *Phonons and d-wave pairing in the two-dimensional Hubbard model*, Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007) 014503.

[91] K.-M. Tam, S.-W. Tsai, D. K. Campbell, A. H. Castro Neto, *Retardation effects in the Holstein-Hubbard chain at half filling*, Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007) 161103.

[92] K.-M. Tam, S.-W. Tsai, D. K. Campbell, A. H. Castro Neto, *Phase diagram of the Holstein-Hubbard two-leg ladder using a functional renormalization-group method*, Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007) 195119.

[93] H. Bakrim, C. Bourbonnais, *Superconductivity close to the charge-density-wave instability*, EPL **90** (2010) 27001.

[94] L. Classen, M. M. Scherer, C. Honerkamp, *Instabilities on graphene's honeycomb lattice with electron-phonon interactions*, Phys. Rev. B **90** (2014) 035122.

[95] S. Ryu, T. Takayanagi, T. Ugajin, *Holographic conductivity in disordered systems*, J. High Energy Phys. (2011).

[96] W. G. Unruh, *Experimental black-hole evaporation?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46** (1981) 1351.

[97] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, *Sonic analog of gravitational black holes in Bose–Einstein condensates*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 4643.

[98] P.-E. Larré, A. Recati, I. Carusotto, N. Pavloff, *Quantum fluctuations around black hole horizons in Bose–Einstein condensates*, Phys. Rev. A **85** (2012) 013621.

[99] J. Steinhauer, *Observation of self-amplifying Hawking radiation in an analogue black-hole laser*, Nat. Phys. **10** (2014) 864.

[100] K. Ishizaka, M. S. Bahramy, H. Murakawa, M. Sakano, T. Shimojima, T. Sonobe, K. Koizumi, S. Shin, H. Miyahara, A. Kimura, et al., *Giant Rashba-type spin splitting in bulk* BiTeI, Nat. Mater. **10** (2011) 521.

[101] M. S. Bahramy, R. Arita, N. Nagaosa, *Origin of giant bulk Rashba splitting: application to* BiTeI, Phys. Rev. B **84** (2011) 041202.

[102] J. S. Lee, G. A. H. Schober, M. S. Bahramy, H. Murakawa, Y. Onose, R. Arita, N. Nagaosa, Y. Tokura, *Optical response of relativistic electrons in the polar* BiTeI *semiconductor*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 117401.

[103] I. Yu. Sklyadneva, R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, V. Chis, V. A. Volodin, K. A. Kokh, O. E. Tereshchenko, P. M. Echenique, E. V. Chulkov, *Lattice dynamics of bismuth tellurohalides*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012) 094302.

[104] Yu. S. Ponosov, T. V. Kuznetsova, O. E. Tereshchenko, K. A. Kokh, E. V. Chulkov, *Dynamics of the* BiTeI *lattice at high pressures*, JETP Letters **98** (2013) 557. [Pis'ma v Zhurnal Eksperimental'noi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki **98**, 626 (2013)].

[105] L. Wu, J. Yang, M. Chi, S. Wang, P. Wei, W. Zhang, L. Chen, J. Yang, *Enhanced thermoelectric performance in* Cu-*intercalated* BiTeI *by compensation weakening induced mobility improvement*, Sci. Rep. **5** (2015) 14319.

[106] A. Akrap, J. Teyssier, A. Magrez, P. Bugnon, H. Berger, A. B. Kuzmenko, D. van der Marel, *Optical properties of* BiTeBr *and* BiTeCl, Phys. Rev. B **90** (2014) 035201.

[107] P. A. Martin, F. Rothen, *Many-body problems and quantum field theory: an introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2002.

[108] K. Huang, *Quantum field theory: from operators to path integrals*, 2nd ed., WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2010.

[109] S. S. Schweber, *An introduction to relativistic quantum field theory*, Row, Peterson and Company, Evanston, IL, 1961.

[110] E. M. Henley, W. Thirring, *Elementary quantum field theory*, International Series in Pure and Applied Physics, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1962.

[111] N. W. Ashcroft, N. D. Mermin, *Solid state physics*, Harcourt, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1976.

[112] C. Kittel, *Introduction to solid state physics*, 7th ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996.

[113] M. Salmhofer, *Renormalization: an introduction*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1999.

[114] P. Haas, F. Tran, P. Blaha, *Calculation of the lattice constant of solids with semilocal functionals*, Phys. Rev. B **79** (2009) 085104.

[115] J. Harl, L. Schimka, G. Kresse, *Assessing the quality of the random phase approximation for lattice constants and atomization energies of solids*, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010) 115126.

[116] L. Schimka, R. Gaudoin, J. Klimeš, M. Marsman, G. Kresse, *Lattice constants and cohesive energies of alkali, alkaline-earth, and transition metals: random phase approximation and density functional theory results*, Phys. Rev. B **87** (2013) 214102.

[117] K. Lejaeghere, G. Bihlmayer, T. Björkman, P. Blaha, S. Blügel, V. Blum, D. Caliste, I. E. Castelli, S. J. Clark, A. dal Corso, et al., *Reproducibility in density functional theory calculations of solids*, Science **351** (2016) 6280.

[118] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshits, *Teorija uprugosti*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965.

[119] J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and phonons: the theory of transport phenomena in solids*, The International Series of Monographs in Physics, Oxford University Press, London, 1960.

[120] R. Starke, G. A. H. Schober, *Functional approach to electrodynamics of media*, Phot. Nano. Fund. Appl. **14** (2015) 1–34. See also arXiv:1401.6800 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].

[121] A. O. E. Animalu, *Intermediate quantum theory of crystalline solids*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1977.

[122] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, J. Hafner, *Ab initio force constant approach to phonon dispersion relations of diamond and graphite*, EPL **32** (1995) 729.

[123] G. D. Mahan, *Many-particle physics*, Plenum Press, New York, 1990.

[124] L. Hedin, *New method for calculating the one-particle Green's function with application to the electron-gas problem*, Phys. Rev. **139** (1965) A796.

[125] A. L. Fetter, J. D. Walecka, *Quantum theory of many-particle systems*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1971.

[126] W. Schäfer, M. Wegener, *Semiconductor optics and transport phenomena*, Advanced Texts in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2002.

[127] J. F. Annett, *Superconductivity, superfluidity and condensates*, volume 5 of Oxford Master Series in Condensed Matter Physics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[128] J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the theory of solids*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972.

[129] G. Blatter, *Festkörperphysik*, lecture notes, ETH Zürich, 2006. URL: `http://edu.itp.phys.ethz.ch/2007b/ws0607/fkp2/script.pdf`.

[130] M. Fox, *Optical properties of solids*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.

[131] P. Coleman, *Introduction to many-body physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[132] F. Schwabl, *Quantum mechanics*, 4th ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin/ Heidelberg, 2007.

[133] F. Han, *A modern course in the quantum theory of solids*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2013.

[134] P. L. Taylor, O. Heinonen, *A quantum approach to condensed matter physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[135] P. Lenz, F. Wegner, *Flow equations for electron-phonon interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B **482** (1996) 693.

[136] A. Mielke, *Similarity renormalization of the electron-phonon coupling*, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **6** (1997) 215.

[137] S. Kehrein, *The flow equation approach to many-particle systems*, volume 217 of Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2006.

[138] N. Nagaosa, *Quantum field theory in condensed matter physics*, Springer Verlag, 1999.

[139] V. P. Bykov, *Radiation of atoms in a resonant environment*, volume 4 of Series in Optics and Photonics, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 1993.

[140] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, J. Safko, *Classical mechanics*, 3rd ed., Addison Wesley, San Francisco, CA, 2002.

[141] Y. C. Fung, P. Tong, *Classical and computational solid mechanics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2001.

[142] H. Kleinert, *Gauge fields in condensed matter*, volume 2: *stresses and defects*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 1989.

[143] A. Altland, B. Simons, *Condensed matter field theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[144] L. H. Ryder, *Quantum field theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[145] J. Feldman, E. Trubowitz, *The flow of an electron-phonon system to the superconducting state*, Helv. Phys. Acta **64** (1991) 213.

[146] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields*, volume 2: *modern applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[147] F. Jensen, *Introduction to computational chemistry*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 2007.

[148] E. Hecht, *Optics*, 4th ed., Addison Wesley, San Francisco, CA, 2002.

[149] R. Haag, *Local quantum physics: fields, particles, algebras*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1996.

[150] C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum field theory*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1980.

[151] A. Messiah, *Quantum mechanics*, volume 2, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1962.

[152] J. D. Bjorken, S. D. Drell, *Relativistic quantum fields*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1965.

[153] R. Starke, G. A. H. Schober, *Ab initio materials physics and microscopic electrodynamics of media*, arXiv:1606.00445 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci], 2016.

[154] O. Madelung, *Festkörpertheorie I: Elementare Anregungen*, Heidelberger Taschenbücher, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[155] D. B. Melrose, R. C. McPhedran, *Electromagnetic processes in dispersive media: a treatment based on the dielectric tensor*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[156] D. B. Melrose, *Quantum plasmadynamics: unmagnetized plasmas*, volume 735 of Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, New York, 2008.

[157] R. Starke, G. A. H. Schober, *Relativistic covariance of Ohm's law*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D (2016). See also arXiv:1409.3723 [math-ph].

[158] R. Starke, G. A. H. Schober, *Microscopic theory of the refractive index*, arXiv:1510.03404 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci], 2015.

[159] S. L. Adler, *Quantum theory of the dielectric constant in real solids*, Phys. Rev. **126** (1962) 413.

[160] G. Strinati, *Application of the Green's functions method to the study of optical properties of semiconductors*, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento **11** (1988) 1.

[161] R. Kubo, *Statistical-mechanical theory of irreversible processes. I. General theory and simple applications to magnetic and conduction problems*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12** (1957) 570.

[162] E. Fradkin, *Linear response theory*, in: *Condensed matter physics II*, lecture notes, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2009. URL: `http://eduardo.physics.illinois.edu/phys561/LRT.pdf`.

[163] M. Sigrist, *Kontinuumsmechanik*, lecture notes, ETH Zürich, 2005. URL: `http://edu.itp.phys.ethz.ch/2007b/ss06/km/script.pdf`.

[164] H. Römer, *Theoretical optics: an introduction*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2005.

[165] V. Rivasseau, *Introduction to the renormalization group with applications to non-relativistic quantum electron gases*, in: A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro, J. Yngvason (Eds.), *Quantum many body systems: Cetraro, Italy 2010*, volume 2051 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2012.

[166] M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schröder, *An introduction to quantum field theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, 1995.

[167] T. C. Scott, R. A. Moore, *Quantisation of Hamiltonians from high-order Lagrangians*, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **6** (1989) 455.

[168] A. Wachter, H. Hoeber, *Compendium of theoretical physics*, Springer Science+Business Media, Inc., New York, 2006.

[169] F. Mandl, G. Shaw, *Quantum field theory*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, 2010.

[170] U. Mosel, *Path integrals in field theory: an introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2004.

[171] A. Zee, *Quantum field theory in a nutshell*, 2nd ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.

[172] R. A. Bertlmann, *Anomalies in quantum field theory*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1996.

[173] H. Kleinert, *Gauge fields in condensed matter*, volume 1: *superflow and vortex lines*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 1989.

[174] I. D. Lawrie, *A unified grand tour of theoretical physics*, 2nd ed., IOP Publishing Ltd., 2002.

[175] P. E. Trevisanutto, M. Milletarì, *Hedin equations in resonant microcavities*, Phys. Rev. B **92** (2015) 235303.

[176] V. Olevano, M. Ladisa, *Condensed matter many-body theory in relativistic covariant form*, arXiv:1002.2117 [cond-mat.other], 2010.

[177] P. C. W. Davies, *The physics of time asymmetry*, University of California Press, Berkeley/Los Angeles, 1974.

[178] J. A. Wheeler, R. P. Feynman, *Interaction with the absorber as the mechanism of radiation*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **17** (1945) 157.

[179] J. A. Wheeler, R. P. Feynman, *Classical electrodynamics in terms of direct interparticle action*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21** (1949) 425.

[180] H. D. Zeh, *The physical basis of the direction in time*, The Frontiers Collection, 5th ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2007.

[181] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1973.

[182] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1965.

[183] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics*, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 1999.

[184] C. G. Darwin, *The dynamical motions of charged particles*, Phil. Mag. **39** (1920) 537.

[185] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, G. Grynbeg, *Photons and atoms: introduction to quantum electrodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.